## SY15-16 RM Indicator Tool

	Risk Indicator
	SFA's enrollment 40,000 students or more?
	ous Financial Findings  ESFA have any financial findings related to the child nutrition programs on previous Administrative Reviews, A-133, OIG, or other state audits-
	the past three years?
Maint	renance of the Nonprofit School Food Service Account
Did the	e SFA have a separate financial account designated for the nonprofit school food service?
Did the	e SFA conduct a year-end review of total revenues and expenses to determine the school food service's nonprofit status?
Did the	e SFA have year-end expenses in excess of revenues, requiring a general fund transfer to cover the balance?
Did the	e SFA transfer funds out of the nonprofit school food service account to support other school operations during, or at the end, of the school
Did the	e SFA complete a process to ensure its compliance with the net cash resources limitation to a level at or below three months' average expenses?
Paid L	unch Equity
	e SFA charge the weighted minimum average paid lunch price at all sites or use the USDA Paid Lunch Equity Tool to evaluate the need to raise its inch prices?
Did the	e SFA use non-Federal funds to support its paid lunch prices?
Did the	e SFA receive an exemption to the Paid Lunch Equity requirement?
Did the	e SFA increase its paid lunch prices at the level required by the USDA Paid Lunch Equity tool or comparable mechanism?
Rever	ue from Nonprogram Foods
Did the	e SFA have a system or process in place that allows it to separate its nonprogram food revenue from its program food revenue?
<del>Does t</del>	he SFA have a system or process in place that allows it to separate its nonprogram food costs from its program food costs?
	e SFA use the USDA Nonprogram Food Revenue Tool or a USDA-approved alternative method to assess its compliance with the- ue from nonprogram food requirements [7 CFR 210.14(f)]?
Did the	e SFA generate at least as great a share of total revenue from nonprogram foods as nonprogram foods contributed to total food costs?
	SFA's calculation showed that the proportion of nonprogram food cost was greater than the proportion of nonprogram food revenues, did the fficiently increase its nonprogram food prices to ensure compliance with the Federal requirements?
Indire	ct Costs
	indirect costs charged to the SFA's nonprofit school food service account?

<sup>\*</sup> If three or more (3+) Resource Management areas receive risk indicators, a comprehensive resource management review is required.

**Total Resource Management Risk Level:** 

## SY16-17 RM Indicator Tool **Risk Indicator** Maintenance of the Nonprofit School Food Service Account Did the SFA have a separate financial account designated for the nonprofit school food service? Did the SFA conduct a year-end review of total revenues and expenses to determine the school food service's nonprofit status? After including the beginning fund balance, did the SFA have year-end expenses in excess of revenues, requiring a general fund transfer to cover the balance? Did the SFA transfer funds out of the nonprofit school food service account to support other school operations during, or at the end, of the school year? Did the SFA complete a process to ensure its compliance with the net cash resources limitation to a level at or below three months' average expenses? Did the SFA have internal control procedures to ensure that only allowable costs were charged to the nonprofit school food service account? Risk Level Paid Lunch Equity Did the SFA charge the weighted minimum average paid lunch price at all sites or use the USDA Paid Lunch Equity Tool to evaluate the need Did the SFA use non-Federal funds to support its paid lunch prices? Did the SFA have a State agency exemption to the Paid Lunch Equity requirement during the resource management review period/previous school year? Did the SFA increase its paid lunch prices at the level required by the USDA Paid Lunch Equity tool or comparable mechanism? Risk Level Revenue from Nonprogram Foods Did the SFA sell nonprogram foods including, but not limited to, a la carte foods (e.g., milk; 2nd entrees; Smart Snacks), catering (e.g., foods/beverages for school board meetings; foods for outside entities and programs), and/or adult meals (e.g., meals for eachers, parents, etc.)? Risk Level Indirect Costs Were indirect costs charged to the SFA's nonprofit school food service account? Risk Level lf a risk flag is raised in any of the four Resource Management review areas, a comprehensive review of that review area must take place. If the SFA does not provide the completed Resource Management portion of the Off-site Assessment Tool to the State agency at least four weeks prior to the on-site administrative review or the state agency conducting the review does not complete the Resource Management Risk Indicator Tool at least four weeks prior to the on-site review, the state agency must conduct comprehensive reviews of each of the four Resource Management review areas as part of the SFA's administrative review. Comment Box: