

Exceptional Student Services Unit 1560 Broadway, Suite 1100 Denver, CO 80202-5149

Ms. Sarah Cannon DOUGLAS RE-1, CASTLE ROCK Douglas County SD 1 620 Wilcox Street Castle Rock, CO 80104-1739

April 15, 2022

The Colorado Department of Education (CDE) recognizes that educators, students, and families are facing unprecedented circumstances and challenges related to the COVID-19 pandemic. At this time, however, the U.S. Department of Education has not granted waivers related to the CDE's requirement to annually review the performance of the State's administrative units (AUs) to determine if each AU:

- 1) Meets the requirements and purposes of Part B of IDEA,
- 2) Needs assistance in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA,
- 3) Needs intervention in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA, or
- 4) Needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA.

34 C.F.R. § 300.603(b)(1)

Historically, performance is measured based on information provided by the AU, information obtained through monitoring visits, and other public information made available to the AU. Examining this information in its totality, the CDE determines the AU's level of compliance with IDEA and academic success of students with disabilities via the Compliance Matrix and Results Matrix, respectively.

The disruptions to the state assessments in both spring 2020 and spring 2021 caused by the COVID-19 pandemic significantly compromised the availability and reliability of data used to score the Results Matrix. Given the compromised scoring of the Results Matrix, the AU determinations for 2022 were made using only the Compliance Matrix. Although the Results Matrix is populated with the AU's actual performance, it was not included in the scoring of the AU determinations. The Results Matrix is therefore being provided to the AU as information only.

Consequently, in making the determination in spring 2022, the CDE considered data submitted by DOUGLAS RE-1, CASTLE ROCK throughout SY2020-21 in the following manner:

- 1. **A Compliance Matrix** that includes scoring on the State Performance Plan (SPP) Compliance Indicators and other compliance factors
- 2. **Compliance Score** and **Compliance Determination** makes the **AU's Final Determination**



SPP-APR compliance indicators and other compliance elements examined in the current determination:

Indicator 4A: Whether the AU has significant discrepancy from the state in the rate of out-of-school suspensions/expulsions of students with disabilities that sum up to greater than 10 days in a school year.

Indicator 4B: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation by race/ethnicity in the rate of out-of-school suspensions/expulsions of students with disabilities that sum up to greater than 10 days in a school year, and the disproportionate representation is due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 9: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 10: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity in a specific disability category due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 11: Percent of children who were evaluated within 60 calendar days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.

Indicator 12: Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.

Indicator 13: Percent of youth ages 15 and above with an IEP that includes each of the required components for secondary transition.

Timely and Accurate Data Submission: The timeliness and accuracy of data submitted by the AUs under section 616 and 618 of the IDEA.

<u>SPP-APR results indicators and other results elements examined *but NOT included* in the scoring of the <u>current determination</u>:</u>

Indicator 1: Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.

Indicator 2: Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school.

Indicator 7: Percent of preschool children who showed substantial growth and those who reached age expectations by the time they exited the program in positive socio-emotional skills, acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and use of appropriate behaviors.

Indicator 14: Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and

- 1. Whom AUs attempted to contact for the post-school outcome interview.
- 2. Participated in the post-school outcome interview.



3. Interview results indicated that the youth was enrolled in higher ed or some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.

<u>SPP-APR results indicators and other results elements *NOT examined or included* in the scoring of the <u>current determination</u>:</u>

Indicator 3: Statewide Assessments participation, regular assessment mean scale score, and alternate assessment proficiency rate.

In addition, the following results elements are also included in the typical year determinations but not the current year:

- 1. Median Growth Percentile in ELA and Math
- 2. Rise Up in ELA and Math
- 3. Keep Up in ELA and Math

Based on an evaluation using the criteria identified above, the CDE has determined that DOUGLAS RE-1, CASTLE ROCK <u>meets the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA for SY2020-21</u>. Please access <u>http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/determperf</u> for various resources related to the determinations.

CDE is committed to supporting DOUGLAS RE-1, CASTLE ROCK in its efforts to improve results for children with disabilities during these challenging times and looks forward to working with you over the next year.

If you have any questions regarding this determination or the process, please contact Candace Hawkins at <u>Hawkins_C@cde.state.co.us</u>.

Sincerely,

Paul Foster, Ed.D Executive Director; State Director of Special Education Exceptional Student Services Unit

Posted to https://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/determinations_on 4/29/2022



AU Compliance Matrix 2022



Administrative Unit: 18010 - Douglas RE 1, Castle Rock

Indicator		Performance	Points Eligible	Points Earned			
4A: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspension and expulsion from the state rate.		0.01	2	2			
 The rate of children with disabilities who received suspensions/expulsions for > 10 days in a school year was below 0.42 Rate is above threshold for current year Rate is above threshold for the current and previous two school years 							
4B: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions from the state rate by race and ethnicity.		No Significant Discrepancy	2	2			
 2 = No racial category was found with significant discrepancy for Indicator 4B 1 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for the current and the previous two school years, and 2) policies, procedures, and/or practices were found to contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. 							
Indicator 9: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification.		NO disproportionate representation	2	2			
Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification.		NO disproportionate representation	2	2			
 2 = No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inappropriate identification for Indicators 9 and 10. 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current and previous year 							
Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation		98 %	2	2			
Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday.		100%	2	2			
Indicator 13: Secondary transition		100%	2	2			
2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year <u>OR</u> less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year							
Timely and Accurate Data Submission		Yes	2	2			
Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate							
Total Points Available:	Total Points Available: 16						
Compliance Points Earned: Compliance Score:		16 100 out of 100					
Compliance Determination:		Meets					
90 to 100 = Meets Requirements 80 to 89 = Needs Assistance	e		Needs Interver	ntion			

Special Note for the 2022 Results Matrix

The RDA Results Matrix is NOT a part of the AU Determinations 2022. Because the state assessments were disrupted in both 2020 and 2021 due to COVID-19, the academic achievement portion and the academic growth portion are greyed out in the current 2022 Results Matrix. The limited available data are populated for information-only purposes.

