Criterion	Specific Indicators	Rating	Feedback from Reviewers	Tally of rating
Validity, Reliability and Consistency in Scoring				
Evidence of test reliability and consistency in scoring	Results of reliability studies are reported for each grade assessment Evidence includes: The studies are appropriate given the purpose of the measure. For each grade-level, studies provide evidence of: Split-half reliability Coefficient alpha Test-retest reliability Classification consistency	poes not meet- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. Correlations demonstrate ranges of .7 or higher. (2)	Alternative form reliability .97 Coefficient alpha .8889 SEM is 5.4 for testretest Classification rate is high Data info can be found on p. 1 of Technical Manual	Does not meet – Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - III
	Standard error of measurement or standard estimate of error is reported Evidence includes: SEM estimates are reported for score ranges and cutscores. SEM estimates are reported for score ranges and cutscores and cutscores for each	poes not meet- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1)		Does not meet – Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - III

assessment (grade-level, form, subtest). Inter-rater reliability studies have been conducted. Study sample used to establish inter-rater reliability represents test administrators. Evidence includes: Inter-rater reliability studies have been conducted for each grade level and are based on a representative sample of educators who will administer and score the assessment. Inter-rater reliability coefficients exceed .7.	meets or exceedsInformation and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2) DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS -most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable		Does not meet – Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - III
Studios have been	or strong evidence. (2) DOES NOT MEET-	Data tables are	Door Not
Studies have been conducted to establish reliability with all subcategories of students who will take the assessment. Evidence Includes: Studies that demonstrate reliability has been established from scoring samples of students that include: Non-ELLs with and without reading deficiencies and ELLs with and without reading	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is	Data tables are comprehensive and accurate	Does Not Meet – Partially Meets – Meets or Exceeds - III

Alternative forms available for multiple assessments with demonstrated equivalence or comparability	If alternative forms are provided, all forms have demonstrated evidence of equivalence or comparability such as test-retest, parallel form and internal consistency.	provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2) DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0)		Does not meet – Partially Meets - I Meets or Exceeds - II
	Technical reviews indicate all forms for each grade level have demonstrated evidence of comparability and content specifications. Evidence includes: Sufficient forms are provided to allow for progress monitoring between interim assessments. Split-half reliability. Coefficient alpha reliability.	PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence correlations demonstrate ranges of .7 or higher. (2)		
Content and Construct Validity				
Evidence of content and construct validity	Evidence reported to demonstrate the assessment helps correctly identify students with "significant reading deficiencies" so that successful remediation and intervention can be	Rating Does Not Meet - evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not	Concurrent Validity .79	Does not meet – Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - III

provided; studies have been conducted with similar assessments to show that the assessment measures reading ability, not other irrelevant criteria. Evidence includes: • A clear description is provided that demonstrates the purpose of the assessment is to screen students for reading concerns. • Content specifications for each grade-level, including a complete description of the test content, purpose(s), and intended use(s), and assessment blueprint as appropriate, is provided.	demonstrate evidence. (0) Partially Meets — partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/or data provided demonstrates weak evidence (1) Meets or Exceeds — most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence		
Reading levels are reported for passages and how levels were established. Reading levels of assessment passages have been field-tested or have other evidence. Evidence includes: Field testing populations should be clear and should	Does Not Meet - evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) Partially Meets - partial evidence was provided related	Field tested with 24 per grade level	Does not meet – Partially Meets - I Meets or Exceeds - II

mirror the school/district demographics. Statistics used to establish the reading levels are reported with both ELL and Non-ELL populations. Findings from a content review by field experts, including teachers in tested grade levels. If appropriate, findings from alignment studies to demonstrate alignment with Colorado Academic Standards for Language Arts and resolution for any resulting concerns.	to the criterion and/or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) Meets or Exceeds — most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence DOES NOT MEET-evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS—most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	No evidence of construct validity	Does not meet – Partially Meets - I Meets or Exceeds - II
There are studies of construct validity, such as convergent and discriminant analysis, demonstrating	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate	.6979 validity with MAP and MAT8	Does not meet – I Partially Meets -

	correlations of .7 or above.	evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		Meets or Exceeds - II
Evidence of criterion/predictive validity accurately identifying students with "significant reading deficiency"	Evidence reported to demonstrate that the assessment has established criterion and/or predictive validity to correctly identify students with and without a "significant reading deficiency." Evidence includes: A clear definition of the criterion or measure that were used to establish concurrent validity. Studies with similar assessments that demonstrate the assessment measures reading ability, not other irrelevant criteria. Predictive validity correlations above .7.	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS—most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Based on cut scores predictive validity .76	Does not meet – Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - III
Determination of cut-	The assessment has	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not	National norming population is in the	Does not meet –

scores based upon	established cut-scores for	provided for this	tens of thousands	
scores based upon well-designed pilot study	established cut-scores for decision making about students' "significant reading deficiency" using adequate demographics representing (i.e., 10% ELL and 25% F/R lunch), appropriate criterion assessment, adequate sample size, and appropriate statistics. Evidence indicates: Includes a description of the process used to establish the cut points. A full description of the norming sample is a large representative national sample of students at the same grade level and is representative of the testing population according to gender,	provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS—most information for the criterion is provided. Information and 2data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	tens of thousands	Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - III
	ELL status, special needs status and F/R lunch status.			
	Studies of classification accuracy analysis provide evidence that the measure appropriately identifies students as indicated in the description of purpose of the assessment, demonstrating values that exceed .8 or higher.	poes not meet- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1)	Sensitivity .8085 for K-3	Does not meet – Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - III

