

District Quality Criteria

Quality Criteria for District Unified Improvement Plans (UIPs)

Overview

The Unified Improvement Plan (UIP) is intended to provide districts and schools with a consistent format to capture improvement planning efforts that streamline state and federal planning requirements and increase student learning. CDE developed the Quality Criteria rubric to offer guidance for creating high quality improvement plans and to establish the criteria for state and local review of district level UIPs, especially for districts on the accountability clock (i.e., Priority Improvement, Turnaround). If you would like to see the criteria in a rubric format, go to: http://www.cde.state.co.us/uip/uip_general_resources.

General Directions

- Access the pre-populated report through the UIP Online System (<u>https://cdeapps.cde.state.co.us/index.html</u>) to determine the district's unique accountability and program requirements.
- □ Examine the "Big Five" Guiding Questions, note their alignment with the UIP and determine which they need to address, based on previous CDE feedback (if any).

The Big Five Guiding Questions

The "Big Five" are five guiding questions that outline the major concepts of the improvement

planning process. The questions build upon each other and facilitate alignment across the entire plan. Does the plan:

- Investigate the most critical performance areas and prioritize the most urgent performance challenges?
- Identify root causes that explain the magnitude of the performance challenges?
- 3 Identify evidence-based *major improvement strategies* that have likelihood to eliminate the root causes?
- 4 Present a well-designed *action plan* for implementing the major improvement strategies to bring about dramatic improvement?
- Include elements that effectively monitor the impact and progress of the action plan?

Structure

Organized by the "Big Five," the various plan elements are further defined and include questions that if addressed, *lead to* a well-developed improvement plan. Most of these questions blend best practice and accountability requirements. The most effective plans build a case that remains coherent across each section of the plan, rather than simply addressing each section independently. Those requirements that only apply to some districts are labeled separately at the end of each section. Greyed out sections will not be reviewed by CDE during the current school year. To better understand the alignment between the UIP (see flow map graphic) and the "Big Five," the table on the next page provides a matrix. Location within the online UIP system is also included.





Crosswalk between the "Big Five," Sections of the Planning Process and Tabs within the Online UIP

	Big Five Question	Section of Planning Process (see flow map graphic)	UIP Online Tab	
			Main Tab	Sub Tab
0	Does the plan investigate the most critical performance areas and prioritize the most urgent <i>performance</i> <i>challenges</i> ?	 Gather and Organize Data Review Performance Describe Notable Trends Prioritize Performance Challenges 	Section III: Data Narrative	 Brief Description Prior Year Targets Current Performance Trend Analysis Priority Performance Challenges
		-	-	-
2	Does the plan identify <i>root causes</i> that explain the	Identify Root Causes	Section III: Data Narrative	Root Causes
	magnitude of performance challenges?		Section IV: Action Plans	
8	Does the plan identify evidenced-based <i>major</i> <i>improvement strategies</i> that are likely to eliminate the root causes?	 Identify Major Improvement Strategies 	Section IV: Action Plans	Major Improvement Strategies
4	Does the UIP present a well-designed <i>action plan</i> for implementing the major improvement strategies to bring about dramatic improvement?	 Identify Major Improvement Strategies Identify Action Steps 	Section IV: Action Plans	Major Improvement StrategiesPlanning Form
6	Does the plan include elements that effectively <i>monitor</i> the impact and <i>progress</i> of the action plan?	 Set Performance Targets Identify Interim Measures Identify Implementation Benchmarks 	Section IV: Action Plans	School Target SettingPlanning Form



	1	Does the plan investigate the most critical performance areas and prioritize the most urgent performance challenges? Applicable Plan Elements: Data Narrative, Notable Trends, Priority Performance Challenges
Brief	Demographics and Context	Includes a description of district's demographics and relevant contextual information about district and community.
Description	Stakeholder Input and Involvement	Describes a variety of stakeholders (including teachers and the District Accountability Committee) that have been involved in development of the UIP in a meaningful way.
Current	Current Performance	Includes an explanation of the district's current performance relative to local, state and federal expectations (e.g. DPF, ESSA).
Performance	Previous Performance Targets	Includes a reflection on previous improvement efforts and attainment of performance targets that provides a basis for the current plan.
Notable	Trend Statements	Consistently describes both positive and negative trends for performance, including key elements (e.g., measure, metric, disaggregated groups, trend direction, years, and comparison point) as appropriate for available n-counts.
Trends	Trend Analysis	Includes trends that are at the appropriate level of detail given the district's context.
	Data Sources	Includes multiple data sources with an explanation of the sources that were included or excluded for analysis.



