

Colorado State Board of Education

## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION COMMISSION DENVER, COLORADO

September 14, 2016, AM

BE IT REMEMBERED THAT on September 14, 2016,

the above-entitled meeting was conducted at the Colorado

Department of Education, before the following Board

Members:

Steven Durham (R), Chairman Angelika Schroeder (D), Vice Chairman Valentina (Val) Flores (D) Jane Goff (D) Pam Mazanec (R) Joyce Rankin (R) Debora Scheffel (R)



1 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: State Board of Education, 2 please come to order. I'll remind the members to please 3 when speaking, turn on the microphones, and speak into the microphones, we'll make Elizabeth much happier. And we're 4 -- this is our first time we've been back in this room 5 6 since I think our special meeting in July, right? And so 7 Elizabeth, do you have any -- any instructions -- new instructions about the equipment -- we need to know before 8 we actually get started? 9 10 MS. BURDSALL: Just that you are correct, 11 we've renovated -- during that time, we've renovated the Board room and we've improved, we've updated and improved 12 13 the inner room audio, so we have new speakers, new 14 microphones, that doesn't mean -- that doesn't mean you can still speak away from them. Still should talk directly 15 16 into your microphones, but the sound quality both in the 17 Board room, and stream should be much better. 18 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: We'll try and do better. 19 Would you please call the roll Ms. Burdsall? MS. BURDSALL: Board Member Flores. 20 21 MS. FLORES: Here. MS. BURDSALL: Board Member Goff. 22 23 MS. GOFF: Here. 24 MS. BURDSALL: Board Member Mazanec. 25 MS. MAZANEC: Here.



1 MS. BURDSALL: Board Member Rankin. 2 MS. RANKIN: Here. 3 MS. BURDSALL: Board Member Scheffel. MS. SCHEFFEL: Here. 4 MS. BURDSALL: Board Member Schroeder. 5 6 MS. SCHROEDER: Here. MS. BURDSALL: Chairman Durham. 7 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Present. Quorum is 8 9 present. Now, let's see. Ms. Anthes -- Dr. Anthes, could 10 you please send the pledge of allegiance, and please 11 everybody please stand. I pledge of allegiance to the Flag of 12 ALL: 13 the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands. One Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty 14 and justice for all. 15 16 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Thank you, Dr. Anthes. 17 Just a reminder to the members, we really have a tough 18 agenda today, so any time we have an opportunity to keep 19 things brief, and on point, let's please try and do that. 20 So we hopefully won't get to -- too far behind schedule. The -- let's see here. All right. Is there a motion to 21 22 approve the agenda? Yes. 23 MS. SCHROEDER: It's been moved. 24 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: It's been moved by Dr. Schroeder. Is there a second? 25

3



1 MS. FLORES: I second. CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Dr. Flores seconds the 2 3 motion. Any discussion? All those in favor. All right. Let's try this again. Is there any objection to the 4 approval of the agendas probably? Seeing none, we'll 5 6 proceed then to the consent agenda Item five. Dr. Schroeder? 7 MS. SCHROEDER: I move to place the 8 9 following matters on the consent agenda. 11.01 re-appoint Cyndi Wright to the Public School Capital Construction 10 11 Assistance Board for a two year term effective immediately. 11.02, certify pay -- certify payments to school districts 12 13 for the Public School Finance Act of 1994 as amended, state year total program in the monthly amount of \$342,927,429.41 14 15 for July 2016 through November 2016. 16 14.02, regarding disciplinary proceedings concerning a 17 license charge number 2012-EC-3023, signify acceptance and approval of the terms and conditions of the settlement 18 19 agreement by directing the commissioner to sign the agreement. 14.03 regarding disciplinary proceedings 20 concerning a credential charge number 2015-EC-237, direct 21 department staff and the state attorney general's office to 22 23 prepare the documents necessary to request a formal hearing 24 for the revocation of the credential holders professional teachers license pursuant to Section 24-4-104 CRS. 25



1	14.04, regarding disciplinary proceedings concerning a
2	credential charge number 2015-EC-1157, direct department
3	staff and state attorney general's office to prepare the
4	documents necessary to request a formal hearing for the
5	revocation of the credential holders professional teachers
6	license, pursuant to Section 24-4-104 CRS.
7	14.05, regarding disciplinary proceedings concerning an
8	application charge number 2015-EC-1451, direct department
9	staff to issue a notice of denial, and appeal rights to the
10	applicant pursuant to Section 24-4-104 CRS.
11	14.06, approve 16 initial emergency authorization request
12	as set forth in the published agenda.
13	16.03, approve the distribution of payments to
14	administrative units for gifted education under the
15	Exceptional Children's Education Act as set forth in the
16	published agenda.
17	16.04, approve school year 2016-'17 English Language
18	Proficiency programs distribution of funds, and
19	distribution of professional development and Student
20	Support Program funds under the English Language
21	Proficiency Act as set forth in the published agenda.
22	MS. FLORES: Can I can we
23	MS. SCHROEDER: Can I finish?
24	MS. FLORES: Sure.



1	CHAIRMAN DURHAM: They're just you make
2	note and we'll we'll do that all at one time. I know
3	Ms. Mazanec has note on this one.
4	MS. SCHROEDER: 17.01, approve the
5	additional alternative education campus for 2016-'17 school
6	year as set forth in the published agenda.
7	18.01, affirm the Innovation School application from Falcon
8	49 School District on behalf of the Falcon District.
9	18.02 through 18.08, approve the Charter School waiver
10	request action items 18.02 through 18.08 inclusive as set
11	forth in the published agenda. That's the end of the
12	consent agenda.
13	CHAIRMAN DURHAM: And it has been moved
14	to move there?
15	MS. FLORES: I move it.
16	CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Was it seconded? Is there
17	a second to that?
18	MS. RANKIN: (Inaudible).
19	CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Did you just
20	MS. RANKIN: No, (inaudible).
21	CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Not on the consent.
22	MS. RANKIN: I'm sorry.
23	CHAIRMAN DURHAM: All right, though. Okay.
24	Thank you Ms. Rankin who second it. Now, are there request
25	for removal of any items, Ms. Mazanec?



microphone?

1

2

3

MS. MAZANEC: Yeah, just this one, 14.02. MS. BURDSALL: Can you please speak in your MS. MAZANEC: I am 14.02. Actually, it's

4 MS. MAZANEC: I am 14.02. Actually, it's just really pretty much a staff question. 5 6 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Okay. We'll -- we'll take it in order when we get to it. So 14.02 be removed from 7 consent agenda. Dr. Flores did you have an item you'd like 8 9 removed? 10 MS. FLORES: I do. It's 18.01. CHAIRMAN DURHAM: 18.01. 11 MS. FLORES: And that's the innovation, but 12 it's innovation, isn't it? For Falcon? 13 14 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Yes. 15 MS. RANKIN: Okay. So if it helps any I -- my 16 MS. FLORES: 17 question to staff was whether they already had innovation 18 status? And they do. 19 MS. RANKIN: Okay. So this is just something that 20 MS. FLORES: they're adding on. 21 22 MS. RANKIN: Okay. 23 MS. FLORES: Is that correct? MS. RANKIN: Yes, it's just for their high 24 25 school. So since they're already a district of innovation,



