## High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to: <u>How to use the Assessment Review Tool</u>

Content Area: Theatre and Dramatic Arts --- Recommended

Name of Assessment: Rhode Island Theatre\_Rubric\_RI

## **Reviewer: Content Collaborative**

Date of Review: April 18, 2012

Other:

## **Assessment Profile** Grade Level(s) suggested by this assessment: High School Fundamental/Extended Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment: DT09-GR.HSFP-S.1; DT09-GR.HSFP-S.2; DT09-GR.HSFP-S.3; DT09-GR.HSEP-S.1; DT09-GR.HSEP-S.2; DT09-GR.HSEP-S.3 What is the DOK of the assessment? DOK 1-4 Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations: 1-4 Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed: Character development, acting, realizing design, managing, supervision, striking, storing, critical response List the skills/performance assessed: analyze, observe, research, justify, substantiate, use, critique, compare, svnthesize Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among Check All That Apply certain item types): Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.) Short Answer (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.) Extended Response (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks) Product (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, Х multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.) **Performance** (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music Х performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.) Process (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision) The assessment includes: Check All That Apply Teacher directions (may include prerequisites/description of instruction Х before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned ...) Scoring Guide/Rubric Х Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like: Materials (if needed to complete the assessment) Estimated time for administration Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student see/use?

A high quality assessment shou	Id beAligned	
Alignment with Standards	Rating Column	Strengths & Suggestions
<b>1a.</b> To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of	-	
items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic		
Standard/s? Select one option below.		
Full match – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Partial match – task or most items partially address the skills and		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Niowieuge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
No match – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge		
described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to		
support your response:		
All areas accounted for in the alignment.	Full=3; Partial =2; No	
	Match= 1	
Alignment with Standards Score	3	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment	Rating Column	
<b>1b</b> . Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the		
grade level expectations? Select one option below.		
More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level		
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
<b>Similar vigor</b> most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK		
Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK		
range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range		
indicated for the grade level expectations.		
Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and		
assessment to support your response:		
······································		Scope and sequence and
Portfolio is the most rigorous option you can provide.	Similar Rigor=2; More	Scope and sequence and prompts could be provided.
Fortiono is the most rigorous option you can provide.	Rigor=1; Less Rigor= 1	prompts could be provided.

A high quality assessment should beScored us	ing Clear Guidelines	and Criteria
Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
Scoring Guide Present:	у	
Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored	y	
Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)	n	
Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)	у	
Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)	n	
Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist	n	
	Yes, several types=3, Yes,	
	at least one type=2,	
	None=1	
Scoring Guide Present Score	2	
2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado		
Academic Standards in this assessment.		
Provide an explanation of your response: Directly related to the	Completely aligned=3,	
standards.	Somewhat aligned=2,	
	Not aligned=1	
Rubric Aligned with Standards Score	3	
<b>2b.</b> Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across		
performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response:		
Multiple indicators for each assessment category	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score		
<b>2c</b> . To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the		
demands within the task or item?		
Explain:		
∎ =		
Addresses all of the demands in the task item, because each element is	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Addresses all of the demands in the task item, because each element is	No=1	
Addresses all of the demands in the task item, because each element is broken down specifically.	No=1	
Addresses all of the demands in the task item, because each element is broken down specifically. Rubric/Scoring Alignment	No=1	
Addresses all of the demands in the task item, because each element is broken down specifically. Rubric/Scoring Alignment 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the	No=1	
Addresses all of the demands in the task item, because each element is broken down specifically. <b>Rubric/Scoring Alignment</b> 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same	No=1	
Addresses all of the demands in the task item, because each element is broken down specifically. <b>Rubric/Scoring Alignment</b> <b>2d.</b> Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? <b>Why or why not?</b> Multiple indicators for each assessment area creates possible division of	No=1	
Addresses all of the demands in the task item, because each element is broken down specifically. <b>Rubric/Scoring Alignment</b> 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not?	No=1	
Addresses all of the demands in the task item, because each element is broken down specifically. <b>Rubric/Scoring Alignment</b> 2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? Why or why not? Multiple indicators for each assessment area creates possible division of	No=1 3	
Addresses all of the demands in the task item, because each element is broken down specifically. <b>Rubric/Scoring Alignment</b> <b>2d.</b> Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? <b>Why or why not?</b> Multiple indicators for each assessment area creates possible division of opinions Inter-rater Reliability Score	No=1 3 Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Addresses all of the demands in the task item, because each element is broken down specifically. <b>Rubric/Scoring Alignment</b> <b>2d.</b> Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? <b>Why or why not?</b> Multiple indicators for each assessment area creates possible division of opinions Inter-rater Reliability Score <b>2e.</b> Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which	No=1 3 Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Addresses all of the demands in the task item, because each element is broken down specifically. <b>Rubric/Scoring Alignment</b> <b>2d.</b> Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? <b>Why or why not?</b> Multiple indicators for each assessment area creates possible division of opinions <b>Inter-rater Reliability Score</b> <b>2e.</b> Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work	No=1 3 Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Addresses all of the demands in the task item, because each element is broken down specifically. <b>Rubric/Scoring Alignment</b> <b>2d.</b> Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? <b>Why or why not?</b> Multiple indicators for each assessment area creates possible division of opinions Inter-rater Reliability Score <b>2e.</b> Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which	No=1 3 Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Addresses all of the demands in the task item, because each element is broken down specifically. <b>Rubric/Scoring Alignment</b> <b>2d.</b> Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? <b>Why or why not?</b> Multiple indicators for each assessment area creates possible division of opinions <b>Inter-rater Reliability Score</b> <b>2e.</b> Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work	No=1 3 Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1 2	
Addresses all of the demands in the task item, because each element is broken down specifically. <b>Rubric/Scoring Alignment</b> <b>2d.</b> Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? <b>Why or why not?</b> Multiple indicators for each assessment area creates possible division of opinions <b>Inter-rater Reliability Score</b> <b>2e.</b> Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work	No=1 3 Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Addresses all of the demands in the task item, because each element is broken down specifically. <b>Rubric/Scoring Alignment</b> <b>2d.</b> Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? <b>Why or why not?</b> Multiple indicators for each assessment area creates possible division of opinions <b>Inter-rater Reliability Score</b> <b>2e.</b> Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?	No=1 3 Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1 2 Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	

