

Exceptional Student Services Unit 1560 Broadway, Suite 1100 Denver, CO 80202-5149

Jill Fuller ADAMS 1, MAPLETON PUBLIC SCHOOLS Adams County SD 1 7350 North Broadway Denver, CO 80221

April 27, 2023

Dear Jill Fuller,

As a condition for accepting Federal (IDEA) funds dedicated to meeting the individual needs of students with disabilities, the CDE must annually review the Administrative Unit (AU)'s performance to determine if the AU:

- 1) Meets the requirements and purposes of Part B of IDEA,
- 2) Needs assistance in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA,
- 3) Needs intervention in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA, or
- 4) Needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA.

34 C.F.R. § 300.603(b)(1)

Based on an evaluation using the criteria identified below, the CDE has made the following determinations for ADAMS 1, MAPLETON PUBLIC SCHOOLS:

- Compliance Determination: Meets Requirements
- Results Determination: Needs Assistance

Overall Determination for ADAMS 1, MAPLETON PUBLIC SCHOOLS: **Meets Requirements** for the implementation of Part B of the IDEA for SY2021-2022.

The AU's performance is measured based on information provided by the AU, information obtained through monitoring visits, and other public information made available to the AU. In making the determination in Spring 2023, the CDE considered data submitted by ADAMS 1, MAPLETON PUBLIC SCHOOLS throughout SY2021-2022 in the following manner:



1. A **Compliance Matrix** that includes scoring on SPP/APR Compliance Indicators and other compliance elements

- 2. A Results Matrix that includes scoring on Results Elements
- 3. A Compliance Score and Compliance Determination
- 4. A Results Score and Results Determination

5. An **AU Percentage** based on the Compliance Score and the Results Score. The Compliance Score is weighted at **50%** and the Results Score is weighted at **50%** to calculate the RDA Percentage.

- 6. A consideration of Special Conditions
- 7. The AU's overall Determination

SPP/APR compliance indicators and other compliance elements examined in the current determination:

Indicator 4A: Whether the AU has significant discrepancy from the state in the rate of out-of-school suspensions/expulsions of students with disabilities that sum up to greater than 10 days in a school year.

Indicator 4B: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation by race/ethnicity in the rate of outof-school suspensions/expulsions of students with disabilities that sum up to greater than 10 days in a school year, and the disproportionate representation is due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 9: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 10: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity in a specific disability category due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices. **Indicator 11**: Percent of children who were evaluated within 60 calendar days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.

Indicator 12: Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.

Indicator 13: Percent of youth ages 15 and above with an IEP that includes each of the required components for secondary transition.

Timely and Accurate Data Submission: The timeliness and accuracy of data submitted by the AUs under section 616 and 618 of the IDEA.

SPP/APR results indicators and other results elements examined in the current determination:

Indicator 1: Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.
Indicator 2: Percent of youth ages 14 and above with IEPs who exited from school due to dropping out.
Indicator 3: Statewide assessments participation, regular assessment mean scale score, and alternate assessment proficiency rate.

In addition, the following results elements are also included:

- 1. Median Growth Percentile in ELA and Math
- 2. Rise Up in ELA and Math (No data in SY2021-2022)
- 3. Keep Up in ELA and Math (No data in SY2021-2022)
- 4. For information only: Regular assessment mean scale score in ELA and Math with "IEP

exiters" included. (Please see "How CO Made Determinations 2023," for detailed information.) **Indicator 7:** Percent of preschool children who showed substantial growth and those who reached age expectations by the time they exited the program in positive socio-emotional skills, acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and use of appropriate behaviors.

Indicator 14: Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and

1. Whom AUs attempted to contact.

2. Participated in the post-school outcome interview.

3. Interview results indicate that the youth is enrolled in higher ed or some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.

Please access <u>http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/determperf</u> for various resources related to the determinations.

CDE is committed to supporting ADAMS 1, MAPLETON PUBLIC SCHOOLS in its efforts to improve results for children with disabilities and looks forward to working with you over the next year.


If you have any questions regarding this determination or the process, please contact Gloria Durosko at <u>Durosko g@cde.state.co.us</u>.