	MEETS OR EXCEEDS -most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		
Acceptable, recognized procedures are followed for setting cut-scores.	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Based on 20 different state's tests	Does not meet – Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - III
SEM estimates are reported for cut-scores with guidance for score interpretation.	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak	No evidence found	Does not meet – II Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - I

		evidence.(1)		
		MEETS OR EXCEEDS		
		–most information		
		for the criterion is		
		provided.		
		Information and		
		data provided		
		suggests acceptable		
		or strong evidence.		
		(2)		
Universal Design	Evidence reported to	DOES NOT MEET-	Validity .97 for all	Does not
	demonstrate that the	evidence was not	subgroups	meet –
	assessment has cultural	provided for this criteria or		
	validity, that fairness and	information does		Partially
	bias issues have been	not demonstrate		Meets -
	addressed; the	evidence.(0)		NA anta di
	assessment is accessible	PARTIALLY MEETS-		Meets or
	to all learners,	partial evidence		Exceeds - III
	considering minimizing	was provided related to the		
	language load; the	criterion and/or		
	format is not a barrier to	data provided		
	student performance.	demonstrates weak		
	Evidence includes:	evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS		
	 Addressed issues of 	-most information		
	equity of utility for	for the criterion is		
	all populations.	provided.		
	 Results of bias 	Information and		
	reviews and plans	data provided		
	that have addressed	suggests acceptable		
	any concerns.	or strong evidence.		
	At least two to three	(2)		
	types of	\ - /		
	classification,			
	reliability, and			
	validity study data			
	have been			
	disaggregated by			
	subgroups and meet			
	the criteria.			
	Culturally diverse			
	students were			
	included throughout			
	the entire process of			
	test development.			

Third party evaluation conducted	For example in the samples of pilot students, in cognitive interviews, etc. The content of the reading materials does not favor mainstream culture. Evidence reported to demonstrate that an independent, qualified third party has provided a thorough and unbiased evaluation of the quality of the assessment.	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	NCRTI and NCII were listed as third party evaluators.	Does not meet – Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - III
Administration & Scoring				
Standardization of materials and procedures for administration	Administration protocol is scripted and provides precise guidelines; administration windows are clearly identified; materials are provided or clear guidelines are provided if materials are to be created; includes both electronic and hard copy administration	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS-partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/or		Does not meet – Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - III

	manual that is clear and concise.	data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		
Efficiency of administration	The amount of time needed to administer the assessment is reasonable and balanced to the information provided.	poes not meet- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)		Does not meet – Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - III
Efficiency of scoring	The amount of time needed to score the assessment is reasonable and balanced to the information provided; computer-assisted scoring is available; procedures for		Scores are computer generated	Does not meet – Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - III

Accommodations clearly stated and described for students with disabilities and students with special needs (504, etc.)	calculating scores are clear; scores can be stored and reported electronically. The differing needs of students with disabilities are specifically addressed. Evidence includes: Any accommodations do not compromise the interpretation or purpose of the test. Specific administration guidelines are provided for implementing any accommodations. How to address accommodations is specifically addressed in the training materials or program.	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Does not meet – Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - III
	 Suggested accommodations are research or evidence-based. 		
Accommodations clearly stated and described for Second Language Learners	The accommodations directly address the linguistic needs of the student. Evidence includes: Any accommodation does not compromise the interpretation or purpose of the test. Specific administration guidelines are	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS	Does not meet – I Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - II

	provided for implementing any accommodations. How to address accommodations is specifically addressed in the training. Suggested accommodations are research or evidence-based.	-most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	
Scores are easily interpreted to determine a "significant reading deficiency"	Scores clearly specify whether a student is categorized as having a "significant reading deficiency". Evidence includes: Score ranges or a scale is provided. Guides for interpretation of scores are provided.	DOES NOT MEET- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence.(0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Does not meet – Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - III
Cost effective: Materials, administration costs including personnel, scoring, and training	Materials are provided or easily accessible; time away from instruction is minimal; no additional personnel required; all costs inclusive including any additional data platform or storage	evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence.(0) PARTIALLY MEETS - partial evidence	Does not meet – Partially Meets - I Meets or Exceeds - II

		- 1	
	costs; minimal data entry is required.	was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS -most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	
Reports provide guidance for interpretation useful to educators, administrators, and parents	Information is displayed in a format and language that is understandable to educators, administrators and parents; Data reports are easily read and interpreted. Clear description of how to interpret results. Reports provide trajectory for student progress. District, school, classroom, and student reports provided. Reports available in real-time. Reports can be exported to database formats. Reports available in languages other than English. Customer service is available provided for users.	poes not meet- evidence was not provided for this criteria or information does not demonstrate evidence. (0) PARTIALLY MEETS- partial evidence was provided related to the criterion and/ or data provided demonstrates weak evidence. (1) MEETS OR EXCEEDS —most information for the criterion is provided. Information and data provided suggests acceptable or strong evidence. (2)	Does not meet – Partially Meets - Meets or Exceeds - III

Strengths:

- 1) Research is thorough and extensive, utility is high
- 2) Provides a lot of information for little instructional time payout
- 3) Valid & reliable
- 4) Percentile scoring

Weaknesses:

- 1) Could not find evidence of construct reliability
- 2) Could not find SEM for cut scores

Recommend: X X X Not Recommended: