

Exceptional Student Services Unit 1560 Broadway, Suite 1100 Denver, CO 80202-5149

Kelly E. Balderston
DIVISION OF YOUTH SERVICES
Colorado Division of Youth Corrections
4255 S. Knox Court
Denver, CO 80236

April 27, 2023

Dear Kelly E. Balderston,

As a condition for accepting Federal (IDEA) funds dedicated to meeting the individual needs of students with disabilities, the CDE must annually review the Administrative Unit (AU)'s performance to determine if the AU:

- 1) Meets the requirements and purposes of Part B of IDEA,
- 2) Needs assistance in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA,
- 3) Needs intervention in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA, or
- 4) Needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA.

34 C.F.R. § 300.603(b)(1)

Based on an evaluation using the criteria identified below, the CDE has made the following determinations for DIVISION OF YOUTH SERVICES:

- Compliance Determination: Meets Requirements
- Results Determination: Not Included

Overall Determination for DIVISION OF YOUTH SERVICES: **Meets Requirements** for the implementation of Part B of the IDEA for SY2021-2022.

The AU's performance is measured based on information provided by the AU, information obtained through monitoring visits, and other public information made available to the AU. In making the determination in Spring 2023, the CDE considered data submitted by DIVISION OF YOUTH SERVICES throughout SY2021-2022 in the following manner:



- 1. A **Compliance Matrix** that includes scoring on SPP/APR Compliance Indicators and other compliance elements
- 2. A **Results Matrix** that includes scoring on Results Elements
- 3. A Compliance Score and Compliance Determination
- 4. A Results Score and Results Determination
- 5. An **AU Percentage** based on the Compliance Score and the Results Score. The Compliance Score is weighted at **50%** and the Results Score is weighted at **50%** to calculate the RDA Percentage.
- 6. A consideration of Special Conditions
- 7. The AU's overall **Determination**

SPP/APR compliance indicators and other compliance elements examined in the current determination:

Indicator 4A: Whether the AU has significant discrepancy from the state in the rate of out-of-school suspensions/expulsions of students with disabilities that sum up to greater than 10 days in a school year.

Indicator 4B: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation by race/ethnicity in the rate of out-of-school suspensions/expulsions of students with disabilities that sum up to greater than 10 days in a school year, and the disproportionate representation is due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 9: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 10: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity in a specific disability category due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 11: Percent of children who were evaluated within 60 calendar days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.

Indicator 12: Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.

Indicator 13: Percent of youth ages 15 and above with an IEP that includes each of the required components for secondary transition.

Timely and Accurate Data Submission: The timeliness and accuracy of data submitted by the AUs under section 616 and 618 of the IDEA.

SPP/APR results indicators and other results elements examined in the current determination:

Indicator 1: Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.

Indicator 2: Percent of youth ages 14 and above with IEPs who exited from school due to dropping out.

Indicator 3: Statewide assessments participation, regular assessment mean scale score, and alternate assessment proficiency rate.

In addition, the following results elements are also included:



- 1. Median Growth Percentile in ELA and Math
- 2. Rise Up in ELA and Math (No data in SY2021-2022)
- 3. Keep Up in ELA and Math (No data in SY2021-2022)
- 4. For information only: Regular assessment mean scale score in ELA and Math with "IEP exiters" included. (Please see "How CO Made Determinations 2023," for detailed information.)

Indicator 7: Percent of preschool children who showed substantial growth and those who reached age expectations by the time they exited the program in positive socio-emotional skills, acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and use of appropriate behaviors.

Indicator 14: Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and

- 1. Whom AUs attempted to contact.
- 2. Participated in the post-school outcome interview.
- 3. Interview results indicate that the youth is enrolled in higher ed or some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.

Please access http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/determperf for various resources related to the determinations.

CDE is committed to supporting DIVISION OF YOUTH SERVICES in its efforts to improve results for children with disabilities and looks forward to working with you over the next year.

If you have any questions regarding this determination or the process, please contact Gloria Durosko at Durosko g@cde.state.co.us.

Sincerely,


Paul Foster, Ed.D


Executive Director; State Director of Special Education

Exceptional Student Services Unit

Posted to http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/determperf on 5/5/2023







