STEP Literacy Assessment

Criterion	Specific Indicators	Rating	Feedback from Reviewers	Tally of rating
Validity, Reliability and Consistency in Scoring				
Evidence of test reliability and consistency in scoring	Results of reliability studies are reported for each grade assessment Evidence includes: The studies are appropriate given the purpose of the measure. For each grade-level, studies provide evidence of: Split-half reliability Coefficient alpha Test-retest reliability Classification consistency	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. Correlations demonstrate ranges of .7 or higher. (2)	Data is limited with much narrative about the philosophy around the test, very little data, mainly on p. 61. Limited table on p. 27.	Does not meet: Partially meets: II Meets or exceeds:
	Standard error of measurement or standard estimate of error is reported Evidence includes: SEM estimates are reported for score	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not	I found no evidence.	Does not meet: I Partially meets: I

ranges and cut-scores. • SEM estimates are reported for score ranges and cut-scores for each assessment (grade-level, form, subtest).	demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		Meets or exceeds:
Inter-rater reliability studies have been conducted. Study sample used to establish inter-rater reliability represents test administrators. Evidence includes: Inter-rater reliability studies have been conducted for each grade level and are based on a representative sample of educators who will administer and score the assessment. Inter-rater reliability coefficients exceed .7.	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong	The publisher request that the inter-rater reliability was not given in the best of circumstances. No data was provided. No grade level or student demographic information was provided. 1 year, six schools, same city, 421 sample size	Does not meet: I Partially meets: I Meets or exceeds:

		evidence. (2)		
	Studies have been conducted to establish reliability with all subcategories of students who will take the assessment. Evidence Includes: Studies that demonstrate reliability has been established from scoring samples of students that include: Non-ELLs with and without reading deficiencies and ELLs with and without reading deficiencies.	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	STEP provides limited information for the coefficients' ranging from .9 to .6. No evidence provided as to the population and demographics of tested population. 6 4 cohorts from one school were mentioned	Does not meet: I Partially meets: I Meets or exceeds:
Alternative forms available for multiple assessments with demonstrated equivalence or comparability	If alternative forms are provided, all forms have demonstrated evidence of equivalence or comparability such as test-retest, parallel form and internal consistency.	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0)		Does not meet: Partially meets: II Meets or exceeds:
	Technical reviews indicate all forms for each grade level have demonstrated evidence of comparability and content specifications.	PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or		

Content and Construct	Sufficient forms are provided to allow for progress monitoring between interim assessments. Split-half reliability. Coefficient alpha reliability.	data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence correlations demonstrate ranges of .7 or higher. (2)		
Content and Construct Validity				
Evidence of content and construct validity	Evidence reported to demonstrate the assessment helps correctly identify students with "significant reading deficiencies" so that successful remediation and intervention can be provided; studies have been conducted with similar assessments to show that the assessment measures reading ability, not other irrelevant criteria. Evidence includes: A clear description is provided that demonstrates the purpose of the assessment is to screen students for reading concerns. Content specifications for each grade-level, including a complete description of the test	Rating DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided	Could not locate evidence for a significant reading deficiency. Grade level correlations are made specifications by grade level given, but lack of research/evidence to support the criteria .	Does not meet: Partially meets: II Meets or exceeds:

content, purpose(s), and intended use(s), and assessment blueprint as appropriate, is provided.	suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		
Reading levels are reported for passages and how levels were established. Reading levels of assessment passages have been field-tested or have other evidence. Evidence includes: Field testing populations should be clear and should mirror the school/district demographics. Statistics used to establish the reading levels are reported with both ELL and Non-ELL populations. Findings from a content review by field experts, including teachers in tested grade levels.	MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	No content review by field experts, field tested populations are not significant Lexile comparison was noted	Does not meet: I Partially meets: I Meets or exceeds:
If appropriate, findings from alignment studies to demonstrate alignment with Colorado Academic Standards for Language Arts and resolution for any resulting concerns.	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the	No evidence or mention of CAS. No findings from studies noted in regards to alignment to standards	Does not meet: II Partially meets: Meets or exceeds:

There are studies of construct validity, such as convergent and discriminant analysis, demonstrating correlations of .7 or above. There are studies of construct validity, such as convergent and discriminant analysis, demonstrating correlations of .7 or above. It does not construct validity, such as convergent and discriminant analysis, demonstrating correlations of .7 or above.	MEET-evidence	Some data available; incomplete	Does not meet: Partially meets: II Meets or exceeds:
--	---------------	---------------------------------	--

criterion/predictive validity accurately identifying students with "significant reading deficiency"	demonstrate that the assessment has established criterion and/or predictive validity to correctly identify students with and without a "significant reading deficiency." Evidence includes: A clear definition of the criterion or measure that were used to establish concurrent validity. Studies with similar assessments that demonstrate the assessment measures reading ability, not other irrelevant criteria. Predictive validity correlations above .7.	MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Provided evidence of validity correlation from 2 nd grade to the end of year testing at 3 rd grade. This coeffefficient score(s) were ITBS .58 and ISAT .68. Below the cut score of .7.	meet: Partially meets: II Meets or exceeds:
Determination of cut- scores based upon well-designed pilot study	The assessment has established cut-scores for decision making about students' "significant reading deficiency" using adequate demographics representing (i.e., 10% ELL and 25% F/R lunch), appropriate criterion assessment, adequate sample size, and appropriate statistics. Evidence indicates: Includes a description of the process used to establish the cut points. A full description of the	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1)	Unable to pinpoint hard evidence to back this to a 2.	Does not meet: Partially meets: II Meets or exceeds:

norming cample	MEETS OR		
norming sample.	EXCEEDS –most		
The norming sample is a	information for		
large representative	the criterion is		
national sample of			
students at the same	provided.		
grade level and is	Information and		
representative of the	2data provided		
testing population	suggests		
according to gender, ELL	acceptable or		
status, special needs	strong		
status and F/R lunch	evidence. (2)		
status.			
Studies of classification	DOES NOT		Does not
accuracy analysis provide	MEET-evidence		meet:
evidence that the measure	was not		
appropriately identifies	provided for this criteria or		Partially
students as indicated in the	information		meets: II
description of purpose of the	does not		
assessment, demonstrating	demonstrate		Meets or
values that exceed .8 or	evidence. (0)		exceeds:
higher.	PARTIALLY		
5 -	MEETS -partial		
	evidence was		
	provided		
	related to the		
	criterion and/or data provided		
	demonstrates		
	weak evidence.		
	(1)		
	MEETS OR		
	EXCEEDS –most		
	information for		
	the criterion is		
	provided.		
	Information and		
	data provided		
	suggests		
	acceptable or		
	strong		
	evidence. (2)		
Acceptable, recognized	DOES NOT	Some rationale.	Does not
procedures are followed for	MEET-evidence	Some radonale.	meet:
setting cut-scores.	was not		
Secting out scores.	provided for		Partially
	this criteria or		meets: II
			meets. II

	Ι	_	
		information	
		does not	Meets or
		demonstrate	exceeds:
		evidence. (0)	
		PARTIALLY	
		MEETS-partial	
		evidence was	
		provided	
		related to the	
		criterion and/ or	
		data provided	
		demonstrates	
		weak evidence.	
		(1)	
		MEETS OR	
		EXCEEDS –most	
		information for	
		the criterion is	
		provided.	
		Information and	
		data provided	
		suggests	
		acceptable or	
		strong	
		evidence. (2)	
		(-/	
	SEM estimates are reported	DOES NOT	Does not
			1
1	for cut-scores with guidance	MEET-evidence	meet:
	for cut-scores with guidance	WIEET-evidence was not	meet:
	for cut-scores with guidance for score interpretation.	was not	
			Partially
		was not provided for	
		was not provided for this criteria or	Partially meets: II
		was not provided for this criteria or information	Partially meets: II Meets or
		was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate	Partially meets: II
		was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0)	Partially meets: II Meets or
		was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY	Partially meets: II Meets or
		was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial	Partially meets: II Meets or
		was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was	Partially meets: II Meets or
		was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided	Partially meets: II Meets or
		was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the	Partially meets: II Meets or
		was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/or	Partially meets: II Meets or
		was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided	Partially meets: II Meets or
		was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates	Partially meets: II Meets or
		was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak	Partially meets: II Meets or
		was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/or data provided demonstrates weak evidence.(1)	Partially meets: II Meets or
		was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence.(1) MEETS OR	Partially meets: II Meets or
		was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence.(1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most	Partially meets: II Meets or
		was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence.(1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for	Partially meets: II Meets or
		was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence.(1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most	Partially meets: II Meets or
		was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence.(1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is	Partially meets: II Meets or
		was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence.(1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for	Partially meets: II Meets or