1 they don't need to come -- really come back for a 2 presentation, and we just put those on the consent agenda. 3 MS. FLORES: Okay. Thank you. MS. RANKIN: Okay. 4 5 MS. FLORES: Okay. 6 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: So 18.0 -- 18.01 then will remain on the consent agenda, so the only item will be 7 14.02 will be removed, and we'll try and take that in order 8 so don't let me skip it. Okay. Is there unanimous consent 9 for the consent agenda as -- as with the one item removed? 10 11 Seeing no objection, that there is unanimous consent for the consent agenda. Okay. Thank you. All right, now --12 13 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Inaudible). CHAIRMAN DURHAM: No, I -- I got it. 14 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yeah. 15 16 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Let -- let me get you. 17 Okay. So next item is, Ms. Burdsall, we have your report 18 please? 19 Thank you, Mr. Chair. MS. BURDSALL: Good 20 morning Mr. Chair, Members of the Board and Interim Commissioner Anthes, and welcome back to Denver. As Steve 21 22 mentioned, please remember just please -- please speak 23 clearly into your microphones, and make sure they're on 24 when you are speaking. And for those of you needing to



connect to CDE's guest wireless, locate the CDE hotspot, 1 and the password is Silver, capital S. 2 3 And before I begin my usual report, I'd like to take a moment to recognize the Student Artists of the 2016 4 Congressional Art Show competition. This recognition has 5 6 become an annual tradition starting in 2012 through the collaborative partnership established with our 7 congressional representatives, and providing their second 8 place award winning artwork from the Congressional Art Show 9 competition to be housed here at the Department of 10 11 Education for one year, as you see over there on the wall. This has allowed us a wonderful opportunity to -- oh I'm 12 13 sorry, a wonderful tradition of exhibiting the talent of Colorado's very own student artists. Usually, this 14 recognition takes place at the August Board meeting. But 15 since we were in Grand Junction, we postponed it until the 16 17 September Board meeting.

Unfortunately, none of the students are able to attend 18 today but they were still graciously -- they still 19 20 graciously allowed us to hang their artwork in our state Board room for the next year. So we will be sending the 21 students a certificate of recognition, and a congratulatory 22 letter as a token of our appreciation for allowing us to 23 house their artwork, and continue this visually enjoyable 24 tradition. 25



1 The student artists of the 2016 Congressional Art Show 2 competition are, for the second Congressional District, Kim Todd, she shares her digital piece titled ''City,'' right 3 there. For the fourth Congressional District, Abby Vannak 4 shared her mixed media piece entitled Emily Rose. The 5 6 fifth Congressional District, Terrell (inaudible), says computer generated piece titled ''Circle of Life,'' which 7 is really cool. For the sixth Congressional District, 8 Alyssa McKenna shares her water -- watercolor piece titled 9 ''Drowning in Possibilities.'' And the seventh 10 11 Congressional district, Max Ansbacher, shares his photography piece titled ''Get away to Columbine .'' And 12 13 that is our artwork for the year. So in your Board pockets, you have the following materials. You have your 14 events calendar, and quick glance expense report. Also in 15 16 your Board pockets, and or available on Board docs are the 17 following materials.

18 For item 8.01, you have a memo regarding the research 19 request to review procedure to a camping PowerPoints for 20 that presentation.

For Item 10.1, you have a memo regarding the accreditation of school district -- school districts rulemaking hearing, redline, and clean copy of the rules. The rule statute crosswalk in comments we've received as well as CDE staffs response written comments document.



1 For 11.01, you have a memo regarding the reappointment of 2 Cyndi Wright to the public school capital Construction 3 Assistance Board, and Cyndi's professional experience. For Item 11.02, you have the fiscal year '16-'17, July 4 through November state share payments. 5 6 For Item 11.03, you have a memo regarding the Healthy Beverages Policy rules, a red an clean -- a redline and 7 clean copy of the rules. Rules to crap -- rules to statute 8 crosswalk beverage comparison chart. The comments we've 9 received since the August Board meeting in response to 10 those written comments document. 11 12 For 11.04, you have a memo regarding the notice of 13 rulemaking for the accounting, and reporting rules. A redline copy of the rules, and rules to statute crosswalk. 14 For Item 12.02, you have the proposed 2017 State Board 15 16 meeting dates. 17 For Item 14.06, you have a memo regarding the 16 initial 18 emergency authorization request.

19 For Item 16.01, you have a memo regarding Lewis Palmer's 20 waiver request, their actual request for a waiver, their 21 final rationale and replacement plan, standards based 22 report card, and measurements, document. Lewis Palmer's 23 second public hearing documents, and CDE staff responds to 24 Lewis Palmer's waiver request.



1	For Item 16.02, you have a memo regarding what Ray's
2	waiver request. Their request for waiver application, and
3	CDE staff response to the Ray waiver requests.
4	For Item 16.03, you have a memo regarding the Gifted
5	Education, fiscal year '16-'17 allocations, and the
6	accompanying chart.
7	For Item 16.04, you have a memo regarding the English
8	Language Proficiency Act, fiscal year '16-'17 district
9	allocations and accompanying chart.
10	For Item 17.01, you have a memo regarding the additional
11	alternative education campus for the school year '16-'17,
12	and the AEC status chart.
13	For item 18.01, you have a memo regarding Falcon School
14	District 49's innovation application request on behalf of
15	Falcon High School. District 49 CEO recommendation for
16	innovation plan be approved and amended innovation plan.
17	The districts resolution and letter for support for Falcon
18	High School, their budget report waiver 2016 (inaudible)
19	schedule and addendum to their innovation plan.
20	For Items 18.02 through 18.08, you have memos in supporting
21	materials pertaining to the Charter School waiver requests.
22	For Item 18.09, you have a memo regarding the notice of
23	rulemaking for the administration, certification, and
24	oversight of online programs. The redline and clean copy
25	of the rules, and rules to statute crosswalk.



1	For Item 19.01, you have a memo regarding the Colorado
2	Special Education Advisory Committee annual report. They
3	are coming in PowerPoint in their '15-'16 report.
4	For Items 20.01 and 20.02, you have a memo regarding the
5	notice of rulemaking for the college entrance exam rule 1
6	CCR 301-46, and the administration of the ACT assessment on
7	a national test test date rule 1 CCR 301-54 to be
8	combined into one rule, so you have a redline and clean
9	copy of those rules, and then the rule to statute
10	crosswalk.
11	For Item 20.01, you have a memo regarding the CMAS PARCC
12	contract.
13	For Items 22.01, you have a memo regarding the standard
14	revision process and accompanying PowerPoint.
15	Now, we're on to Thursday. For tomorrow, you have for
16	Item 4.01, you have a memo regarding the Col Colorado,
17	culturally, and linguistically diverse action plan. The
18	accompanying PowerPoint, and then the development plan
19	which is a working product for discussion opening.
20	For Item 6.01, you have a memo regarding the Every Student
21	Succeeds Act state plan update. The ESSA school
22	improvement Spoke Committee, and update on the school
23	improvement Spoke Committee. The accompanying PowerPoint,
24	the improvement Spoke Committee membership list, the
25	summary of the ESSA references for school support and



improvement, and then the ESSA listening tour feedback
 report.

3 For Item 8.01, you have a memo regarding the administrative procedures for State Board accountability actions, the 4 accompanying PowerPoint, and then the draft procedures. 5 6 For Item 9.01, you have a memo regarding Pueblo City Schools innovation requests on behalf of Benjamin Franklin 7 Elementary, Irving, (inaudible), Pueblo Academy Arts 8 Risely, and National Academy of Innovation, and Roncalli 9 Stem Academy for, and then Pueblo's Innovation Plan, and 10 11 state waivers rationale replacement plan, their resolution, their 2016-'17 enrollments, and then a five year summary of 12 13 the budget reports for their elementary schools. And lastly, for Item 9.01, you have a memo regarding 14 Burlington School District's innovation zone application on 15 16 behalf of Burlington elementary, middle, and high school. 17 Innovation plan application, their '16-'17 budget, personnel staff supporting documentation. There, let's see 18 adopted budget for their '16-'17 -- 17'-8 -- '15-'16, and 19 20 '16-'17 school year. And then CDE staff response to school readiness, and educator license -- licensing evaluation 21 portion of intense innovation plan. And that concludes my 22 23 report. Sorry it was so lengthy.

24 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Thank you. Yes Dr. -- Dr.25 Flores, questions?

14



1	MS. FLORES: As I recall number four on
2	Thursday, I'm concerned about the the plan for
3	MS. BURDSALL: Oh it's just an action
4	it's an information item. So you're just getting an
5	update. You won't be taking any action.
6	MS. FLORES: I know we're not gonna be
7	taking action but before you go into a lot of work on it.
8	I mean, there are some critical issues in that that I'm
9	concerned about.
10	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Are we gonna talk about
11	
12	MS. BURDSALL: I think, yeah we're going to
13	discuss that tomorrow.
14	MS. FLORES: Okay.
15	CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Okay. All right. Okay
16	Any questions? Any questions Ms. Cordial? I got that
17	
	right this time. One more up. Any questions? Seeing
18	right this time. One more up. Any questions? Seeing none. Thank you. We'll now proceed to Dr. Anthes, do you
18 19	
	none. Thank you. We'll now proceed to Dr. Anthes, do you
19	none. Thank you. We'll now proceed to Dr. Anthes, do you have the Commissioner's report please?
19 20	none. Thank you. We'll now proceed to Dr. Anthes, do you have the Commissioner's report please? MS. ANTHES: Yes, thank you Mr. Chairman.
19 20 21	none. Thank you. We'll now proceed to Dr. Anthes, do you have the Commissioner's report please? MS. ANTHES: Yes, thank you Mr. Chairman. Well, you have quite the Board packet today, and I think



1 all of the future meetings. I know you -- you all have 2 quite a bit on your plate. So thank you for that. Just a couple quick things, and hopefully we can make up 3 some time here. I won't spend too long. But just a quick 4 update on the ESSA plan development. This continues to be 5 6 a -- a huge staff effort, and -- and just wanna thank -thank the entire CDE team for their continued work on this. 7 We have -- have many, many, many meetings in addition to 8 the Spoke, and Hub committee meetings going on to continue 9 to gather feedback. Over 1,500 people involved, and -- and 10 11 wanting to be engaged, and provide feedback on this work. The Spoke meetings are -- are starting to happen. We had 12 13 our second Hub meeting on Monday, and I know we'll get a chance to get updates from that from the team later. Just 14 -- we've done some backward mapping on that a -- add --15 additional backward mapping, and know that the four months 16 17 we have in front of us are gonna be incredibly busy to get 18 you all, and have you engaged in the development of the draft plan as we go forward. 19

So we are working towards releasing the student growth portion of our system, the public release of that will be next Tuesday, September 20th. And so that will be coming out. We finished the school, and district assessment release in terms of achievement on September 1st. You may have seen some media reports as well as we have gotten some



questions from superintendents, and others on sort of the new suppression rules. Which you know, have been put into place because of the data privacy, and ensuring that we're being very careful with student level data, and how that is presented on the public website.

6 So we have gotten some questions on that. We are working as a team to get some additional clarification, and 7 information out about that. Now the work once we get the 8 growth publicly released, on September 20th the work begins 9 on developing the accountability frameworks, and so that 10 will be the next big piece of -- of work for our team on 11 12 that front. I do just wanna say that we had -- we are in process of a large scale reading conference right now. 13 I was able to stop by the morning of the first day of the 14 conference yesterday. Over 600 attendees from around the 15 16 state, participating in that around sharing practices, and 17 learning on evidence-based practices. For grades K through 18 three, and we -- we know next year we're working to have that conference on at a time that is not during the Board 19 meeting. But there was a hotel glitch, and so we had to 20 move the date, and time, and it ended up falling on this 21 Board meeting but this is the second annual conference. 22 23 It's gaining more, and more interest, and steam from across 24 the state. And so the teams' doing a great job but next



25

1 year we'll make sure that there are no 'hotel glitches', 2 and that you all are invited to that. 3 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Excuse me Dr. Anthes, is that open to the public, or just teachers? 4 MS. ANTHES: That's a good question. I 5 6 believe it's open to the public. It's mostly geared toward 7 teachers. I will say that we closed registration in two weeks because of the capacity issues. So we're actually 8 even looking for additional hotel space to have it be even 9 bigger. But that shows the -- the demand out there for 10 this topic. So we're -- we're looking to even make that 11 more available next year. With that, you'll be hearing a 12 13 lot more detail about these things throughout the next two days. But that sort of the highlights for today. Thank 14 15 you. 16 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Thank you. Any additional 17 questions to Dr. Anthes? I have one. We were reporting on the PARCC -- or the PARCC meeting went to -- I believe 18 19 that's on the agenda tomorrow? MS. ANTHES: Yes. Actually it might be 20 21 today. CHAIRMAN DURHAM: For today. But like you 22 23 know, we have a separate item. So we'll just hold it for that time. 24

MS. ANTHES: Sounds good.



1 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Further questions? Seeing 2 none, we'll proceed to item eight which is the 3 consideration of the revised research evaluation process. Before we begin discussion, Dr. Schroeder, do you have a 4 motion? 5 6 MS. SCHROEDER: I move to approve the 7 provided personally identifiable information requests for research process. 8 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Is there a second to that 9 10 motion? Yes. Okay, Ms. Rankin seconds the motion. We'll now proceed. Dr. Anthes, if you could just stand up and 11 (inaudible) overview. 12 13 MS. ANTHES: Yes. Thank you. I wanna turn this over to Marcia Bohannon and Jill Stacey. This is the 14 -- the third time we've come before you with our proposed 15 research evaluation process, and we're hoping for approval 16 17 on this. We have addressed several of the concerns that 18 you've brought to us, and so I'll turn it over to Marcia 19 for the staff presentation. 20 MS. BOHANNON: Thank you Dr. Anthes. Good morning Members of the Board. We brought this -- this 21 22 request to you last month by Grand Junction, and we had a 23 couple of requests for us to -- to provide you some 24 additional information. So we've got that covered in -- in 25 this presentation hopefully, and then obviously if you have SEPTEMBER 14, 2016 AM



1 additional questions we're here to answer those. So I'm 2 not gonna repeat everything from last month. In -- in the 3 interest of time, I'll run through this really quickly. Essentially we've got the same agenda. But if you'll 4 notice, that the first -- first -- first agenda item is a 5 6 legislative requirement. And that's just a reminder that 7 our new data privacy law that was passed in June, requires this any research request that involves personally 8 identifiable information student data. The -- the process 9 we used to consider that, it's approved by the Board of 10 Education. So that's the reason we're here. So we are --11 are asking for a decision on that for your approval on the 12 13 process. If you move to page five; I'm skipping over a couple of pages just because it's -- same as last month. 14 MS. BURDSALL: Marcia, can you to speak up a 15 little bit? And into the mic. Even though we have new 16

17 mics, we still gotta speak right into them. We're still 18 having trouble.

MS. BOHANNON: If you look at page five, that topic is Research Approval Panel. As we discussed last month, we've incorporated a new step in our review process, and that's a formalized group of people at CDE that can review the requests as they come in. And what you see in front of you is just a little bit more information about that panel. There's been some discussion off, and on



1 over the last year, or so about whether, or not CDE still 2 has an IRB, Institutional Review Board. And since we don't -- we no longer have that here at CDE because we're not a 3 research organization, we wanted to have something here 4 that is a cross-functional body. 5 6 So we have program experts, we have subject matter experts, we have privacy, we have security experts, we have, 7 basically it's a combination of the people that will review 8 these requests. So I just want to call your attention to 9 10 that, just so that you can see that we do have a -- a panel; a group that will look at -- look at each of these 11 requests. So I should forward the slides, so it's matching 12 13 in what I'm saying. So the -- on the next page we talk about the primary 14 evaluation requirements. And these, I -- if you remember 15 16 from last month, these are the sort of the fundamental high 17 level questions. Pretty much 'yes' or 'no' type things. 18 So does that research comply with our policies? Does it