AU Results Matrix 2022

min Arbinom

Note:



Administrative Unit: 18010 - Douglas Re 1, Castle Rock

State Assessment Participation Detail							
ELA					MATH		
Participated					Participated		
Excused			Excused				
Parent Opt-out	As	ssessment Data Not Included 2022			Parent Opt-out Unexcused		
OSEP Participation Rate	Unexcused				OSEP Participation Rate		
CO IEP Participation Rate					CO IEP Participation Rate		
State Assessment Parti (Part of Indicator 3b)	cipation	Ν	%	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned
ELA Participation							
Math Participation							
Regular Assessm	ent	N	Mean Scale	AU's		Points	Defete Ferred
(Part of Indicator 3c)		Ν	Score	Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Points Earned
ELA Mean Scale Score	Current IEP						
(reg)	* IEP Exiter						
	* Combined						
Math Mean Scale Sore	Current IEP						
(reg)							
* IEP Exiter and Combined provided	* IEP Exiter						
for information only							
Alternate Assessi	ment		<i></i>	AU's		Points	
(Part of Indicator 3c)		Ν	%	Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Points Earned
ELA Prof Rate (Alt)							
Math Prof Rate (Alt)							
Note:					1	<u>.</u>	
Preschool Achiev	vement			AU's	Rubric	Points	
and Growth (Indica	tor 7)**	N	% Succeeded	Percentile	0.5 1.0 1.5	Eligible	Points Earned
A. Positive social-	Growth	279	83.6%	80	73.9%·····82%·····91.5%	1.50	1.00
emotional skills	Achievement	217	63.8%	66	59.6%67.5%82.8%	1.50	0.50
B. Acquisition & Use of Knowledge and Skills	Growth	279	82.6%	68	72.1%80.4%91.5%	1.50	1.00
	Achievement		73.1%	72	55.9%69.3%81.8%	1.50	1.00
C. Use of appropriate	Growth	279	80.9%	74	66.7%76.2%86.6%	1.50	1.00
behaviors to meet their needs	Achievement		54.1%	55	61.8%·····71.4%·····86%	1.50	0.00

Achievement Points Earned: Points Not Calculated

**Growth = Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by time of exit from the program; Achievement = functioning within age expectations by time of exit

	Median Growth Percentile	N	Median Growth %ile	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned		
	ELA	Assessment	Data Not Inclus	dad 2022		Ū			
%	ELA	Assessment	Data Not Includ	Jed 2022					
50% ו	Math								
Academic Growth 50%	Mdll								
	Rise Up	Ν	% Rise Up	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned		
	ELA								
	Math								
	Keep Up	N	% Keep Up	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned		
	ELA								
	Math								
		nic Grov	vth Points		Points Not (ted		
	Graduation Rate Indicator 1	N	%Graduated	Highest Rate Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned		
	4 Year Grad Rate	551	72.6%	rercentite	AU ≥ 92.3% = 21	Lugible	Tomes Lamed		
	5 Year Grad Rate	519	80.0%	56	92.3% > AU ≥ 79.2% = 14	21	14		
	6 Year Grad Rate	497	83.5%	50	$79.2\% > AU \ge 66.9\% = 7$		14		
35%	7 Year Grad Rate	471	86.0%		AU < 66.9% = 0				
	Note: IEP Dropout Exiter Rate %Dropout AU's Points								
line	IEP Dropout Exiter Rate Indicator 2	Ν	%Dropout Exiters	Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Points Earned		
Readiness			Exiters		AU <6.5% = 42				
e R	Rate N = students age ≥ 14 who exited schools	454	9.7%	68	6.5% ≤ AU < 19% = 28	42	28		
and Workforce	% = students who exited due to dropping out	454	7.1/0	00	$19\% \le AU < 34.2\% = 14$ $AU \ge 34.2\% = 0$	42	20		
Vor	Note:				•				
/ pu	Post-School Outcomes			N	Dubuta	Points	Delate Franced		
	Indicator 14	N	%		Rubric	Eligible	Points Earned		
stsecondary	Contacts Attempted N = Students in Sample	200	100.0%		AU = 100% = 6 AU < 100% = 0	6	6		
secc	% = Contact attempted Students Participated								
ost	N = # in adjusted sample	199	65	.8%	60% ≤ AU = 6	4	6		
•	% = of students who participated out of adjusted sample	177	05	.070	60% > AU = 0	0	0		
	Outcome (Enrolled in higher ed, or in some			Percentile	AU ≥ 91.5% = 30 91.5% > AU ≥ 75.3% = 20				
	other postsecondary ed or training program; or competitively employed or in some other	131	87.8%	84	75.3%> AU ≥59.8% = 10	30	20		
	employment) Note:				AU < 59.8% = 0				
		Workfoi	rce Points	Earned:	Points Not (Calcula	ted		
			Academic A	chievement:					
	Academic Growth:								
	PS and Workforce Readiness:								
	Final Results Score: Results Determination: No Results Determination this year								
lf th	170 to 300 = Meets Requirements 110 to 169 = Needs Assistance 0 to 109 = Needs Intervention								

If the AU's final points eligible are less than 300, the original scores have been adjusted to meet the full scale of 45, 150, or 105 proportionally.