## **High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool**

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to:

How to use the Assessment Review Tool

Content Area: World Language

Name of Assessment: FR2A\_1\_InterpersonalSpeaking1 (Entertain Me)

Reviewer: Content Collaborative

Date of Review: Oct 24, 2012

Assessment Profile		
Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):	Check All That Apply	
Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)		
<b>Short Answer</b> (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)		
<b>Extended Response</b> (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)		
<b>Product</b> (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)		
<b>Performance</b> (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)	Х	
<b>Process</b> (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)		
The assessment includes:	Check All That Apply	
<b>Teacher directions</b> (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have		
learned) Scoring Guide/Rubric		
Scoring Guide/Rubric Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like		
Materials (if needed to complete the assessment)		
Estimated time for administration		
	V	
Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student see/use?	X	
Other	1	

## A high quality assessment should be...Aligned

Alignment	Rating Column	Comments
1a.		
Grade Level(s): Novice-High		
Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment: WL09-NH-S.1-GLE.1; WL09-NH-S.2-GLE.1; WL09-NH-S.3-GLE.2		
Indicate the intended DOK range of the Grade Level Expectations: Level 1, 2 Indicate the intended DOK of the assessment (list DOK levels): Level 1 & 2		
<b>1b.</b> Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed by the set of items or the performance task: State and ask about preferences		
<b>1c.</b> List the skills/performance assessed (what are students expected to do?): Participate in guided conversations		
<b>1d.</b> To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic Standard/s? <b>Use</b>		
the definitions below to select your rating.		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
□ <b>Close match</b> – most tasks or items address the relevant skills and knowledge		
described in the corresponding state standard/s.		

□ <b>Partial match</b> – many tasks or items partially address the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
☐ <b>Minimal match</b> — some tasks or items match some relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
□ <b>No match</b> – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to support your		
response: Meets the Communication Standard (Interpersonal) at the appropriate range level (Novice-high)		
	Full Match=5; Close	
	Match=4; Partial	
	Match=3; Minimal	
	Match=2; No Match= 1	
Aligned to Colorado Academic Standards Rating		
	Rating Column	Comments
1e. Are the set of items or tasks reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Use the definitions below to select your rating.		
<ul> <li>□ More rigorous – most items or the tasks reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.</li> <li>□ Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range</li> </ul>		
indicated for the grade level expectations.		
□ <b>Less rigor</b> – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range		
indicated for the grade level expectations.		
Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response: Meets the Communication Standard (Interpersonal) at the appropriate range level (Novice-high)		
	Similar Rigor=2, More	
	Rigor=1, Less Rigor=1	
Rigor Level Rating	2	

## A high quality assessment should be...Scored using Clear Guidelines and Criteria

Scoring Guide Present	Check all that apply:	Comments
□ Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored		58. Rubric available in a separate
☐ <b>Generalized Rubric</b> (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)	Х	document 2a,2b,2c, 2d The
<ul> <li>Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)</li> </ul>		scoring is based on a very
□ <b>Checklist</b> (e.g., with score points for each part)		detailed rubric based on speaking
□ Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist		tasks, including major and minor
	Rating Column	<mark>emphases</mark>
<b>2a.</b> Does the rubric/scoring criteria align to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment. <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> The rubric aligns to the standards very well.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Rubric Aligned to Standards Rating	3	
<b>2b.</b> Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Scoring categories are very clearly defined.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating	3	
<b>2c.</b> To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item? <b>Provide an explanation of your response.</b> The rubric addresses all items of the assessment by being general but adaptable.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low or None=1	
Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating	3	
<b>2d.</b> Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response. <b>Provide an explanation of your response.</b> The rubric is very user-friendly and objective.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Different Raters Same Rating	3	

<b>2e.</b> Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? <b>If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?</b> No example for this assessment There are no exemplars, but the proficiency goals and learning targets are great guidelines for students to be sure they are on task with the assignment.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Student Work Samples Rating	1	