So does that research comply with our policies? Does it comply with laws? Sort of the very fundamental questions, that if -- if there's a no answer here, we wouldn't go forward. So it's -- these are relatively; they're pretty objective, and -- and you can answer relatively easily. But having said that there's always gonna be some things that we have to you know, we have to dig a little deeper into. And I think this was where last month you really



wanted a little bit more details on what that looks like. 1 2 So what additional questions we ask. What might it look 3 like? So on page seven, we've identified a secondary list of 4 evaluation questions, and -- and examples of the criteria 5 6 we'd be looking at. So if you -- if you look -- you can kind of, run through those, and get an idea of the kinds of 7 questions that we're asking each time we get one of these 8 re -- research requests. There will be some variation 9 10 depending on the particular request we get, nature of the 11 research. That sort of thing. But this gives you an idea of the kinds of questions anyway, that were gonna -- that 12 13 we'll ask. And rather than reading through all these, what we're gonna do is show you an example of one that we just 14 actually you approved in June, that Credo request that came 15 16 through, and was approved by the Board in June. This was -17 - this is a Stanford University research request that, we -- we basically followed this process, it was not as formal. 18 But we'll walk through that for you. 19

20 Before we switch over to that though, I just wanna call 21 your attention to one -- one more thing on page -- page 22 nine. One of your requests from last month was to make 23 sure that the Board approves each re -- research request 24 before -- before we go forward. So we have altered this 25 process to accommodate that. The -- what they said last on



1 page nine, that step four said the Board notification, now 2 it's -- it's in approval step. So nothing will go forward 3 without your approval. So I'm gonna -- to hand it over to, Jill Stacey who can walk through the Credo example. And as 4 we do this let us know if we need to provide more detail, 5 6 or whatever. 7 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Ms. Stacey. Yes, Dr. Schroeder? 8 MS. SCHROEDER: I am not familiar with I --9 10 IRBs, although I think I once had a project evaluated. And 11 so I'm curious, at a research institution at a university. Are all the members -- members of the university? In other 12 13 words is it a closed process? MS. BOHANNON: I believe it is. No? 14 I'm not an expert either. 15 16 MS. SCHROEDER: Okay. Because --MS. BOHANNON: Julie. 17 18 MS. SCHROEDER: -- because what -- what we have now it's going to be only C -- CDE. 19 20 MS. BOHANNON: For this process, yeah. 21 MS. SCHROEDER: Yeah for this process. And I know it's analogous it's not identical. 22 23 MS. BOHANNON: Right. It's not -- it's 24 definitely not but what we are doing --



1 MS. SCHROEDER: Okay but I was just curious 2 because I don't know how they work at the university. 3 MS. BOHANNON: Yeah. And what we are doing is requiring any research requests to have an IRB approval 4 already. And you can go ahead on the details. 5 6 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: The -- the guidance for 7 IRB, you have to go through some particular training, and the protocols for reviewing what they require (inaudible) 8 outside the institution. And in fact, most requiring non-9 scientists need a non-social scientist as well. Remember 10 11 for example, I'm on the IRB for the Chicago Institute of Medical Social Work. That's how -- how outside the 12 13 institution will be required to get by the court of law. MS. SCHROEDER: Okay. Thank you. Should we 14 be thinking that we should have somebody from outside? 15 16 Have you -- have you considered it I guess? And -- and I'm 17 not sure --18 MS. BOHANNON: Would. 19 MS. SCHROEDER: -- that I know why I'm 20 asking this other than --21 MS. BOHANNON: If you look at page eight, there is an opportunity there to bring in outside members 22 23 depending on the research. Especially if it's -- if it's sensitive questions, sensitive data. If we do, I think the 24 25 -- the way we're approaching that is we're letting that

24



1 panel decide if we need to bring in some outside expertise, 2 or some additional opinions --3 MS. SCHROEDER: Oh I see the very bottom. 4 Okay so even though they're not on that panel --MS. BOHANNON: They may be on every right. 5 6 MS. SCHROEDER: -- you would bring them in 7 as needed. MS. BOHANNON: 8 Yes. 9 MS. SCHROEDER: That makes sense. Thank 10 you. Sorry, go ahead. 11 MS. STACEY: All right. I'm not gonna go 12 into great detail about the past history with Credo. Just 13 know as Marcia said, that we -- we did bring it to you in the June meeting, and it was approved. As part of that 14 evaluation process, we took a look at what the research 15 16 approval panel would have looked at as part of that, and 17 then we also did acknowledge the fact that both the 18 Commissioner, and the Board approved this research. If you move to slide five, this is just a basic overview of the 19 20 research. It basically was designed to extend their study 21 into Charter Schools. And we did once it was approved, we 22 did make sure that we signed a data sharing agreement with 23 them prior to any data being released. 24 As I mentioned on slide six, we actually looked at each 25 individual question that we proposed we would do as part of



\_\_\_\_\_

26

1 the evaluation process. These particular first questions 2 are from the original slide where it was the primary 3 evaluation questions, where we would expect a more 4 objective 'yes' or 'no' answer. And as you can see, we go 5 into some detail but basically, they did fulfill the 6 minimum requirements required. In that we got yeses to all 7 the various questions.

On page seven, we go into more of the subjective questions 8 that we analyzed, and looked at as part of our review of 9 this research. I'll not go through these in great detail, 10 11 but I just wanted to point out a few things that I think are of particular value. We did loop in a program expert 12 13 to determine if the methodology was valid. And we determined that it was. And we also did determine that 14 15 this research would be of value to CDE, and to Colorado. 16 On page eight, we did look at the specific data being 17 requested, and determined whether or not that held any sensitivity. We determined that we would mask the sole 18 19 identifier, so that they received jumbled say sets rather than actual say sets. And we also determined that they 20 requested raw test scores, and juvenile detention 21 22 information. We determined that that was far too sensitive 23 for us to provide, so we did not provide that information. 24 And we work with Credo, and they were perfectly acceptable of that limitation that we had. When we determined whether 25



or not the researcher was of a sensitive nature, we looked at the fact that this is a continuation of research that has been ongoing for several years. And the fact that parents had been completely looped in, and were providing an opportunity to complain, or raise questions, or concerns if they needed to.

7 So we went and looked it over, and determined that based on 8 this review, we would again choose to bring the Credo 9 proposal to your -- to -- to -- to you for approval, and 10 that we would have again approved that research. So I'm 11 open to any questions that you might have about that 12 process.

13 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Questions, Dr. Scheffel? Thank you. When the 14 MS. SCHEFFEL: Yeah. VA study was going on, I at least got calls from folks who 15 16 had asked for the IRB from the researchers, and had asked 17 for the -- what data was being shared on their students, and they weren't able to get it. How do we avoid that 18 19 going forward with this process so that it's transparent, 20 and parents do get a clear idea of what is being shared on 21 their students, can they opt-out? How do we put that in this process? Where does that -- where are those 22 23 protections?

MS. STACEY: Are you talking about theUniversity of Virginia request?



25

28

1 MS. SCHEFFEL: Yes. 2 MS. STACEY: There -- that particular 3 request process which we didn't walkthrough had a very extensive opt-in policy, so I'm not sure I quess the answer 4 to that would be what we did with UVA is we -- we -- we 5 6 researched the steps that they went through, and we looked at their methodology, and they -- they did have that a very 7 extensive process for parent opt-in. In fact, you couldn't 8 9 even participate unless the parents had signed something to -- to say they wanna -- wanna do it. So I'm not familiar 10 11 with the specific requests that you got but we -- we'll be happy to look into that. But what we're trying to do with 12 13 this process is make sure that all those kinds of questions are asked to begin with. So -- so we do have something in 14 here about opt-in, and are the processes adequate for that. 15 So before we even go into it, or before they go into it 16 17 we're asking that question of the -- the researcher. 18 MS. SCHEFFEL: What was the opt-in for the 19 UVA study? Because that's -- that wasn't at least what I was hearing that there were extensions -- extensive 20 procedures for opting in. But I don't really know cause I 21 didn't see the IRB myself. 22 There was a couple of different 23 MS. STACEY: 24 layers. There was a form that was provided to parents for

them to sign. And I think we may have presented that at



1 one of the earlier Board meetings this year. In addition, 2 there were some testing procedures that happened with the 3 students, and the parents actually had to provide their children, take them to the testing center, and approve 4 understandably of that testing before they even provided 5 6 their children. It was completely optional, and they had the choice to not attend if they wanted. 7 MS. SCHEFFEL: So you're saying that the 8 9 kind of information the Board would get when it -- when 10 it's -- when we would be looking at these requests would include how parents can opt-out? What data is being 11 shared, and -- and that parents have full disclosure of 12 13 that? 14 MS. STACEY: Absolutely. MS. SCHEFFEL: How does the money work? 15 16 How's CDE incentivized, or just compensated for providing 17 these data? How does the money work? 18 MS. STACEY: There's no money that comes to 19 CDE for this. This is a service that we provide. But it's 20 also obviously one that we -- we have to prioritize. Ι mean, the -- the amount of effort that it takes to provide 21 data. We have to look at the full workload, and that's why 22 more of the questions in here's looking at resource 23 24 capacity, and to determine if we have the time to do it. 25 And you know, with whatever else is going on.

SEPTEMBER 14, 2016 AM



1 MS. SCHEFFEL: So you're saying that if CDE 2 partners on a research project, the only in-kind contribution from CDE is just the time from employees to 3 compile the data, and disaggregate it providing the certain 4 files is that what you're saying? 5 6 MS. STACEY: Essentially yes. 7 MS. SCHEFFEL: There's no direct compensation to CDE to engage in research projects. 8 9 MS. STACEY: No. The only additional benefit would be that -- the results of the research 10 itself, and the value that -- that -- would bring. But no 11 monetary compensation. 12 13 MS. SCHEFFEL: Is there any process? I'm sorry go ahead. 14 MS. STACEY: Oh I was just gonna -- gonna 15 16 mention that the -- everything that we do about providing 17 data, and the contracts we sign, and research agreements are all posted online. So if people are curious about what 18 is going on with, you know, what data is being provided to 19 a research organization, or another agency, or -- or 20 whatever, it -- that's all posted. So it's just another 21 22 avenue for people to -- to see what's going on here. 23 MS. SCHEFFEL: And is there any process in place whereby we could periodically audit this process? So 24 that -- I mean I'd like to see something inserted, so that 25



1 we audit this process periodically. So that we're sure 2 that PII data is not shared in a way that compromises data 3 privacy, and that parents satisfaction with the process in terms of opting in, or opting out works because there's 4 kind of a disconnect between what you're saying, and what 5 6 I'm hearing from the public. And so I don't know why that is, but it would be great to survey, or do something to 7 audit. Does this work? Are people really satisfied with 8 this product -- this process? Is it serving the State of 9 Colorado? Is it serving parents whose -- were using the 10 data on their children? So they need to be a -- a level 11 one constituent on this process. 12

13 MS. STACEY: We could probably incorporate like periodic audit going back, and looking at the process 14 that we followed, and seen, you know, they're looking at 15 the research in process, and looking at research results to 16 17 match it up, and see if it's -- if we're really 18 accomplishing what we set out to do. And it's I -- I can't say that we can go so far as to audit a university IRB, or 19 20 a university --

MS. SCHEFFEL: No, just our -- just our processes, and are parents satisfied with this? Do they feel that they had the option to opt-out? Because I think what you're saying is there were many options to opt-out, and many opportunities for them to see data. Not what I'm



1 hearing. I don't know why the disconnect. But it would be 2 great to surface why that is, and to ensure that this new process really addresses that fully. We could -- we could 3 build something like that in, to look at our process. 4 5 MS. SCHEFFEL: Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Dr. Flores? 7 MS. FLORES: I think those are very interesting questions that Dr. Scheffel asks. Also, I just 8 wanted to add that this is very important information that 9 we would want to have in the state about these Charter --10 11 Charter schools, and we don't have to pay for it. And it's -- it just adds to I quess the knowledge base, and the area 12 13 that would be I think very important research. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Yes, Ms. Rankin? 14 I agree with Dr. Flores on 15 MS. RANKIN: 16 that. Thank you for that comment I -- I thought the same 17 thing when I read that. But I also wanna say that our 18 legislature worked very hard on this privacy law. It's new to our state. It's new to the United States. I think 19 20 you've done an amazing job at capturing the essence of that law, and putting it into effect in our department. And I 21 went through everything you said, and the example, and I 22 23 thought you did a great job. I just wanna pass it along. 24 Thank you.



1 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Further comments, or 2 discussions? For discussion? Yes, Dr. Schroeder? 3 MS. SCHROEDER: So I'll (inaudible) what Ms. Thank you very much. I just wanna clarify, 4 Rankin said. what point the State Board approval comes in? It's after 5 6 you have gone through the analysis? MS. STACEY: Yes, after we've done -- after 7 we've gone through the evaluation process. And after the 8 Commissioner has -- has approved it, reviewed it, and made 9 10 -- and made her recommendation. One -- once you approve it, then -- then we'll go back, and we'll actually develop 11 12 a data sharing agreement. I put the paperwork in place but we don't wanna start that process until we've gotten your 13 14 approval. MS. SCHROEDER: Now -- now I understand it. 15 16 Okay. 'Cause I saw those things underneath there, and I --17 I didn't want us to be evaluating till you had done some of 18 those. So when you bring it to us there will be documentation from you that we can expect to read. Great. 19 Thank you. Thanks for that clarification. 20 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Okay. And -- and then 21 22 there's certainly nothing that prohibits you from expanding 23 the internal review committee to add a parent if you think 24 something is strictly sticky, and hopefully you would -would do that. 25

33



1 MS. STACEY: Right. Yeah, absolutely. 2 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: All right. Any further 3 questions, or discussions? It's been moved, and seconded that we've approved this proposal. Is there objection to 4 the adoption of that motion? Seeing -- yes, yes, Dr. 5 6 Scheffel? 7 MS. SCHEFFEL: So just the things that I ask I -- I can they be inserted, or added to this process 8 for. in some way? Or is that -- is that possible? 9 10 MS. STACEY: Right. The other process. 11 Yes, we've worked on the idea. MS. SCHEFFEL: The idea of asking whether 12 13 it's working. I don't know if we call it a formal audit but I mean, I think something like an audit to -- to ask 14 our parents feeling that they can opt-out. Are they 15 16 feeling they do understand the data that's being shared? 17 Do they understand how the research is going to be used? 18 Can they see the process? The transparency issue. Some sort of a feedback survey, or something so to get their 19 20 efforts right. 21 MS. BOHANNON: Yeah, we can -- we can have 22 that. Absolutely. 23 Thank you. MS. SCHEFFEL: CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Okay. Any -- any further 24 25 discussion? All right. Is there objection to the adoption



of the motion? Seeing none, that motion's (inaudible) 1 2 adopted by a vote of seven to nothing. Thank you very 3 much. 4 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Okay. The next time is 5 6 public comment which is supposed to start at 10. We --(inaudible) we run past 10. Could we start? 7 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yeah, we may have, it 8 9 may be the extra 10 minutes. CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Well, I'm not sure we'll 10 give him an extra 10 minutes, and maybe a break time. But, 11 we will start. Main -- so you need -- may need to leave 12 13 the sign up sheet out there, and at 10 o'clock we'll get that one. 14 MS. FLORES: I hope the camera is aimed for 15 16 those people. 17 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: All right. Okay, thank 18 you. 19 MS. FLORES: That's a lot of cameras. CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Okay. We'll get started 20 on this. I would simply request that if particularly, if 21 you're here unhealthy beverages that if it's already been 22 23 said there's no reason to repeat it. And I -- it looks 24 like we got a number of people who are likely to comment on 25 this issue. There are only so many reasons to be against



the change. So once we've summarized those, maybe we could
 keep it as brief as possible. We'll -- we'll start with
 Leslie Levine, please.

