High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to: <u>How to use the Assessment Review Tool</u>

Content Area: Social Studies

Name of Assessment: Defining Regions - Ohio Dept. of Education

Reviewer: Content Collaborative

Date of Review: May 2, 2012

Assessment Profile		
Grade Level(s) suggested by this assessment: 5th Grade		
Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) and Grade Level Ex SS09-GR.5-S.2-GLE.1-EO.a; SS09-GR.5-S.2-GLE.1-EO.b; SS09-GR.5-S.2- GLE.1-EO.c (first part only) What is the DOK of the assessment?	pectations evaluated l	by the Assessment:
DOK 2		
Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations:		
DOK 1-3 Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed:		
Regions of the United States, Spatial understanding, Types of maps List the skills/performance assessed:		
Use Geographic tools, explain similarities and differences, draw regions on an outline map, create thematic maps, research		
Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types): Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)	Check All That Apply	
Short Answer (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)	x	
Extended Response (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)		
Product (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)	x	
Performance (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)		
Process (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)	x	
The assessment includes:	Check All That Apply	
Teacher directions (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned)	x	
Scoring Guide/Rubric	x	
Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like:		
Materials (if needed to complete the assessment) Estimated time for administration	x	
Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student see/use?	x	
Other: Lesson plan, pre-assessment, post-assessment	х	

Adapted from (C) 2009 Hess, Karin K., Local Assessment Toolkit: High Quality Assessment. Permission to reproduce is given with authorship is cited.

A high quality assessment should beAligned		
Alignment with Standards	Rating Column	Strengths & Suggestions
1a. To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of		
items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic		
Standard/s? Select one option below.		
Full match – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Partial match – task or most items partially address the skills and		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
No match – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge		
described in the corresponding state standard/s.	_	
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to support your response:		
There is a strong match to GLE 1 – concept of regions, geographic tools,	Full=3; Partial =2; No	
and similarities and differences	Match= 1	
Alignment with Standards Score	3	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment	Rating Column	
1b . Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the		
grade level expectations? Select one option below.		
More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level		
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK		
Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range		
range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and		
range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and	Similar Rigor=2; More Rigor=1; Less Rigor= 1	

A high quality assessment should beScored usi	ing Clear Guidelines a	and Criteria
Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
Scoring Guide Present:	X	
Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored		
Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)		
Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)	X	
Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)		
Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist		
	Yes, several types=3, Yes,	
	at least one type=2,	
Coording Cutido Decount Coord	None=1	
Scoring Guide Present Score	2	
2a.Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment.		
Provide an explanation of your response: The assessment asks for	Completely altered - 2	
definition of region, and identifying types of regions. This is a match for	Completely aligned=3, Somewhat aligned=2,	
the Colorado Academic Standards in 5th Grade Geography.	Not aligned=1	
Rubric Aligned with Standards Score	2	
2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across	<u>_</u>	
performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response:		
The wording is similar across the rubric in student-friendly language.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
Qualifiers change for each category.	No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score	3	
2c . To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the		
demands within the task or item?		
Explain:		
Definitions, map, type and description are all addressed.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	
2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the		
scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same		
score for a given response? Why or why not?		
Rubric for post-assessment is clear	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
······································	No=1	
Inter-rater Reliability Score	3	
2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which		
illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work		
would be needed?	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
Anchor papers would enhance the assessment.	No=1	
Student Work Samples Score	1	

A high quality assessment should beFAIR and UNBIASED		
FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Strengths/Suggestions
3a. To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and		
formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space,		
graphics, and illustrations)?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
Assessment is clear and uncluttered.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Clear & Uncluttered" Score	3	
3b. To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as		
straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
It is clear and step by step in the instructions. Headings, reminders in		
the directions, sections.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Straight Forward" Score	3	
3c. To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? Provide an explanation of your response:		
No obvious cultural bias	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
Free of 'Cultural or Unintended Bias' Score	3	
3d. Does the assessment require students to possess a high level of		
academic language* comprehension to demonstrate understanding?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
It is a high level of academic language, and it is consistent with grade	No=3, Somewhat=2,	
level expectations.	Yes=1	
Academic Language" Score *Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's	3	
3e. If applicable, what type of accommodations should be considered to		
ensure that students with special needs can fully access the content		
represented by the task or set of items reviewed?		
Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response,		
setting, and timing and scheduling:		
• Presentation Accommodations —Allow students to access information in ways		
that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of		
access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual.		
 Response Accommodations — Allow students to complete activities, assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems 		
using some type of assistive device or organizer.		
• Setting Accommodations — Change the location in which a test or assignment		
is given or the conditions of the assessment setting.		
• Timing and Scheduling Accommodations —Increase the allowable length of		
time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is organized.		
 Linguistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. 		
The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency,		
which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a		
cognitive need.		
3f: Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this		
assessment:		

There are differentiated instructional supports and extension ideas for the Yes, Several allowed=3; post assessment. There are also suggestions for technology, arts, and English language learners. "Adequate Accommodations Allowed" Score

Yes, Some allowed=2; None allowed =1

3

A high quality assessment shouldincrease OPPORTUNITIES	TO LEARN	
The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented		Strongths /Suggestions
students, and students with disabilities	Check an that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
4a. Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a		
real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an		
explanation of your response: This assessment does not put students in a real-world situation or scenario	Vac-2: Samawhat-2:	
in order to solve a problem as a geographer might do.	No=1	
"Engages Students" Score		
4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the		
assessment can provide good information about what students have		
learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response :		
Teachers are provided with a task-specific rubric as well as specific	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
examples of types of regions.	No=1	
Classroom Learning Score	3	
4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and		
student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning		
expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an		
explanation of vour response: This assessment is specific enough that teachers could discuss areas of	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
strength and need with students and parents.	No=1	
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score		
4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly		
communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity,		
transference to other content areas or 21st century skills) to students?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
The rubric is tied exactly with instructions and the assessment follows the	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
standard well.	No=1	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score	3	
4e . Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items		
reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores		
and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s		
look like? Provide an explanation of your response:		
Teachers could use the student work to understand the proficiency level	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
of their students tied to the concept of region embedded in the standards.	No=1	
Standards Competency Score	3	
4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items		
reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose		
the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting		
instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response:		
There is a pre and post assessment. It could be used for diagnosis as	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
well as a grade/score.	No=1	
Locate evidence Score	3	

Adapted from (C) 2009 Hess, Karin K., Local Assessment Toolkit: High Quality Assessment. Permission to reproduce is given with authorship is cited.

	<u>Earned</u>	Possible
Standards Rating	3	3
Rigor Rating	2	3
Subtotal	5	6
Standards Alignment Percentage		83.3%
Scoring Guide Present	2	3
Rubric Aligned w/standards	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	3
Inter-rater reliability	3	3
Student work present	1	3
Subtotal	15	18
Scoring Percentage		83.3%
Clear & Uncluttered Presentation	3	3
Straight Forward Presentation	3	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias	3	3
Academic Language Load	3	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed	3	3
Subtotal	15	15
Fair & Unbiased Percentage		100.0%
Engagement	1	3
Reflects Classroom Learning	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence	3	3
Competency on Standards Score	3	3
Locate evidence Score	3	3
Subtotal	16	18
Opportunities to Learn Percentage		88.9%
Grand Total	51	57
Overall Percentage		89.5%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	
Partially Recommended	x- not embedded in a real-world context
Not Recommended	