Sincerely,

Paul Foster, Ed.D Executive Director; State Director of Special Education Exceptional Student Services Unit

Posted to http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/determperf on 5/5/2023





out of 300

AU Compliance Matrix 2023



Administrative Unit: 01010 - Adams 1, Mapleton

IndicatorPerformancePoints EligiblePoints Earned4A: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspension and expulsion from the state rate.0.48222 = The rate of children with disabilities who received suspensions/expulsions for > 10 days in a school year was below 2.6221 = Rate is above threshold for current year 0 = Rate is above threshold for the current and previous two school yearsNo Significant Discrepancy224B: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions from the state rate by race and ethnicity.No Significant Discrepancy222 = No racial category was found with significant discrepancy for Indicator 4B 1 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for the current and the previous two school years, and 2) policies, procedures, and/or practices were found to contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and procedural safeguards.No disproportionate representation22Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification.No disproportionate representation22					
the state rate.U.48ZZ2 = The rate of children with disabilities who received suspensions/expulsions for > 10 days in a school year was below 2.62 1 = Rate is above threshold for current year 0 = Rate is above threshold for the current and previous two school yearsNo Significant Discrepancy224B: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions from the state rate by race and ethnicity.No Significant Discrepancy222 = No racial category was found with significant discrepancy for Indicator 4B 1 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for the current and the previous two school years, and 2) policies, procedures, and/or practices were found to contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.22Indicator 9: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification.No disproportionate representation22					
1 = Rate is above threshold for current year 0 = Rate is above threshold for the current and previous two school years 4B: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions from the state rate by race and ethnicity. No Significant Discrepancy 2 2 2 = No racial category was found with significant discrepancy for Indicator 4B 1 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for the current and the previous two school years, and 2) policies, procedures, and/or practices were found to contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. No disproportionate 2 2 Indicator 9: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification. No disproportionate 2 2 Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups No disproportionate 2 2					
the state rate by race and ethnicity.DiscrepancyZZ2 = No racial category was found with significant discrepancy for Indicator 4B1 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for current year0 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for the current and the previous two school years, and 2) policies, procedures, and/or practices were found to contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.Indicator 9: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification.No disproportionate representation22Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groupsNo disproportionate 22					
1 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for the current and the previous two school years, and 2) policies, procedures, and/or practices were found to contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. Indicator 9: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification. No disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups 2 2 Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups No disproportionate 2 2					
in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification. No disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups No disproportionate 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2					
 2 = No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inappropriate identification for Indicators 9 and 10. 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current and previous year 					
Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation 100% 2 2					
Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday.100%22					
Indicator 13: Secondary transition 2 2					
2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year <u>OR</u> less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year					
Timely and Accurate Data SubmissionYes22					
Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate					
Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate					
Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate Total Points Available:					
Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 1 = Cone or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 1 = One or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 1 = Total Points Available: 16 16 16					
Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate Total Points Available: 16 16					

AU Results Matrix 2023



		Adminis	strative	Unit: 010	10 - Adam	s 1, Mapleton		
	State Assessme	ent Partici	ipation	Detail				
	ELA			ELA	Math	MATH		
	Participated	414				Participated	413	
	Excused	0				Excused	0	
	Parent Opt-out	97				Parent Opt-out	96	
Academic Achievem	Unexcused	14				Unexcused	16	
	OSEP Participation Rate	78.9				OSEP Participation Rate		.7%
	CO IEP Participation Rate	articipation Rate 96.7%				CO IEP Participation Rate	96	.3%
	State Assessment Participation (Part of Indicator 3a)		N	%	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned
	ELA Participation		414	96.7%	40	95%≤AU = 3	3	3
	Math Participation	on		96.3%	36	AU<95% = 0	3	3
	Regular Assessment (Part of Indicator 3b)		Ν	Mean Scale Score	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned
	ELA Mean Scale Score (reg)	Current IEP	353	696.2	$AU \ge 712.0 = 9$ $712 > AU \ge 701.9 = 6$ $701.9 > AU \ge 693.6 = 3$ $AU < 693.6 = 0$	0		
		* IEP Exiter * Combined	37 390	712.6			9	3
	Math Mean Scale Sore	Current IEP	352	696.4		AU ≥ 711.8 = 9 711.8 > AU ≥ 701.1 = 6		
	(reg) * IEP Exiter and Combined provided for	* IEP Exiter	38 390	705.7	16	$701.1 > AU \ge 694.2 = 3$ AU < 694.2 = 0	9	3
	Alternate Assessment						Points	Points
	(Part of Indicator 3c)		Ν	%	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Earned
	ELA Prof Rate (Alt)		28	42.9%	78	$AU \ge 48.8\% = 6$ $48.8\% > AU \ge 31.6\% = 4$ $31.6\% > AU \ge 17.9\% = 2$ AU < 17.9% = 0	6	4
	Math Prof Rate (Alt)		28	3.6%	16	$\begin{array}{l} AU \geq 26.7\% = 6\\ 26.7\% > AU \geq 14.1\% = 4\\ 14.1\% > AU \geq \ 6.9\% = 2\\ AU < \ 6.9\% = 0 \end{array}$	6	0
	Note:							
	Preschool Achievement and		M	% Succeeded	AU's Percentile	Rubric 0 .5 1.0 1.5	Points Eligible	Points Earned
	Growth (Indicator 7)**	A1. Growth	N	% Succeeded 86.4%	88	73.9%·····82%·····91.5%	1.5	1.0
	A. Positive social- emotional skills	A1. Growth A2. Achievement	38					
				63.2%	41	59.6%·····67.5%·····82.8%	1.5	0.5
	B. Acquisition & Use of	B1. Growth	38	60.0%	12	72.1%·····80.4%·····91.2%	1.5	0.0
	Knowledge and Skills	B2. Achievement		60.5%	36	55.9%·····69.3%·····81.8 %	1.5	0.5