1	cont.	Does the plan investigate the most critical performance areas and prioritize the most urgent performance challenges? Applicable Plan Elements: Data Narrative, Notable Trends, Priority Performance Challenges
	Identification of PPCs	Identifies no more than three student-centered performance challenges describing strategic focus for the district at the appropriate magnitude.
Priority Performance	Rationale	Provides a rationale for prioritizing the PPCs.
Challenges	Alignment to Trends	PPCs are aligned to trend analysis.
(PPC)	Address Indicators	PPCs address indicators where the system is not meeting expectations
	Additional Req	uirements for Some Districts in Data Narrative, Notable Trends, Priority Performance Challenges
On Watch	Sustained Improvement (Prior Targets)	Reflection on improvement efforts demonstrate understanding of changes to support sustained or accelerated improvement.
Late on the clock Year 4 or later	Prior year targets and previous efforts	Includes a description of previous actions to address identified challenges and their degree of effectiveness (e.g., successes, gaps). This may include required Turnaround actions.
EASI Grant For grantees within Exploration or Offered Services	Integration of evaluation	Includes updates to the data analysis and priority performance challenges based on the results of the diagnostic review, pathway planning or pathway implementation process.
Gifted	Prior Years Target	Describes the performance of gifted education students compared to previously identified targets.
Education	Performance Challenge	Explicitly identifies for gifted education a student-centered performance challenge describing a strategic focus for district improvement efforts, either as a part of a larger district challenge or exclusively for gifted education students.
21st Century Community Learning Centers For grantees	Analysis of Student Needs (Trends, PPCs)	Includes a description of how the district identified, through its comprehensive needs assessment, how to meet the needs of its students through 21st CCLC out-of-school time programming.
ESSA Comprehensive Schools and Targeted/ Additional Targeted Schools	Support for identified schools (Data Analysis or Action Planning)	Includes a description of how the district is supporting low performing schools to exit the ESSA school improvement designation and how it is monitoring implementation of the school's plan.



	2	Does the plan identify root causes which explain the magnitude of the performance challenges? Applicable Plan Elements: Data Narrative, Root Causes
	Identification of RCs	Identifies root causes that meet the definition (e.g., under control of district, aimed at the systems level, addresses underlying reason for student performance).
Root Cause	RC Alignment with PPC and with MIS	Associates each root cause with at least one PPC that it has a likelihood of addressing and is specific enough that it provides enough focus for the resulting action plan.
Analysis	Verification Process	References multiple and current data sources (e.g. process data, perception data) used to select and verify root causes.
	Root Cause Process	Explains how root causes were identified, including stakeholder involvement and the rationale for selecting the root cause.
		Additional Requirements for Some Districts in Root Cause Analysis
Course Taking Analysis	Analysis of course taking patterns	Includes an analysis of student course taking patterns by disaggregated groups.
ECE Needs Assessment (SB 17-103) For districts on clock	ECE Needs Assessment	Describes an analysis of the needs assessment that considers the <u>required elements¹</u> and provides an indication of what the district is doing with the results, specifically with schools with a priority improvement or turnaround plan type.
Late on the clock Year 4 or later	Reassessment of RCs Over Time	Root cause analysis reflects a current examination of causes.
EASI Grant Exploration or Offered Services	Identification of Systems Needs of School	Provides an integrated systems analysis as a result of exploration work through EASI grant participation.
Equitable Distribution of Teachers (Title I) For identified districts	Root Cause Analysis Process Description and Validation	Describes an analysis of the equitable distribution of teachers (ESEA requires districts to ensure that poor and minority children are not taught at higher rates than other children by inexperienced, unqualified or out-of-field teachers).