## A high quality assessment should be...FAIR and UNBIASED

Opportunities to Learn

FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Comments
<b>3a.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space, graphics, and illustrations)? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> The assessment is visually pleasing and user friendly.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1	3a,3b Clear explanations of expectations
Clear & Uncluttered Rating	3	
<b>3b.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Learning targets and proficiency goals help to keep students on track.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1	
Straight Forward Rating	3	
<b>3c.</b> To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Does not display any cultural, economic or gender bias.	High=3, Moderate=2, Low=1	
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating	3	
<b>3d.</b> Does the assessment use appropriate levels of academic language for the grade and content area? <b>Provide an explanation of your response.</b> Language is simple and direct.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Academic Language Rating	3	]
<b>3e.</b> Does the assessment limit the usage of words that can be confused with one another (homonyms)? (Examples: ate/eight; sell/cell; allowed/aloud; beet/beat; by/buy). <b>Provide an explanation of your response.</b> Confusing language is not present.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Confusing Language Rating	3	
(http://wida.us/searchResults.aspx?cx=0001878867407992537742:bjkids4qwcy&cof=FORID:1		
<b>3f.</b> If applicable, what type of accommodations are provided to ensure that English Learners and/or Students with Disabilities can fully access the content represented by the task or set of items reviewed? <b>Provide an explanation of your response.</b> A student could easily have the exam read to them.		
Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response, setting, and timing and scheduling:  o Presentation Accommodations — Allow students to access information in ways that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual.  o Response Accommodations — Allow students to complete activities, assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type of assistive device or organizer.  o Setting Accommodations — Change the location in which a test or assignment is given or the conditions of the assessment setting.  o Timing and Scheduling Accommodations — Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is organized.  o Linguistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need.  3g: Are there adequate accommodations permitted for this assessment? Provide an		
<b>3g:</b> Are there adequate accommodations permitted for this assessment? <b>Provide an explanation of your response.</b> A student could easily have the exam read to them. The assessment itself does not directly provide accommodations, but they could be easily made to meet the needs of students and teachers.	Yes, Some identified=2; None identified =1	
Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating	3	
A high quality assessmentIncreases Opportunities t	o Learn	

Rating Column

Comments

talented students, and students with disabilities)  4a. Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an explanation of your response: This is a typical real-life scenario for teenagers.  Engagement Rating  4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: The assessment is asking the student to use vocabulary and grammar learned in class in a real world scenario.  Classroom Learning Rating  4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: The lesson could be taught based upon the expected outcomes of this assessment.  Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating  4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: The lesson could be taught based upon the expected outcomes of this assessment.  Communicate Academic Excellence Rating  4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: The assessment and rubric are both in line with the standards.  Competency on Standards Rating  4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what the standards are accomplianted by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what the standards.	able I of the
4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: The assessment is asking the student to use vocabulary and grammar learned in class in a real world scenario.  Classroom Learning Rating  4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: The lesson could be taught based upon the expected outcomes of this assessment.  Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating  4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: The lesson could be taught based upon the expected outcomes of this assessment.  Communicate Academic Excellence Rating  4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: The assessment and rubric are both in line with the standards.  Competency on Standards Rating  4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent to content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what	
4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: The assessment is asking the student to use vocabulary and grammar learned in class in a real world scenario.  Classroom Learning Rating  4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: The lesson could be taught based upon the expected outcomes of this assessment.  Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating  3dd. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response:  The lesson could be taught based upon the expected outcomes of this assessment.  Communicate Academic Excellence Rating  4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: The assessment and rubric are both in line with the standards.  Competency on Standards Rating  4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what	
4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: The lesson could be taught based upon the expected outcomes of this assessment.  Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating  4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: The lesson could be taught based upon the expected outcomes of this assessment.  Communicate Academic Excellence Rating  4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: The assessment and rubric are both in line with the standards.  Competency on Standards Rating  4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what	
analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: The lesson could be taught based upon the expected outcomes of this assessment.  Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating  4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response: The lesson could be taught based upon the expected outcomes of this assessment.  Communicate Academic Excellence Rating  4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: The assessment and rubric are both in line with the standards.  Competency on Standards Rating  4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what	
4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response:  The lesson could be taught based upon the expected outcomes of this assessment.  Communicate Academic Excellence Rating  4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: The assessment and rubric are both in line with the standards.  Competency on Standards Rating  4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what	
expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of your response:  The lesson could be taught based upon the expected outcomes of this assessment.  Communicate Academic Excellence Rating  4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: The assessment and rubric are both in line with the standards.  Competency on Standards Rating  4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what	
4e. Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: The assessment and rubric are both in line with the standards.  Competency on Standards Rating  4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what	
extent do you think teachers can use the results ( <i>scores and student work analysis</i> ) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? Provide an explanation of your response: The assessment and rubric are both in line with the standards.  Competency on Standards Rating  4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what	
4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what	
extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g. diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: This assessment could serve many uses based upon teacher discretion. It is simple and aligns to the standards.  High=3; Moderate=2; Low or None=1	
Clarity of Purpose Rating 3	
Summary Earned Possible	
Standards Rating 5 5	
Rigor Rating 2 2	
Subtotal 7 7	
100.0%	
Rubric Aligned w/Standards Rating 3 3	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Rating 3 3  Rubric/Scoring Aligned with Task Rating 3 3	
Inter-rater Reliability Rating 3 3	
Student Work Samples Rating 1 3	
Subtotal 13 15	
86.7%	
Clear & Uncluttered Rating 3 3	
Straight Forward Rating 3 3	
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias Rating 3 3	
Academic Language Rating 3 3  Confusing Language Pating 3	
Confusing Language Rating 3 3  Adequate Accommodations Allowed Rating 3 2	
Subtotal 18 17	
105.9%	
Engagement Rating 3 3	

Reflects Classroom Learning Rating	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes Rating	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence Rating	3	3
Competency on Standards Rating	3	3
Locate Evidence Rating	3	3
Subtotal	18	18
		100.0%
Grand Total	56	57
		98.2%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	Х
Partially Recommended	
Not Recommended	