MS. LEVINE: Good morning, I -- good 4 morning, I'm Leslie Levine with LiveWell Colorado. We are 5 6 a statewide nonprofit organization, admitting -- admitted to reducing the barriers to healthy eating, and active 7 living. I'm also a mom with three kids in middle, and high 8 school. Obesity in kid's health is a complex issue that 9 cannot be solved overnight. To address it requires all of 10 11 us, parents, schools, and communities working together. While our adults are considered to be healthy in Colorado, 12 13 childhood obesity is still a major threat in the health of our kids here. I'm speaking this morning to ask all of you 14 to keep the ban on the diet soda sales in place. 15 16 Through this policy revision the Board of Education is 17 essentially encouraging more marketing invisibility of soda 18 companies which means the State Board is supporting a policy that undermines our efforts at home. I developed a 19 20 strong understanding about the importance of nutrition, fuel strong minds, and strong bodies for our kids. 21 But when they walk out my door, and are pummeled by unhealthy 22 23 food, and beverages marketing. In addition to peer 24 pressure, it's tough to see the course, and avoid the



temptation of unhealthy foods, and beverages. Our schools 1 2 should be a place where our kids are focused on learning. 3 When we provide a comprehensive approach to healthy eating, obesity rates can drop. In May, Colorado WIC released data 4 indicating the childhood obesity rates dropped 7.4 percent 5 6 among the children they serve. What we've learn from WIC is it's not about one magic bullet, we need a comprehensive 7 strategy to address this issue. Banning diet soda sales in 8 9 high schools is one important part of the strategy. Among the 2016 legislative priorities for the Colorado Board of 10 11 Education is to promote and support closing the student achievement gaps in Colorado. The ties between health, and 12 13 academic achievement are strongly supported through research. 14

This Colorado Education Initiative Document, published in 15 16 2015 clearly demonstrates how student health including 17 nutrition is connected to academic performance. Obesity rates among high school students in Colorado is increasing. 18 19 Soda companies target advertising to communities of color 20 at a higher rate. Many students of color are trailing behind an academic performance, so we should do everything 21 we can to reduce the gap in academic performance including 22 23 promoting a healthy environment in our schools. 24 As a parent, in a school district, that has not demonstrated leadership in school wellness, I look to, and 25



1 I rely on, how the season regulations that support my children's health and academic performance? The health of 2 my children, and all of Colorado's children should override 3 the desire for local control. How can you ignore the 4 research that health, and academic achievement are tied 5 6 together. Diet soda does not belong in our high schools, and certainly does not belong in a Healthy Beverage Policy. 7 Thank you. 8 9 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Thank you. Just a quick 10 reminder, you are limited to three minutes. You are 11 limited to three minutes. Next, Wyatt Hornsby? MR. HORNSBY: Good morning. My name is 12 13 Wyatt Hornsby, I work at Delta Dental of Colorado Foundation. We're the largest oral health funder in 14 15 Colorado. We're a nonprofit foundation. And receive our 16 funding from Delta Dental of Colorado, a nonprofit dental 17 insurance company. At Delta Dental of Colorado Foundation, 18 our mission is to eradicate early childhood tooth decay. 19 Tooth decay, is the most common chronic disease in 20 childhood. And to prevent the health of nearly 100 percent at the time. Study showed that children with toothaches in 21 oral health are lower GPAs and miss more school. Their 22 23 parents also miss more work.

24 State data from the Colorado Department of Public Health 25 and Environment shows that truth that oral health in



children is much more common in schools, but higher for
 income-reduced lunch rates. Our foundation's work include
 supporting health and to create healthier environments for
 children as well as supporting education for families
 across the Colorado. As such, we support the State Healthy
 Beverage Policy and oppose and replacing it with the lower
 federal standards for two reasons.

First, the federal standards allow the sale of diet soda in 8 Colorado high schools. Diet soda is acidic, and erodes 9 teeth contributing the poor oral health. This is the fact 10 that's well-documented. Diet soda erodes the teeth. 11 Secondly, the federal standards allow us the 20 percent 12 13 more juice to middle school students. In many cases, juice is just as sugary as soda, look at the labels, it's right 14 there. The sugar, and juice produce cavity causing 15 16 bacteria. This is well-acknowledged by the American 17 Academy of Pediatrics. The American Academy of Pediatrics 18 industry and several other medical groups. Finally, as a Douglas County parent, I like many parents hear this 19 morning, work very hard to instill the my son healthy 20 behaviors and importance of making healthy choices. 21 But that cannot be all on the parent. 22

23 Our schools, and our State Board of Education also have a 24 huge responsibility here replacing what is a good policy in 25 Colorado with a low-bar federal standard, send a terrible



1 message to parents and may adversely affect the health of 2 many children, that is why I take defense to revealing our State Healthy Beverage Policy. There is no need to do 3 that. And if there is a reason, it has to be -- it has not 4 been made clear. For these reasons, we employed you as the 5 6 members of the State Board of Education to do the right thing and you as the Members of the State Board of 7 Education, to do the right thing and uphold State Healthy 8 9 Beverage Policy. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Okay, Mindy Simon. 10 11 MS. SIMON: Good morning Mr. Chair, and Members of the Colorado State Board of Education. My name 12 13 is Mindy Simon, and I'm representing myself. As a 13-year administrator at a high school in Colorado Springs, and we 14 speak before the Board today, and we're speaking in favor 15 of renewing our contact with PARCC, in efforts to see our 16 17 accountability measures stay consistent, as well as, provide useful data. The district I work for services a 18 19 community that is 73 percent free-reduced lunch. We have 20 made large strides in closing the achievement gap within average population. Having strong acceptance that provide 21 22 clear, and rigorous benchmarks has been central to raising 23 the bar in the classroom on a daily basis. I support the key ship in education on lean schools accountable in 24



providing choices for students and families, to determine
 schooling options.

3 The adoption consistent for these exams such as PARCC, PSAT, 10 and SAT that are given across the State give 4 communities feedback on their school's performance, and 5 6 have given our high school laser-like focus on standards. 7 Developing this expertise over time is no easy peak. We are on the cusp of having a third year of data which will 8 give us a trend line for the first time with our new state 9 assessment. This is a critical in helping our school 10 11 determine if we have been doing right by kids.

Two years ago, my district adopted a new Math series that 12 13 the teachers have embraced, and feel is better aligned with the State standards than our old curriculum. Our Math 14 teachers are excited to see if this work in incorporating, 15 16 and changing our lessons, to match the new level of rigor 17 with our new resources pays off. Changing the PARCC Assessment will not give us a chance to see if the new 18 19 textbook adoption we have put in place has had positive outcomes for students. 20

Lastly, our students will be able to have growth data for the first time, with the new rigorous exam. They are invested in seeing how they have started to gain ground in their achievement -- in their achievement. At the start of the year, we deliver our school's results to the kids at



class meetings. There's nothing more satisfying than
 showing students that we have closed gaps within the State.
 Over the years, the systemic work behind creating these
 types of results has helped develop a can-do mindset that
 you can see in our kids.

6 Recently, the Colorado Education Initiative gave us data on 7 our student mindset out of every school in the cohort, we 8 have the highest student mindset, as it pertains to wanting 9 to work harder in school, and believing they can be 10 successful in college. You can see the importance of this 11 investment in mindset when talking -- taking a moment to 12 look at specific student stories.

13 Karina, a recent graduate is starting this week at University of Denver. She is a student who had several 14 risk factors working against her, poverty, her father being 15 16 deported from her freshman year, and her younger brother 17 being diagnosed with cancer. Her belief that hard work, 18 and strong standards leads to results, changed her trajectory. I wanna reiterate the importance of keeping 19 20 PARCC consistency in our state -- high-stakes exams, helps build teacher expertise, hones our focus on the standards, 21 and helps us continue to develop our kid's investment in 22 their results. 23

24 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and Member of the State Board for25 the opportunity to appear before you today.