¹ Required ECE needs assessment elements can be found at: <u>http://www.cde.state.co.us/uip/implementing sb17 103</u>



	3	Does the plan identify evidence-based major improvement strategies that are likely to eliminate the root causes? Applicable Plan Elements: Major Improvement Strategies
	Evidence-Based Strategies	Identifies MIS that are clearly defined, evidence-based and provides rationale for why this strategy is a good fit for the school.
Major Improvement Strategies	Alignment to root causes	Includes MIS that align and respond to identified root causes.
	Strength of MIS	Identifies MIS that address the magnitude of the identified PPCs and have a likelihood of resolving the root cause(s).
Additional Requirementsfor Some Districts in Major Improvement Strategies		
Accountability Clock	Likelihood of success	Conveys a sense of urgency and has a likelihood of resulting in adequate change in performance for the district to exit the accountability clock within a reasonable timeframe.
Strategies For districts on clock	Turnaround strategy For Turnaround	Identifies a state-required turnaround strategy and details within the action plan that are aligned to the needs identified in the data narrative.



	4	Does the plan present a well-designed plan for implementing the major improvement strategies to bring about dramatic improvement? Applicable Plan Elements: Action Plan
	Alignment to MIS	Aligns action steps to MIS.
Action Plans	Specific and Reasonable Action Steps	Lists action steps that are thorough, attainable and can be completed within the designated time frame.
	Two-Year Action Plan	Guides plan implementation for at least two academic years.
	Assigned Resources	Assigns adequate resources (e.g., personnel, funds) necessary to implement action steps.
		Additional Requirements for Some Districts in Action Steps
Student Course Taking Report	Action to address Inequities in course taking patterns	Includes action steps to address identified patterns of significant disparities in disaggregated groups taking challenging coursework.
On Watch	Sustained Improvement	Action steps reflect alignment and urgency building upon previous improvement efforts that moved the school off the clock.
READ Act	Strategies to Address K-3 Reading	Includes strategies that address K-3 students identified as having significant reading deficiencies.
21st Century	Aligned program activities	Includes action steps specific to 21st CCLC program activities that align to district's overall action plan.
Community Learning	Family Engagement Strategies	Includes action steps specific to 21st CCLC program family engagement and learning strategies that align with the district's action plan.
Centers For grantees	21st Century Learning Skills	Includes action steps focused on 21st Century Learning Skills (e.g., STEM, Literacy) and provides a description about how 21st CCLC out-of-district program activities support and align with the action steps.
EASI Grant District Design and Led and Offered Services	Aligned Action Plan	Action steps provide alignment with activities approved through the EASI grant.
Gifted Education	Actions to Support Gifted Students	Describes an explicit approach to meet the performance needs of gifted education students.



(5	Does the plan include elements to effectively monitor the impact and progress of the action plan? Applicable Plan Elements: Targets, Interim Measures, Implementation Benchmarks
	Measures and Metrics	Specifies the measure (assessment method) and metric (standard of measurement).
Performance Targets	Alignment to PPCs	Identifies targets that address PPC(s).
	Quality of Target	Provides targets that are specific, ambitious, yet attainable. The timeframe is reasonable.
Interim	Measures and Metrics	Specifies interim measure that names student measure (assessment method) and metric (standard of measurement).
Measures	Alignment to Target	Specifies interim measure that names student measure (assessment method) and metric (standard of measurement).
	Quality of Interim Measures	Aligns interim measure to corresponding annual target.
	Alignment to MIS	Lists interim measures with a schedule that specifies expected student progress multiple times a year.
Implementati on Benchmarks	Quality of Implementation Benchmarks	Each MIS has at least one aligned implementation benchmark.
		Additional Requirements for Some Districts in Progress Monitoring
	READ Act Targets (SRD)	Specifies target(s) for reducing number of students who have significant reading deficiencies.
READ Act	READ Act Targets (Grade Level Expectations)	Specifies target(s) to ensure that each student achieves grade level expectations in reading by end of grade 3.
	READ Act Interim Assessments	References interim assessments that are aligned with K-3 literacy targets.
EASI Grant District Design, Led, Offered Services	Evaluation plan	Includes implementation benchmarks that describe how the school will monitor implementation of activities approved in the EASI grant.
Gifted	Gifted Education Targets	Describes annual performance targets for gifted education students.
Education	Gifted Education Interim Measures	Describes interim measures aligned to performance targets for gifted education students.