		data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		
Universal Design	Evidence reported to demonstrate that the assessment has cultural validity, that fairness and bias issues have been addressed; the assessment is accessible to all learners, considering minimizing language load; the format is not a barrier to student performance. Evidence includes: Addressed issues of equity of utility for all populations. Results of bias reviews and plans that have addressed any concerns. At least two to three types of classification, reliability, and validity study data have been disaggregated by subgroups and meet the criteria. Culturally diverse students were included throughout the entire process of test development. For example in the samples of pilot students, in cognitive interviews, etc. The content of the reading materials does not favor mainstream culture.	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence.(0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS -most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Some culturally diversity mentioned, no further information on cognitive pieces of student abilities. Data is missing key components to make it a complete picture. No mention of a bias review Minimal subgroups represented	Does not meet: II Partially meets: Meets or exceeds:

Third party evaluation	Evidence reported to	DOES NOT	Some evidence of	Does not
conducted	demonstrate that an independent, qualified third	MEET-evidence was not	teachers admistering STEP in day to day	meet:
	party has provided a	provided for this criteria or	classroom settings. Not scientifically as a	Partially
	thorough and unbiased	information	study should be. See	meets: II
	evaluation of the quality of	does not	p. 60.	
	the assessment.	demonstrate	'	Meets or
		evidence. (0) PARTIALLY	Rasch review was noted	exceeds:
		MEETS -partial evidence was		
		provided		
		related to the		
		criterion and/or		
		data provided		
		demonstrates		
		weak evidence.		
		(1)		
		MEETS OR		
		EXCEEDS –most		
		information for		
		the criterion is		
		provided.		
		Information and		
		data provided		
		suggests		
		acceptable or		
		strong		
		evidence. (2)		
Standardization of	Administration protocol is	DOES NOT	Structure suggested	Does not
materials and	scripted and provides precise	MEET-evidence	and training	meet:
procedures for	guidelines; administration	was not	information	
administration	windows are clearly	provided for this criteria or	available.	Partially
	identified; materials are	information		meets: II
	provided or clear guidelines	does not		
	are provided if materials are	demonstrate		Meets or
	to be created; includes both	evidence. (0)		exceeds:
	electronic and hard copy			
	administration manual that	PARTIALLY		
	is clear and concise.	MEETS -partial evidence was		
		provided		
		related to the		
		criterion and/ or		
		data provided		
		demonstrates		
		weak evidence.		
		(1)		

		information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided		
		suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		
Efficiency of administration	The amount of time needed to administer the assessment is reasonable and balanced to the information provided.	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		Does not meet: Partially meets: II Meets or exceeds:
Efficiency of scoring	The amount of time needed to score the assessment is reasonable and balanced to	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for	Not clear	Does not meet:

	T			1
	the information provided;	this criteria or		Partially
	computer-assisted scoring is	information		meets: II
	available; procedures for	does not		
	calculating scores are clear;	demonstrate		Meets or
	scores can be stored and	evidence.(0) PARTIALLY		exceeds:
	reported electronically.	MEETS-partial		
		evidence was		
		provided		
		related to the		
		criterion and/or		
		data provided		
		demonstrates		
		weak evidence.		
		(1)		
		MEETS OR		
		EXCEEDS –most		
		information for		
		the criterion is		
		provided.		
		Information and		
		data provided		
		suggests		
		acceptable or		
		strong		
		=		
		evidence. (2)		
Accommodations	The differing needs of	DOES NOT	Available but not	Does not
clearly stated and	students with disabilities are	MEET-evidence	evidenced based.	meet:
described for students	specifically addressed.	was not		
with disabilities and	specifically addressed.	provided for		Partially
students with special	Evidence includes:	this criteria or		meets: II
· ·	Any accommodations do	information		meets. ii
needs (504, etc.)	not compromise the	does not		Meets or
		demonstrate		
	interpretation or	evidence. (0)		exceeds:
	purpose of the test.	PARTIALLY		
	Specific administration	MEETS-partial		
	guidelines are provided	evidence was provided		
	for implementing any	related to the		
	accommodations.	criterion and/or		
	How to address	data provided		
	accommodations is	demonstrates		
	specifically addressed in	weak evidence.		
	the training materials or	(1)		
	program.	MEETS OR		
		EXCEEDS –most		
1	I ■ Suggested			
	Suggested accommodations are	information for		
	Suggested accommodations are research or evidence-	information for the criterion is provided.		

	based.	Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		
Accommodations clearly stated and described for Second Language Learners	The accommodations directly address the linguistic needs of the student. Evidence includes: Any accommodation does not compromise the interpretation or purpose of the test. Specific administration guidelines are provided for implementing any accommodations. How to address accommodations is specifically addressed in the training. Suggested accommodations are research or evidence-based.	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	A whole section provided.	Does not meet: Partially meets: I Meets or exceeds: I
Utility Scores are easily interpreted to determine a "significant reading deficiency"	Scores clearly specify whether a student is categorized as having a "significant reading deficiency". Evidence includes: Score ranges or a scale is provided. Guides for	DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence.(0) PARTIALLY	Unclear	Does not meet: Partially meets: II Meets or exceeds:

	intonocitation f	NACETO 11 1		<u> </u>
	interpretation of scores	MEETS-partial		
	are provided.	evidence was		
		provided related to the		
		criterion and/or		
		data provided		
		demonstrates		
		weak evidence.		
		(1)		
		MEETS OR		
		EXCEEDS –most		
		information for		
		the criterion is		
		provided.		
		Information and		
		data provided		
		suggests		
		acceptable or		
		strong		
		evidence. (2)		
Cost effective:	Matarials are provided or	DOES NOT	No information	Does not
	Materials are provided or	MEET-evidence		
Materials,	easily accessible; time away	was not	provided	meet: II
administration costs	from instruction is minimal;	provided for	No alaawinfawaatiaw	Da setia III
including personnel,	no additional personnel	this criteria or	No clear information on these indicators	Partially
scoring, and training	required; all costs inclusive	information	on these indicators	meets:
	including any additional data	does not		
	platform or storage costs;	demonstrate		Meets or
	minimal data entry is	evidence.(0)		exceeds:
	required.	PARTIALLY		
		MEETS -partial		
		evidence was		
		provided		
		related to the criterion and/or		
		data provided		
		demonstrates		
		weak evidence		
		(1)		
		MEETS OR		
		EXCEEDS –most		
		information for		
		the criterion is		
		provided.		
		Information and		
		data provided		
		SUBBESTS		
		suggests acceptable or		

		strong evidence. (2)		
Reports provide guidance for interpretation useful to educators, administrators, and parents	Information is displayed in a format and language that is understandable to educators, administrators and parents; Data reports are easily read and interpreted. Clear description of how to interpret results. Reports provide trajectory for student progress. District, school, classroom, and student reports provided. Reports available in realtime. Reports can be exported to data-base formats. Reports available in languages other than English. Customer service is available provided for users.	MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Information is limited and useful	Does not meet: Partially meets: II Meets or exceeds:

Strengths:

- (1) Addresses the big picture of reading through the 5 components
- (2) <u>Developmental</u>
- (3) Five components addressed

Weaknesses:

- (1) Field testing
- (2) Validity of the test
- (3) Organization and access
- (4) Untimed

Recommendations:

R	ec	0	m	m	er	١d	ed	
.,		•	•••	•••	٠.	·ч	Cu	

Not Recommended xx