AU Compliance Matrix 2023



Administrative Unit: 66080 - Division of Youth Services

4A: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspension and expulsion from the state rate. 2. The rate of children with disabilities who received suspensions/expulsions for > 10 days in a school year was below 2.62 1. Rate is above threshold for current year 0. Rate is above threshold for the current and previous two school years 4B: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions from the state rate by race and ethnicity. 2 = No raciol category was found with significant discrepancy for Indicator 4B 1 - At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for Indicator 4B 1 - At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for the current and the previous two school years, and 2) policies, procedure, and or practices were found to contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. Indicator 9: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification. Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification. Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification. Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation 10 - At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current and previous year Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation 10 - Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 - Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 - Indicators were at least 95% compliant in the current year QB less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year Indicator 13 file review 2 - All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 - One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inoccurate	Indicator	Performance	Points Eligible	Points Earned	
AB: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions from the state rate by race and ethnicity. 2 - No racial category was found with significant discrepancy for Indicator 4B 3 - At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for current year 0 - At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for the current and the previous two school years, and 2) policies, procedures, and or practices were refund to contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. Indicator 9: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification. Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification. Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification. 2 2 2 2 No racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current year 0 - At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current year 0 - At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current and previous year Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation 100% 2 2 Indicator 13: Secondary transition 100% 2 2 Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 - Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 - Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 1 - Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 1 - Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 1 - Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 1 - Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 1 - Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 1 - Indicators were less than 75% compl		n/a	0	0	
the state rate by race and ethnicity. 2 = No racial category was found with significant discrepancy for Indicator 4B 1 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for Lindicator 4B 1 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for the current and the previous two school years, and 2) policies, procedures, and/or practices were found to contribute to the significant discrepancy and the comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. Indicator 9: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification. Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification. Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification. Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation due to inappropriate identification for Indicators 9 and 10. I = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current year Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicator 13: Secondary transition Indicator 13: Secondary transition Indicators were at least 95% compliant I = Indicators were at least 95% compliant in the current year 08 less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year Indicators were at least 95% compliant in the current year 08 less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year Indicators were at least 95% compliant in the current year 08 less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year Indicators were at least 95% compliant in the current year 08 less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year Indicators were at least 95% compliant in the current year 08 less than 95% c	1 = Rate is above threshold for current year				
1 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for current year 0. At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for the current and the previous two school years, and 2) policies, procedures, and/or practices were found to contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. Indicator 9: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification. Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification. Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification. Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation due to inappropriate identification for Indicators 9 and 10. 1 - At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current year on a At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current and previous year of a At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current and previous year of Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation in the current year of Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicators were at least 95% compliant or Indicators Indicator 13 file review 2 - All above submissions were bath timely and accurate Total Points Available: Compliance Points Earned: Compliance Points Earned: Complianc		n/a	0	0	
in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification. Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification. 2 2 2 2 No adisproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification. 2 2 2 2 No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inappropriate identification for Indicators 9 and 10. 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current and previous year Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation 100% 2 2 Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicator 13: Secondary transition 100% 2 2 2 Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year OR less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year Timely and Accurate Data Submission Yes 2 2 Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate Total Points Available: Compliance Points Earned: Compliance Score: 100 100 out of 100 Meets Requirements	1 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for the current and the previous two school years, and 2) policies, procedures, and/or practices were found to contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the				
in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification. 2 = No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inappropriate identification for Indicators 9 and 10. 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current and previous year Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicator 13: Secondary transition Indicator 13: Secondary transition Indicator 14: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicator 15: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicators were at least 95% compliant I = Indicators were at least 95% compliant I = Indicators were at least 95% compliant I = Indicators were at least 95% compliant in the current year OR less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year Timely and Accurate Data Submission Yes 2 2 Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were late and/or inaccurate I = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate O = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate Compliance Points Earned: Compliance Score: 100 Meets Requirements	in special education and related services due to inappropriate		2	2	
1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current and previous year Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicator 13: Secondary transition Indicator 13: Secondary transition Indicator 13: Secondary transition Indicators were at least 95% compliant I = Indicators were at least 95% compliant I = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant I = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year OR less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year Timely and Accurate Data Submission Yes Indicator 13: If eview Indicator 14: Indicator 15: In			2	2	
Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicator 13: Secondary transition Indicator 13: Secondary transition Indicator 13: Secondary transition Indicators were at least 95% compliant I = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant I = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant I = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant I = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant I = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant I = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year Timely and Accurate Data Submission Yes 2 2 Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate Total Points Available: Compliance Points Earned: Compliance Score: 100 100 out of 100 Meets Requirements	1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current year				
Indicator 13: Secondary transition 2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year OR less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year Timely and Accurate Data Submission Yes 2 Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate Compliance Points Earned: Compliance Score: 100 100 out of 100 Meets Requirements	Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation	100%	2	2	
2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year OR less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year Timely and Accurate Data Submission Yes 2 Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate Total Points Available: Compliance Points Earned: Compliance Score: 100 out of 100 Compliance Determination: Meets Requirements	Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday.	n/a	0	0	
1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year OR less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year Timely and Accurate Data Submission Yes 2 Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate Compliance Points Earned: Compliance Score: 100 100 out of 100 Meets Requirements	Indicator 13: Secondary transition	100%	2	2	
Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate Compliance Points Available: Compliance Score: Compliance Determination: Meets Requirements	1 = Indicators were at least 75 % and less than 95 % compliant				
Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate Total Points Available: Compliance Points Earned: Compliance Score: Compliance Determination: Meets Requirements	Timely and Accurate Data Submission	Yes	2	2	
Compliance Points Earned: Compliance Score: Compliance Determination: Meets Requirements	Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate				
Compliance Score: 100 out of 100 Compliance Determination: Meets Requirements	Total Points Available:				
Compliance Determination: Meets Requirements	·	-			
The state of the s	90 to 100 = Meets Requirements 80 to 89 = Needs Assistance		0 to 79 = Needs Intervention		