## **High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool**

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to: How to use the Assessment Review Tool

Content Area: Theatre Arts and Drama --- Partially Recommended for teacher to use - great format

Name of Assessment: Washington Grade 5 What's Your Problem

Reviewer(s): Content Collaborative

Date of Review: April 19, 2012

### **Assessment Profile**

## Grade Level(s) suggested by this assessment:

Grade 5

Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment:

DTO9-GR.5-S.1-GLE.1-EO.a; DTO9-GR.5-S.1-GLE.1-EO.b; DTO9-GR.5-S.1-

GLE.1-EO.c; DTO9-GR.5-S.2-GLE.1-EO.b; DTO9-GR.5-S.2-GLE.1-EO.c; DTO9-

GR.5-S.2-GLE.2-EO.a; DTO9-GR.5-S.2-GLE.2-EO.c; DTO9-GR.5-S.3-GLE.1-

EO.a; DTO9-GR.5-S.3-GLE.1-EO.b; DTO9-GR.5-S.3-GLE.2-EO.a; DTO9-GR.5-

S.3-GLE.2-EO.b; DTO9-GR.5-S.3-GLE.2-EO.c

What is the DOK of the assessment?

DOK 1 to 3, heavy in the 2 category

Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations:

1-3

Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed:

Storyline with elements, pantomime, verbal oral description, self-

evaluation, creative process with monologues, movement

List the skills/performance assessed:

Conceptualize, gather, develop, reflect, refine, present, critic, apply, write

# Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):

**Selected Response** (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.) **Short Answer** (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)

**Extended Response** (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)

**Product** (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)

**Performance** (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)

**Process** (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)

#### The assessment includes:

**Teacher directions** (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned ...)

Scoring Guide/Rubric

Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like:

Materials (if needed to complete the assessment)

Estimated time for administration

Check	Check All That Apply		
	Х		
	Х		

Check All That Apply		
Х		
Х		
x		
Х		
X		

Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student	v	
see/use?	^	
Other:		

A high quality assessment should beAligned		
Alignment with Standards	Rating Column	Strengths & Suggestion
<b>1a.</b> To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of		
items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic		
Standard/s? Select one option below.		
Full match – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Partial match – task or most items partially address the skills and		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
No match – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge		
described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to		
support your response:		
The task described aligns completely with CAS in theatre create, perform	Full=3; Partial =2; No	
and critically respond.	Match= 1	
Alignment with Standards Score	3	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment	Rating Column	
<b>1b</b> . Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the		
grade level expectations? Select one option below.		
,		
More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level		
than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
than the range maleated for the grade level expectations.		
Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK		
range indicated for the grade level expectations.		
<b>Less rigor</b> – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range		
indicated for the grade level expectations.		
	■	
Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and		
assessment to support your response:		
Items in the task meet CAS and can be used at any level from grade 5 to		
high school, which means that you would have to correlate the correct		
	Similar Rigor=2; More	
standard to the task and make sure the rubric is authentic to the EO for	Jillilai Nigor-2, Word	
standard to the task and make sure the rubric is authentic to the EO for that level. Ideally it would be a pre-assessment for the fundamental	Rigor=1; Less Rigor= 1	
	_	
that level. Ideally it would be a pre-assessment for the fundamental	_	

A high quality assessment should beScored usi	ng Clear Guidelines	and Criteria
Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
Scoring Guide Present:	У	
Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored	У	
Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)	n	
Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)	У	
Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)	У	
Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist	У	
	Yes, several types=3, Yes,	
	at least one type=2,	
Consider Childs Duncout Conse	None=1	
Scoring Guide Present Score	3	
<b>2a.</b> Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment.		
<b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> The rubric does not align with standard #3, which is a part of the assessment, the rubric does not assess that element.	Completely aligned=3, Somewhat aligned=2, Not aligned=1	
Rubric Aligned with Standards Score	2	
<b>2b.</b> Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>		
The rubric clearly assesses three specific parts of the assessment, which are performance, creating, and sustaining character.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score	3	
<b>2c</b> . To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item?		
<b>Explain:</b> The rubric fails to assess the critically responding portion of the assessment.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	2	
<b>2d.</b> Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? <b>Why or why not?</b>		
The wording, such as "appropriately, effectively, and clearly" are vague and leading to inconsistent scoring.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Inter-rater Reliability Score	2	
<b>2e.</b> Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?		
Some of the examples are anchor responses to performances, there are no samples of performances.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
Student Work Samples Score	2	

