High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to:

How to use the Assessment Review Tool

10 and of the 10 for t	
Content Area: Social Studies	
Name of Assessment: John Brown's Legacy - Stanford Project on Historical Thinking https://beyondthebubble.stanford.edu/assessments/john-browns-legacy	
Reviewer: Content Collaborative	
Date of Review: November 30, 2012	

Assessment Profile

Grade Level(s) suggested by this assessment: High School

Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment: DOK 1-4 SS09-GR.HS-S.1-GLE.1-EO.a; SS09-GR.HS-S.1-GLE.1-EO.b; SS09-GR.HS-S.1-

GLE.1-EO.c; SS09-GR.HS-S.1-GLE.1-EO.d

What is the DOK of the assessment? Level 2-3

Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations:

DOK 1-3

Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed:

Content - The New Deal and World War II

List the skills/performance assessed: This assessment measures

contextualization and sourcing; Historical thinking

Item Types - Check all that apply

Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)

Short Answer (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)

Extended Response (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)

Product (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)

Performance (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)

Process (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)

The assessment includes:

Teacher directions (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned ...)

Scoring Guide/Rubric

Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like:

Materials (if needed to complete the assessment)

Estimated time for administration

Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student see/use?

Other:

C	heck All That Apply
L	
	X
r	
L	
r	
L	
L	

Check All That Apply	
	Χ
	Х
	Χ
	Χ

A high quality assessment should beAligned		
Alignment with Standards	Rating Column	Strengths & Suggestions
a.To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of		
tems reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic		
Standard/s? Select one option below.		
Full match – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Partial match – task or most items partially address the skills and		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
No match – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge		
described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to		
support your response:		
This assessment matches HS History GLEs 1, a,b, c,d	Full=3; Partial =2; No Match= 1	
Alignment with Standards Score	3	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment	Rating Column	
1b . Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the		
grade level expectations? Select one option below.		
·		
More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level		
- -		
More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level		
More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK		
More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range		
More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and	Similar Rigor=2; More Rigor=2; Less Rigor= 1	

A high quality assessment should beScored usi	ng Clear Guidelines	and Criteria
Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
Scoring Guide Present:		
Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored		
Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)		
Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)	X	
Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)		
Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist		
reactief Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist	Yes, several types=3, Yes,	
An interactive scoring rubric the assessment is provided with examples of	at least one type=2,	
students at various proficiency levels	None=1	
Scoring Guide Present Score	2	
2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado		
Academic Standards in this assessment.		
Provide an explanation of your response: This assessment measures	Completely aligned=3,	
historical thinking which aligns to the expectation use the historical	Somewhat aligned=2,	
method to develop interpretations defended by evidence	Not aligned=1	
Rubric Aligned with Standards Score	3	
2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across		
performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response:		
	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
Yes, proficient, emerging, basic.	No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score	3	
2c . To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the		
demands within the task or item?		
Explain:		
The scoring criteria measures all the demands of the task.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
-	No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	
2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the		
scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same		
score for a given response? Why or why not?		
The rubric is well designed and has specific examples of student work at	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
each proficiency level.	No=1	
Inter-rater Reliability Score	3	
2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which		
illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work		
would be needed?		
Yes, student work is provided		
	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
	No=1	
Student Work Samples Score	3	

A high quality assessment should beFAIR and UNBIASED		
FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Strengths/Suggestions
3a. To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space, graphics, and illustrations)?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
Items are clear and uncluttered	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Clear & Uncluttered" Score		
3b. To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as		
straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
The item is straightforward		
	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Straight Forward" Score	3	
3c. To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? Provide an explanation of your response:		
This assessment is free of cultural bias	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
Free of 'Cultural or Unintended Bias' Score	3	
3d. Does the assessment require students to possess a high level of		
academic language* comprehension to demonstrate understanding? Provide an explanation of your response:		
No - The students should be able to understand the word abolitionist	No=3, Somewhat=2, Yes=1	
"Academic Language" Score	3	
*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's		
3e. If applicable, what type of accommodations should be considered to ensure that students with special needs can fully access the content		
represented by the task or set of items reviewed?		
Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response, setting, and timing and scheduling:		
 Presentation Accommodations — Allow students to access information in ways that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual. 		
 Response Accommodations — Allow students to complete activities, assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type of assistive device or organizer. 		
 Setting Accommodations — Change the location in which a test or assignment is given or the conditions of the assessment setting. 		
 Timing and Scheduling Accommodations — Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is organized. 		
 Linguistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. 		
The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need.		
3f: Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this assessment:		

Any of the above accommodations could be applied to this assessment.

Yes, Several allowed=3; Yes, Some allowed=2; None allowed =1

"Adequate Accommodations Allowed" Score

3

A high quality assessment shouldincrease OPPORTUNITIES	TO LEARN	
The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented		Characte 10
students, and students with disabilities	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
4a. Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an		
explanation of your response:		
Because this assessment allows for students to analyze a poster and select	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
facts to justify the author's determination.	No=1	
"Engages Students" Score	3	
4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the		
assessment can provide good information about what students have		
learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response:		
This assessment would provide good information on contextualization and	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
sourcing.	No=1	
Classroom Learning Score	3	
4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and		
student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning		
expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an		
explanation of your response: The scores and student work would reveal		
a student's ability to select relevant facts to support it's historical context.		
	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score		
4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly		
communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity,		
transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students?		
Provide an explanation of vour response:		
This assessment clearly communicates the expectations for academic		
excellence. Students must first situate a playbill in time. Students then		
select facts that might provide relevant historical context for determining		
the authors' motivation and explain how the facts might shed light on why		
the authors wrote the play.		
	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score		
4e . Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items		
reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores		
and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s		
look like? Provide an explanation of your response: This assessment can		
inform instruction because the teacher will be able to assess students		
ability to form an opinion about motivation and historical context.		
	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
	No=1	
Standards Competency Score	3	
4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items		
reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what		
purpose the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades,		
adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: Assessment can be used as a grade and for diagnosis.		
maseasinient can be used as a grade and for diagnosis.		

	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;
	No=1
Locate evidence Score	3

Summary	<u>Earned</u>	<u>Possible</u>
Standards Rating	3	3
Rigor Rating	2	3
Subtotal	5	6
Standards Alignment Percentage		83.3%
Scoring Guide Present	2	3
Rubric Aligned w/standards	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	3
Inter-rater reliability	3	3
Student work present	3	3
Subtotal	17	18
Scoring Percentage		94.4%
Clear & Uncluttered Presentation	3	3
Straight Forward Presentation	3	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias	3	3
Academic Language Load	3	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed	3	3
Subtotal	15	15
Fair & Unbiased Percentage		100.0%
Engagement	3	3
Reflects Classroom Learning	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence	3	3
Competency on Standards Score	3	3
Locate evidence Score	3	3
Subtotal	18	18
Opportunities to Learn Percentage		100.0%
Grand Total	55	57
Overall Percentage		96.5%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	Х
Partially Recommended	
Not Recommended	