1 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Thank you. Greg Hill. 2 MR. HILL: Well, good morning and thank you for the opportunity to make a few brief comments this 3 morning. My name is Greg Hill, I'm the Executive Director 4 of the Colorado Dental Association. We represent about 5 6 3,200 members of dentists across the state or 72 percent of the Licensed Dentists in Colorado. Promoting measures that 7 advance the dental health of all Coloradans is a key 8 component of our Dental Health Matters campaign. And is it 9 -- is in the spirit of why I'm here today. 10 I urge the Colorado State Board of Education to maintain 11

equal standards to those currently in place, regarding 12 13 access to diet sodas in high schools, in quantities of juice that can be served in middle school. It's been pre-14 assigned in our entire life that sugar is bad for our 15 teeth, and causes cavities. And how many times did you 16 17 hear that from your dentist while growing up? But what we don't hear as often, is that even diet sodas, because of 18 19 the acids, can cause the erosion of the tooth enamel. Tooth decay is the most common chronic disease of the 20 childhood, affecting 40 percent of kindergartners, and 55 21 percent of third graders here in Colorado. 22

23 Core Dental Health has a direct impact on learning, an
24 education issue that is at the forefront of what you do on
25 this Board. Tooth decay is mainly preventable. Colorado



1 takes pride in being one of the healthiest States in the 2 nation. By moving the Federal standards, and aligning for 3 a less restrictive policy, we are effectively backing away from this health standard, we as a State have set for our 4 children. Thank you for the time this morning, and I ask 5 6 that you vote to maintain the existing standards here in 7 Colorado. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Thank you. Theresa, is 8 9 that like Hafner? Did I get that right? Yes. Thank you. 10 MS. HAFNER: Good morning. My name is Theresa Hafner. I'm the Executive Director of Food 11 Services for Denver Public Schools, and I'm here 12

13 representing Colorado School Nutrition Association. I want

14 to say that I am in support of streamlining the

15 regulations, so that as School Food Administrator, we are 16 not trying to satisfy both State, and Federal regulations 17 that are overlapping, and confusing at times. I'm all in 18 favor of streamlining the regulations. Thank you.

19 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Thank you. I'm sorry,20 Beth Wallace.

MS. WALLACE: Good morning Members of the State Board of Education. As the Executive Director for Food and Nutrition Services at Jeffco, I encourage you to support aligning the State and Federal rules. I'm sorry, I forgot to introduce myself. I'm Beth Wallace.



1 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Thank you. 2 MS. WALLACE: I encourage you to support 3 aligning the State and Federal rules by approving the proposed changes in the Colorado Healthy Beverage Policy. 4 With the implementation of the Healthy Hunger Kids Free Act 5 6 and Smart Snack Policies, the State no longer really needs to have a beverage policy. The Child Nutrition Directors 7 are the nutrition professionals in our schools, and the 8 recognized experts in the Student Nutrition Program in 9 Colorado. Because of the efforts of the Nutrition 10 11 Directors, Colorado was the first with 100 percent compliance with the New Menu Mandates and Smart Snacks. 12 13 Colorado School Directors have proven they worked with their communities to make sound nutrition decisions. 14 All districts -- all districts with this change will still 15 16 have the Local Wellness Policies in place, giving local 17 control to the beverages. Currently, it is difficult to manage two regulations, and one policy is far more 18 19 manageable. Even today when I came to speak, I had to pull 20 out the two policies to remind myself what the differences were. I've been in the business for 25 years, and I still 21 have to pull policies to remind myself what the differences 22 23 Please listen, and weigh carefully the District are. 24 Director's statements. Outside agencies don't have the in-



1 depth understanding of the complex issues schools face on a 2 daily basis, implementing a very heavily regulated program. 3 Thank you for considering our comments. As a professional representing 87,000 students in more than 154 schools, I 4 thank you for taking the opportunity to allow me to express 5 6 my views. I offer myself as a resource for any more 7 information you would need. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Thank you. Mona Martinez. 8 9 MS. BROSH: Good morning. Excuse me, I've 10 got a frog in my throat. My name is Mona Martinez-Brosh. I am the Director of Nutrition Services for Aurora Public 11 Schools. I'm also a registered dietitian, and you asked us 12

13 not to repeat what other people have said. So did over what Theresa said, did over with Beth Wallace said, but I 14 hope that you strongly will look at voting in favor of 15 16 aligning the regulations. It is an administrative burden 17 to try to work through these policies. We have a very 18 strong wellness policy in our own district, and we feel 19 that this would be the right move, and direction to go. So we thank you for your time. 20

21 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Thank you very much.22 Brent Craig.

MR. CRAIG: Thank you. I appreciate the
opportunity to address the State Board of Education today,
and speak today concerning the combining of the Colorado



1 Beverage Rule, and Federal regulations surrounding 2 beverages. By way of introduction, my name is Brent B. Craig. I come from, I'm the Director of Nutrition Services 3 at Douglas County School District. We have 67,000 4 students, and are responsible for bringing healthy meals 5 6 and beverages to Denver daily. I've been in this business for 26 years, and bringing healthy foods that students 7 enjoy, and educating them to make good sound nutrition 8 choices has always been my passion. 9 10 It brings a great sense of satisfaction knowing they are

learning good eating habits, growing to be healthy, and 11 making good nutrition choices. Both myself and my fellow 12 13 directors in the 185 School Districts in this great State of Colorado, share that same passion, and dedication to 14 serving healthy meals each day. You may not hear from us 15 16 very often and so loudly, because we spend all of our time 17 in the trenches feeding those kids healthy meals, and 18 teaching them how to make good choices. We put our hearts 19 in every day into making these -- offering these healthy 20 meals.

The same is true about the beverages. Last year, Douglas County served 1.6 million beverages to our high schools, and that does not include milk or half pint juices. Of those six -- 1.6 million beverages, 75 percent of those beverages were water -- bottled water. I am thrilled. It



1 is a thrill to me as a director to see our students making 2 good choices in beverage consumption. That is a trend that excites me as sodas, diet sodas are not the emphasis or the 3 popular choice amongst these kids. They are making good 4 choices. That's very different from 10 to 20 years ago 5 6 when I was younger, and diet sodas with all the rage. 7 Today, I want to make four points -- three points. Number one, this consolidation simply streamlines our decision-8 making process by not having to monitor, and keep track of 9 two regulations. Number two, all districts are required to 10 11 have wellness policies that speak to the such things as sodas, and sugary snacks, and sugary beverages. 12 It is 13 being dealt with on a local basis. I will say that it is being dealt with in Douglas County Schools. And the third 14 point is that, I want you to understand, and recognize us 15 16 as new pro -- nutrition professionals, trusting that we are 17 passionate, and committed to bringing healthy options to our students in the 185 districts of the State of Colorado. 18 19 Thank you very much. 20 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Thank you. Danielle 21 Brock. Bock. Danielle. Thank you. MS. BROCK: Good morning, Members of the 22 23 Thank you for allowing me time to speak with School Board. you this morning. I'm here today to represent Jeremy West, 24 the Director of Nutrition Service in Greeley-Evans School 25



1 District six, as well as the 22,000 students that we have 2 enrolled in our district. I feel as though given the 3 opportunity, our community would like to engage in conversations about local control over what we serve at our 4 School District. And I wanted to represent here today to 5 6 assure you, that diet sodas will not be an offering at the high schools in Greeley-Evans School District six, if you 7 choose to align State policy with Federal policy. We 8 appreciate the opportunity to engage in those local 9 conversations with our local community because as we see it 10 in Greeley-Evans School District, nutrition is a community-11 based decision, and we need their input to help us make the 12 13 right decisions. Thank you for your time this morning. CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Thank you very much. 14

15 Jessica Gould.

16 MS. GOULD: Good morning. My name is 17 Jessica Gould. I am a registered dietitian, the Director at Littleton Public Schools, and a Member of Colorado 18 19 School Nutrition Association, and their president-elect. I'm here today to talk to you about the Healthy Beverage 20 Policy. Colorado has led the nation when it comes to 21 healthy beverage options in the K12 school setting. Now, 22 with successful implementation of the Healthy Hunger-Free 23 24 Kids Act of 2010, the Colorado Healthy Beverage Policy can be met through Federal regulations with only a few minor 25



50

variables. We are thankful to the State Board of Education
 for considering public comment about this policy, and after
 thoughtful consideration, would encourage them to eliminate
 this policy that simply is no longer needed, thanks to
 Federal regulations.