A high quality assessment should beFAIR and UNBIASED		
FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Strengths/Suggestions
<b>3a.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space, graphics, and illustrations)? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>		
Clear formatting which is concise for teachers to use.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	1
"Clear & Uncluttered" Score		
<b>3b.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
The assessment is free of any limitations and is straightforward. It also assess multiple learning styles, so it is very diverse.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Straight Forward" Score	3	
<b>3c.</b> To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>		
Activities are open-ended and allow creative expression and innovation for multiple learning styles, and student with varied backgrounds.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
Free of 'Cultural or Unintended Bias' Score	3	
3d.Does the assessment require students to possess a high level of academic language* comprehension to demonstrate understanding?  Provide an explanation of your response:		This assessment aligns with skills taught by the CAS and is fairly assessed to show where
The language is clear for both learners and teachers to properly assess pantomime activity.	No=3, Somewhat=2, Yes=1	gaps may exist.
"Academic Language" Score	1	
*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's	I	4
<b>3e.</b> If applicable, what type of accommodations should be considered to ensure that students with special needs can fully access the content represented by the task or set of items reviewed?		
Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response, setting, and timing and scheduling:  • Presentation Accommodations — Allow students to access information in ways that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual.  • Response Accommodations — Allow students to complete activities, assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type of assistive device or organizer.  • Setting Accommodations — Change the location in which a test or assignment is given or the conditions of the assessment setting.  • Timing and Scheduling Accommodations — Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is organized.		
Linguistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need.		

3f: Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this assessment:		
specific accommodations are not spelled out, but easily adapted by	Yes, Several allowed=3; Yes, Some allowed=2; None allowed =1	Strength is that there are multiple ways to reflect on your experiences, rare to find in assessments.
"Adequate Accommodations Allowed" Score	2	

A high quality assessment shouldincrease OPPORTUNITIES TO LEARN		
The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
<b>4a.</b> Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>		
Assessment engages students in real world context with characters and through a role play of at-home situation.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
"Engages Students" Score	3	
<b>4b.</b> To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>		
There are many different areas to showcase what students have learned through this assessment, through character development areas, process and product, and opportunity for students to respond critically to the assessment.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	There is an option to either verbal or written responses, which is a big plus, which provides opportunities for multiple learners.
Classroom Learning Score	3	
<b>4c.</b> To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>		This assessment allows many different areas to be evaluated, including all three of the CAS including the Arts
Assessment creates a dialogue on where students are in meeting expectations and standards while creating.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	]
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score  4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly	3	
communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students?  Provide an explanation of your response:		
This assessment allows for students to engage in a professional process for a classroom performance.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score	3	
<b>4e</b> . Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>		
The assessment clearly articulates expectations for comparative analysis of results to the state standards.	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
Standards Competency Score	No=1	ł
4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response:		

The Washington team has done a meritorious job creating assessments that can fill a variety of roles; for our purposes in Colorado, this assessment is easily identifiable for its summative abilities but could be adjusted, compacted, expanded, divided, etc to fit any assessment needs. Any teacher could easily speculate those changes.

Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1

**Locate evidence Score** 

3

Summary	<u>Earned</u>	<u>Possible</u>
Standards Rating	3	3
Rigor Rating	2	3
Subtotal	5	6
Standards Alignment Percentage		83.3%
Scoring Guide Present	3	3
Rubric Aligned w/standards	2	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	2	3
Inter-rater reliability	2	3
Student work present	2	3
Subtotal	14	18
Scoring Percentage		77.8%
Clear & Uncluttered Presentation	3	3
Straight Forward Presentation	3	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias	3	3
Academic Language Load	1	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed	2	3
Subtotal	12	15
Fair & Unbiased Percentage		80.0%
Engagement	3	3
Reflects Classroom Learning	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence	3	3
Competency on Standards Score	3	3
Locate evidence Score	3	3
Subtotal	18	18
Opportunities to Learn Percentage		100.0%
Grand Total	49	57
Overall Percentage		86.0%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	
Partially Recommended	х
Not Recommended	