Pearson Aimsweb Spanish

Tra	Criterion	Specific Indicators	Ratings	Feedback from Reviewers	Tally of Rating
ada	aptation				
1.	Translation has been provided by highly qualified personnel.	Provide documentation on the translation team used to translate and adapt the test. Include the qualifications of the individuals who translated the test. The translation team should preferably include: • translators who are native speakers in the target language • specialists in reading in the target language • bilingual educators (not to be confused with English as a Second Language (ESL) teachers or English as a Foreign Language (EFL) teachers or teachers of Spanish as a foreign language.	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS – most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Part V: Page 25 states that Aimsweb has been trans- adapted for the spanish version by highly qualified personnel. No identification of experts or qualification listed. It was developed specifically in spanish. for Spanish- There is no mention of Spanish test reliability in the technical manual or in the proposal	Does not meet – Partially Meets - II Meets or Exceeds- I
2.	Pilot test sampling appropriately considers language diversity	The translated test was piloted with a representative sample of speakers of the target language in the United States.	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS – most information for the criterion is provided.	No information provided 2 The program states on p 25: The passages were written by experienced educators and field-tested, revised, and researched by experienced educational researchers. The technical manual describes both	Does not meet – I Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds-II

Criterion	Specific Indicators	Ratings	Feedback	Tally of
			from	Rating
			Reviewers	
		Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	the passage construction process and the outcomes with respect to field- testing and relations to a variety of readability formulate and alternate form reliability. There is no mention of Spanish test SEM estimates in the technical manual or in the proposal	
3. Consistency of appearance between the English language and the target language version of the test	Formatting should remain consistent with the English language test version. Specifically, the font size of a translated test version should not be smaller than the English version. General ideas should be consistent with the English language test version.	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS – most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	2 - The tests that make up each level are parallel to those on English and have the same formatting however it is not a translation of the English test. It was written to align to the characteristics of the Spanish language The <i>AIMSweb</i> <i>MIDE (spanish)</i> is a standardized test. Therefore, specific directions must be used during testing to have confidence in the reliability, validity, and comparability of the results. They are the same in both	Does not meet – Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds- III

Criterion	Specific Indicators Specific Indicators	Ratings Ratings	Feedback from Reviewers languages Stated in the proposal, but we couldn't actually access the Spanish test to verify	Tally of Rating Notes
Psychometric and measurement considerations: 1. Construct validity	Provide documentation	DOES NOT MEET-	No technical	Does not
for translated test versions	to demonstrate that the test specifically identifies students with a <i>"significant reading</i> <i>deficiency"</i> in their native language. (i.e., test developers consider what constitutes a proficient reader in the target language rather than directly translating the measures of a proficient reader in English into the target language). Evidence is provided that the reading constructs measured by the test are relevant to the target language. As appropriate, information is reported on the procedures used to screen, select, and adapt the items of the test so that they are relevant and applicable to the target language.	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence.(0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS – most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	manual provided On page 25 Spanish Reading- CBM passages were written by experienced educators and field-tested, revised, and researched by experienced educational researchers. The technical manual describes both the passage construction process and the outcomes with respect to field- testing and relations to a variety of readability formulate and alternate form reliability. It should be noted that the <i>AIMSweb</i> <i>MIDE</i> measures address the skills identified by the Early Childhood Research Institute. The original measures	Meets - Meets or Exceeds- II

Criterion	Specific Indicators	Ratings	Feedback	Tally of
			from	Rating
			Reviewers	
3. Demonstrated comparability	Evidence is provided on the psychometric comparability of measures in English and measures in the target language.	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS – most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	of those skills have become known as DIBELS (Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills). The <i>MIDE</i> measures have been adapted to more appropriately measure phonemic awareness and phonics skills as they are acquired by Spanish speaking children. There is no mention of reliability with subcategories of students for the Spanish test in the technical manual or in the proposal No technical manual No specific tests listed The English and spanish assessments are parallel but separate assessments. The spanish version is not a direct translation. The technical manual describes both the passage construction process and the outcomes with respect to field- testing and relations to a variety of readability. In the case of children learning	Does not meet –) Partially Meets - I Meets or Exceeds- I