A high quality assessment should beFAIR and UNBIASED		
FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Strengths/Suggestions
<b>3a.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space, graphics, and illustrations)? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>		
Very clear visually	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Clear & Uncluttered" Score 3b. To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners? Provide an explanation of your response: Academic and straight forward		
"Straight Forward"	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
<b>3c.</b> To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Language is clear and allows room for multiple perspectives in learning.		
Free of 'Cultural or Unintended Bias' Score	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
<b>3d.</b> Does the assessment require students to possess a high level of academic language* comprehension to demonstrate understanding? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>		
Language is academic in content, but assessment provides no baseline for usage; therefore, it could be problematic but is reasonable/ appropriate at the high school level 'Extended' pathway and in need of definition at 'Fundamental' pathway.		Teachers should provide a glossary of terms at the 'Fundamental' high school level. Pre-skill activities to build content knowledge is helnful too
"Academic Language" Score	1	
<ul> <li>*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's</li> <li>3e. If applicable, what type of accommodations should be considered to ensure that students with special needs can fully access the content represented by the task or set of items reviewed?</li> <li>Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response, setting, and timing and scheduling: <ul> <li>Presentation Accommodations —Allow students to access information in ways that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual.</li> <li>Response Accommodations —Allow students to complete activities, assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type of assistive device or organizer.</li> <li>Setting Accommodations —Change the location in which a test or assignment is given or the conditions of the assessment setting.</li> <li>Timing and Scheduling Accommodations —Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is organized.</li> <li>Linguistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		

3f: Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this assessment:		
Not included, but easily adopted for students.	Yes, Several allowed=3; Yes, Some allowed=2; None allowed =1	Comprehensive in content for theatre, has acting, design, and technical elements, which align perfectly with our standards.
"Adequate Accommodations Allowed" Score	2	

A high quality assessment shouldincrease OPPORTUNITIES	TO LEARN	
The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
<b>4a.</b> Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a		
real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an		
explanation of your response:		
Portfolio is a real world component.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
"Engages Students" Score	3	
<b>4b.</b> To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the		
assessment can provide good information about what students have		
learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response:		
High extent, the assessment has clear before and after statements on top	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
of what a qualified educator could naturally deduce.	No=1	
Classroom Learning Score		
<b>4c.</b> To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and	3	
student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning		
expectations and outcomes with students and parents? <b>Provide an</b>		
explanation of your response:		
Shows what they have learned and where they are at for the whole	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	1
community.	No=1	
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score	3	
4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly		
communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity,		
transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students?		
Provide an explanation of vour response:		
This shows if they have met excellence but can not be used in contrast to		
previous examples unless the same rubric is used. Development would be		
needed to set examples for excellence. There is also some major holes	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
that an instructor would have to bridge to have a qualified discussion with	No=1	
some students.		
Communicates Academic Excellence Score	2	
<b>4e</b> . Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores		
and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s		
look like? Provide an explanation of your response:		
iook like: Provide all explanation of your response.		
Culmination of all of their work with students, can be computed broad to		
Culmination of all of their work with students, can be somewhat broad to break it down to specific tasks within grades.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
DIEdK IL UUWII LU SDELIIIL LASKS WILIIII PIAUES.		
	No=1	
Standards Competency Score	No=1	
Standards Competency Score	No=1	It is a complete overview of
Standards Competency Score 4f:Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items	No=1	It is a complete overview of an entire theatrical program,
Standards Competency Score 4f:Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose	No=1	
Standards Competency Score 4f:Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting	No=1	an entire theatrical program,
Standards Competency Score 4f:Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose	No=1	an entire theatrical program, a culminating event.
Standards Competency Score 4f:Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting	No=1 3	an entire theatrical program, a culminating event. Weakness is that it focuses on
Standards Competency Score 4f:Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response:	No=1 3 Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	an entire theatrical program, a culminating event. Weakness is that it focuses on
Standards Competency Score 4f:Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response: Portfolio shows a broad overview of product, but does not relate directly	No=1 3	an entire theatrical program, a culminating event. Weakness is that it focuses on

Summary	<u>Earned</u>	Possible
Standards Rating	3	3
Rigor Rating	2	3
Subtotal	5	6
Standards Alignment Percentage		83.3%
Scoring Guide Present	2	3
Rubric Aligned w/standards	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	3
Inter-rater reliability	2	3
Student work present	1	3
Subtotal	14	18
Scoring Percentage		77.8%
Clear & Uncluttered Presentation	3	3
Straight Forward Presentation	3	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias	3	3
Academic Language Load	1	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed	2	3
Subtotal	12	15
Fair & Unbiased Percentage		80.0%
Engagement	3	3
Reflects Classroom Learning	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence	2	3
Competency on Standards Score	3	3
Locate evidence Score	2	3
Subtotal	16	18
Opportunities to Learn Percentage		88.9%
Grand Total	47	57
Overall Percentage		82.5%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	Х
Partially Recommended	
Not Recommended	