

Discipline Task Force

November 14, 2023









Discipline (change in 23-24)	Special Ed. Discipline
Student level report Demographics Includes incident (what occurred) Includes action (in-school, out of school) Set categories from statute	Student level report Demographic Type of disability Includes incident (what occurred) Includes action (in-school, out of school, or expelled (with/ without/ services))
Categories for incident and action	are the same for the 23-24 collection
	eceipt of services, and dates of services is included i Ed collection

Civil Rights Data Collection	Categories not in CDE discipline collection
School level reporting School & District Characteristics Discipline Referrals to Law enforcement Offenses Harassment or Bullying Restraint and Seclusion Interscholastic Athletics Early Childhood Education Pathways to College and Career Teachers and other Personnel	At the offense level: Information on shootings Incidents of rape, attempted rape, sexual assault by student or staff member and resulting action Harassment or bullying subcategories (ie for what reason, and resulting action) Violent act v. non-violent act









Race/Ethnicity	Disci	plined	Enrol	Iment	Compariso
American Indian or Alaska Native	903.00	0.94%	5475.00	0.62%	1.51
Asian	1130.00	1.17%	28640.00	3.24%	0.36
Black or African American	8531.00	8.87%	40198.00	4.55%	1.95
Hispanic or Latino	43309.00	45.01%	308739.00	34.95%	1.29
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	348.00	0.36%	2657.00	0.30%	1.20
Two or More Races	5181.00	5.38%	45042.00	5.10%	1.06
White	36816.00	38.26%	452513.00	51.23%	0.75





						•	
Race/Ethnicity	Disciplined		Enrollment		Risk	Other Risk	Risk Ratio
American Indian or Alaska Native	903.00	0.94%	5475.00	0.62%	0.1649	0.1086	1.52
Asian	1130.00	1.17%	28640.00	3.24%	0.0395	0.1113	0.35
Black or African American	8531.00	8.87%	40198.00	4.55%	0.2122	0.1040	2.04
Hispanic or Latino	43309.00	45.01%	308739.00	34.95%	0.1403	0.0921	1.52
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	348.00	0.36%	2657.00	0.30%	0.1310	0.1089	1.20
Two or More Races	5181.00	5.38%	45042.00	5.10%	0.1150	0.1086	1.06
White	36816.00	38.26%	452513.00	51.23%	0.0814	0.1379	0.59





What is significant disproportionality? •IDEA requires each state to annually examine whether significant disproportionality based on race and ethnicity is occurring in the State and the LEAs of the State. •Having significant disproportionality means that students of a particular race/ethnicity are significantly more likely than their other-race peers to be identified as children with disabilities, identified with a particular disability category (e.g., Autism, Intellectual Disability), placed in a particular educational setting (e.g., separate classroom), or suspended/expelled as a

How is Significant Disproportionality Calculated?

disciplinary measure.

Under IDEA, states must use risk ratio calculations to identify school

- Onder IDEA, states must use risk ratio calculations to identify school districts as significantly disproportionate.
 A risk ratio is a calculation performed by dividing the risk of a particular outcome for children in all other racial or ethnic group within an LEA by the risk of that outcome for children in all other racial or ethnic groups in the State.
 Comparison group consists of the children in all other racial or ethnic groups within an LEA or within the State, when reviewing a particular racial or ethnic group within an LEA for significant disproportionality.
 Example: In Colorado, Black/African American students are 2.18 times calledue to hoir display to the particular to their
- . as likely to be identified with intellectual disability compared to their non-black peers in SY2016-17.
- In Colorado, an administrative unit (AU) must be identified as significantly disproportionate in the same category for three consecutive years.

- If an administrative unit in Colorado is identified as significantly disproportionate the following actions are required:
 - •
 - •
 - An in-depth review policies, procedures, and practices. A root-cause analysis. Set aside 15% of its IDEA federal funds to address the issues identified through the root cause analysis.



.....

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) has three distinct requirements around equity:

- Disproportionate Representation [State Performance Plan/Annual Performance Report (SPP/APR) Indicators B9 and B10],
 Significant Discrepancy (SPP/APR Indicators B4A and B4B)
 Significant Disproportionality

isproportionate Representation	Significant Discrepancy ~	Significant Disproportionality
20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(C)	20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22)	20 U.S.C. 1418(d) and 34 CFR §§ 300.646-647
art 8 State Performance Plan/Annual erformance Report (SPP/APR) dicators 9 and 10 Indicator 80 Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification. Indicator 810: Percent of districts with disproportionate erepresentation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.	 Part 8 SPP/API Indicator 84 Indicator 84A: Percent of ditticts that have a significant discrepancy in the rate of supersitions and explainons of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with individualized education programs (EP). Indicator 84B: Percent of districts that have (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnichy in the rate of suspensions and explainons of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IBP, and the policies, procedures, or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirement eleting implementation of IEPs, the use of politive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. 	<list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item>

Disproportionate Representation



Part B State Performance Plan/Annual Performance Report (SPP/APR) Indicators 9 and 10

- Indicator B9: Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.
- Indicator B10: Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.





Significant Discrepancy

Part B SPP/APR Indicator B4

- Indicator B4A: Percent of districts that have a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with individualized education programs (IEPs).
- Indicator B4B: Percent of districts that have (a) a significant discrepancy, by
 race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10
 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures, or
 practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with
 requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use
 of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.