hillo intedge und sints	DZ. ACHIEVenient		00.5%	30	55.7/0	1.5	0.5	
C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their	C1. Growth	38	75.0%	54	66.7%76.2%86.6%	1.5	0.5	
needs	C2. Achievement		65.8%	42	61.8%71.4%86.0%	1.5	0.5	
Note:								
Achievement Points Earned: 19.0 out of 45							45	

**Growth = Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by time of exit from the program; Achievement = functioning within age expectations by time of exit

AU Results Matrix 2023 Continued



COLORADO Department of Education

	Administrative Unit: 01010 - Adams 1, Mapleton								
	Admi	nistrative			T, Mapleton		D : /		
	Median Growth Percentile	Ν	Median Growth %ile	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned		
8	ELA	119	30.0	14	$AU \ge 47 = 15$ $47 > AU \ge 39.1 = 10$ $39.1 > AU \ge 33 = 5$ AU < 33 = 0	15	0		
Academic Growth 50%	Math	87	34.0	49	$AU \ge 47 = 15$ $47 > AU \ge 40.7 = 10$ $40.7 > AU \ge 34.5 = 5$ AU < 34.5 = 0	15	0		
C Gr	Rise Up	N	% Rise Up	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned		
lemi	ELA				90th≤AU = 4 50th≤AU<90th = 3	45	30		
Acac	Math	no data	no data		15th≤AU<50th = 2 AU<15th = 1	45	30		
	Кеер Up	N	% Keep Up	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned		
	ELA				90th≤AU = 4 50th≤AU<90th = 3	15	10		
	Math	no data	no data		15th≤AU<50th = 2 AU<15th = 1	15	10		
	Acad	demic Gr	owth Poin	ts Earned:	80	out of	150		
	Graduation Rate			Highest Rate		Points	Points		
	4 Year Grad Rate	N 97	%Graduated 69.8%	Percentile	Rubric AU ≥ 92.3% = 21	Eligible	Earned		
	5 Year Grad Rate	86 73	76.7%	26	92.3% > AU ≥ 79.2% = 14	21	14		
%	6 Year Grad Rate 7 Year Grad Rate	86 73	80.2% 75.3%		$79.2\% > AU \ge 66.9\% = 7$ AU < 66.9\% = 0				
35%	Note:								
ess	IEP Dropout Exiter Rate		%Dropout			Points	Points		
din	Indicator 2	N	Exiters	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Earned		
Workforce Readiness	Rate N = students age ≥ 14 who exited schools % = students who exited due to dropping out	76	15.8%	42	$\begin{array}{rrrr} AU < 6.5\% &= \mbox{42} \\ 6.5\% \leq AU < 19\% &= \mbox{28} \\ 19\% \leq AU < 34.2\% &= \mbox{14} \\ AU \geq 34.2\% &= \mbox{0} \end{array}$	42	28		
Vor	Note:								
and W	Post-School Outcomes					Points	Points		
	Indicator 14	Ν		%	Rubric	Eligible	Earned		
Postsecondary	Contacts Attempted N = Students in Sample % = Contact attempted	57	98.2%		AU = 100% = 6 AU < 100% = 0	6	0		
Postse	Students Participated N = # in adjusted sample % = of students who participated out of adjusted sample	57	70.2%		60% ≤ AU = 6 60% > AU = 0	6	6		
	Outcome (Enrolled in higher ed, or in some other postsecondary ed or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment)	40	80.0%	Percentile Rank 40	$\begin{array}{rll} AU \geq 91.5\% &= 30 \\ 91.5\% > AU \geq 75.3\% &= 20 \\ 75.3\% > AU \geq 59.8\% &= 10 \\ AU < 59.8\% &= 0 \end{array}$	30	20		
		nd Work	force Doin	ts Earned:	68	out of	105		

PS and Workforce Points Earned:

	Academic Achievement:	19.0 out of 45			
	Academic Growth:				
	PS and Workforce Readiness:				
	Final Results Score:	167.0 out of 300.0			
	Results Determination:	Needs Assistance			
170 to 300 = Meets Requirements	110 to 169 = Needs Assistance	0 to 109 = Needs Intervention			
If the AU's final points eligible are less than 300, the original scores have been adjusted to meet the full scale of 45, 150, or 105 proportionally.					