	Criterion	Specific Indicators	Ratings	Feedback	Tally of
				from	Rating
				Reviewers	Nating
4.	Documentation on the interpretation of scores and the scaling of scores	Scaling information is provided to ensure appropriate interpretability of scores across language versions of the test so that educators and administrative officials know how to correctly interpret the scores obtained by the students in the translated version of the test. For example, do teachers need to scale the score of the translated test version in order to compare it with the English language version? If so, what kind of documentation is provided to assist teachers in this scaling process?	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS – most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	to read in Spanish, the measures designed for Spanish speakers focus on the syllable rather than the individual phoneme. The assessment tools and the reading skill area identifiedby the National Reading panel used in the <i>AIMSweb MIDE</i> measures are shown in data tables. No technical manual. not translated no scaling needed	Does not meet – Partially Meets or Exceeds- III
5.	Evidence provided regarding investigation into potential item bias	Appropriate differential functioning items analyses across equivalent items have been conducted to examine bias for the	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0)	No Technical Manual. We did not find any evidence of this. The Spanish	Does not meet – II Partially Meets -

Criterion	Specific Indicators	Ratings	Feedback	Tally of
			from	Rating
			Reviewers	Nating
	same items across the two language versions. For example, for each item, is there a bias against students tested in the target language? Item bias reviews have been conducted and subsequent changes have been made based on recommendations.	PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS – most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	version of the R- CBM aimsweb measure does not privilege any dialect over others and embraces the wide variety of Spanish dialects spoken in the United States. Cultural differences are resolved by cultural adaptations appropriate to the comprehensive (not superficial) meaning of the information used in the measure. Consequently, language and concepts in the measures are not based on stereotypes of Spanish culture. The <i>MIDE</i> measure have been adapted to more appropriately measure phonemic awareness and phonics skills as they are acquired by Spanish speaking children	Meets or Exceeds- I
Criterion	Specific Indicators	Ratings		Notes
Equity and fairness considerations on the translated test				

Criterion	Specific Indicators	Ratings	Feedback	Tally of
			from Reviewers	Rating
version				
1. Consideration of appropriate dialect	The translation provides documentation to show that the translated test version does not privilege any dialect of the target language over others (e.g. Iberic Spanish - Spanish from Spain - is not privileged over Mexican or Puerto Rican dialects). Specifically, the translation procedures took into account the wide variety of dialects of the language speakers in the United States.	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS – most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	No documentation. not translated from English Written in spanish The <i>AIMSweb</i> <i>MIDE (spanish)</i> Letter Sounds is a standardized test. Therefore, specific directions must be used during testing to have confidence in the reliability, validity, and comparability of the results. They do address this in Part 5 of the proposal, but we couldn't actually access the Spanish test to verify	Does not meet – Partially Meets - I Meets or Exceeds- II
2. Appropriate cultural adaptation	Documentation is provided to show that items have been adapted to address cultural differences inherent to language. Cultural adaptations go beyond the superficial features of the contextual information provided by the items. For example, the items do not simply mention "Juan," instead of "John," as characters. Instead, consider how students'	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS – most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data	The Spanish version of the R- CBM aims web measure does not privilege any dialect over others and embraces the wide variety of Spanish dialects spoken in the United States. Cultural differences are resolved by cultural adaptations appropriate to	Does not meet – Partially Meets - I Meets or Exceeds- II

Criterion	Specific Indicators	Ratings	Feedback	Tally of
			from	Rating
			Reviewers	Nating
	experience may influence their interpretation of the items. Provide appropriate context for items to increase students' access to the intended interpretation of the items.	provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	the comprehensive (not superficial) meaning of the information used in the measure. Consequently, language and concepts in the measures are not based on stereotypes of Spanish culture. They do address this in Part 5 of the proposal, but we couldn't actually access the Spanish test to verify	
3. Address stereotypes	The cultural adaptation of the test is not based on stereotypes about cultures.	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS – most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	The Spanish version of the R- CBM aims web measure does not privilege any dialect over others and embraces the wide variety of Spanish dialects spoken in the United States. Cultural differences are resolved by cultural adaptations appropriate to the comprehensive (not superficial) meaning of the information used in the measure. Consequently, language and concepts in the measures are not based on stereotypes of Spanish culture.	Does not meet – Partially Meets - I Meets or Exceeds- II

Criterion	Specific Indicators	Ratings	Feedback from Reviewers	Tally of Rating
			They do address this in Part 5 of the proposal, but we couldn't actually access the Spanish test to verify	

Strengths:

- 1) Test is offered and they say it is not a direct translation
- 2) They say that the test follows Spanish reading indicators such as syllable awareness rather than English indicators

Weaknesses:

- 1) No technical data provided for the Spanish version
- 2) There is no real data for the Spanish assessment- only cut scores are provided in Spanish
- 3) We could not access the Spanish test. Some indicators are still English based instead of Spanish ie. Letter names. Plus a one minute test cannot provide enough information-even for a screener

Recommended:

Not Recommended: X X X