6 The benefits of aligning the policy include the simplification of the understanding of the policies school 7 level, in reduction in unnecessary administrative burden 8 that comes from managing two regulations, and the return of 9 local control giving School Districts, and communities the 10 responsibility to serve their students as they see 11 appropriate. Colorado Child Nutrition Directors are 12 13 nutrition professionals, and the recognized experts in child nutrition for Colorado. Because of these efforts --14 because of the efforts of nutrition directors across 15 Colorado, we were the first State of the Union to obtain 16 17 100 percent compliance with the Healthy Menu Mandates of the Healthy Hunger-Free Kids Act. Colorado Child Nutrition 18 Directors have proven over, and over that they will work 19 with their communities to make sound nutrition decisions. 20 All districts will have -- will still have Local Wellness 21 Policies in place, giving local control if desired. 22 Thank 23 you for considering our comments. As a professional association representing more than 850 school nutrition 24 professionals across Colorado, we thank you for taking the 25



opportunity to view our comments, and to offer our members
 as a resource to you for any additional information you may
 request. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Thank you very much. So 4 that concludes those who signed up to testify this point in 5 6 time. Okay. We're a little ahead of schedule for the next item which is -- pardon me. Yeah, we'll take a short break 7 I think because I'm -- I'm not sure we can start the next 8 item until actually 10: 30 because it is rulemaking 9 hearing. So we'll come back to item -- is that on 10? 10 Item 10 at 10:30, so it'll about a 15 minute break. 11

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Like to speak. Is 12 13 there anyone else who would like to speak in public participation? Okay. Give us -- give us just one more 14 second while people -- we -- we sort of have sent a mixed 15 16 message. We didn't realize that you did -- you all didn't 17 know how to sign up but it's fine. Excuse me. Thank you. We have two speakers. Would you please introduce 18 19 yourselves.

20 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Okay. So my name is
21 (inaudible) and I'm a junior at George Washington High
22 School.

MS. BARROW: And I'm Anna Barrow I'm also ajunior at George Washington.



1 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: And our schools 2 actually still has vending machines that dispense diet 3 soda, and lots of sugary beverages. But however, they've increased water access by allowing water filling stations 4 replaced in every corner of the building. And there are 5 6 now lines during every single five minute passing period, so students can fill up their water bottles just to get a 7 clean drink of water. And speaking from a students 8 perspective, we don't want to put soda, and sugary 9 beverages to our bodies, and by putting more soda and 10 sugary drinks in our school, it's allowing us and 11 incentivizing us to make unhealthy choices, and live an 12 13 unhealthy lifestyle. Increasing water access can give us the ability to take care of ourselves, and it -- and it 14 incentivizes us to stay hydrated, and stay healthy 15 16 throughout the day.

17 MS. BARROW: Also, putting more diet sodas in schools isn't beneficial to students health, and isn't 18 the option we want to choose. For us, as students, we want 19 to know that the state has our best interests at heart, and 20 our school has shown us that they are willing to help us to 21 choose healthier options by increasing water access, and 22 23 decreasing the sugary beverages that we have access to. 24 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: We want to thank you 25 for allowing us to speak today, and we want as students, we



want to make the best choices, we can for our health, and
 for our bodies, and we asked you to help us do that. Thank
 you.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Thank you very much.
So folks, I have a new list. I'm probably going to mess up
some names, so please forgive me. Anna Barrow -- that was
you guys. Fanny Mendez, would you like to speak?

MS. MENDEZ: (Inaudible) Good morning. My 8 name is Fanny Mendez, and I'm here to be -- to give my 9 10 testimony about my son. I am very worried about all the 11 beverage with sugar -- sugary beverage in the schools, and I have a personal testimony about my son who has pre-12 13 diabetes. So at home, we control all those sugary beverage and the food that we are giving him, but when he goes to 14 school, it's impossible. All these options are available 15 16 for him. So he's living a very frustrating situation right 17 now because every day he wakes up, and he sees the menu for 18 the school, and he's full of beverages that have a lot of sugar, and low quality food. 19

So it is very hard for him because he needs to kind of fight against whatever is there available to him. I want you do have in mind all those bad things that we're doing for youth. My son is just 12 years old, and by allowing all these sugary beverage in the school, we are contributing to all those things not only diabetes but also



54

1 cholesterol, and other heart diseases. So please, just 2 having consideration all those sugary beverage that you are 3 allowing kids to have options for. Thank you so much. UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Thank you. 4 Ms. Gabriela. 5 6 MS. MEDINA: (Inaudible) Good morning. My name is Gabriela Medina. I have two kids. One is 11, and 7 the one is 10. So I am very worried about the options that 8 we have in the schools right now. Juices and also sodas --9 diet sodas which are not healthy for the kids. 10 I'm here 11 also to share with you my personal situation with my son who amount of sugar that he's eating -- he's drinking is 12 13 causing for him to be more hyperactive. And this is causing for him to have a lot of problem in school because 14 many times, he needs to take breaks to get in the hallway, 15 and he is -- he has a lot of promise to be control. 16 17 (Inaudible).

So at home, we also control what he is consuming for those 18 sugary beverage, so my worry is that when he's out there, 19 20 he has access to all that. (Inaudible). Also, the other situation that I have is dentist problem. The dentist has 21 told us that old the things are affecting his enamel, the 22 23 teeth enamel. So sugar, you know, give some time of --24 produce some kind of acids in the mouth in that and that 25 he's causing that he has some problems with the teeth



1 enamel, and the cavities. (Inaudible) dentists are 2 recommending a lot of -- of water and healthy beverage, and 3 I am very proud because to their -- until now, they have not have cavities yet. (Inaudible). 4 We know also the sugar is addictive, and we please ask you 5 6 to consider your position in regards to it. And we are a 7 group of parents here that are representing other parents that are not able to be here today, and we would like you 8 9 to please reconsider your -- or consider when you were 10 taking this the kind of decisions. (Inaudible). Thank you 11 so much. UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Tracy Kaye. 12 13 MS. KAYE: Hello. Good morning. My name is Tracy Kaye. I am the public health coordinator for 14 Westwood Unidos and organization based in Southwest Denver, 15 and we are working with the Delta Dental foundation of 16 17 Colorado on a cavities get around campaign. And what work we do is working in schools, to work with parents to 18 provide them education about the prevention of cavities 19 20 which is the number one reason why children are missing out on school days. And a big reason for this is because 21 they're consuming juice, and sometimes soda, and even diet 22 23 soda contains acid which can be problematic for children's teeth. If we want to think about the academic achievement 24 25 of our children, I highly recommend that you reconsider



1 whether, or not to allow diet soda in schools, and how much 2 juice we'll be allowing in middle schools, so that children 3 can have the best possible school day, and school year, and achievement in the long term. Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Thank you. We have time 5 6 for about one of two more. Let's see, Ana Munoz. I read 7 that right? MS. MUNOZ: Good morning. Good morning. 8 My name is Ana Maria Munoz, and I have two kids in Denver 9 Public School. . I have a nine year old, and he is 10 11 already overweight. I am very afraid that when she gets to middle school, he's going to have all these type of 12 13 beverage available to him. I just came back from the doctor, and I -- I am very worried because they told me 14 that they could take my son if he is extremely overweight. 15 It's very difficult as a mother. This situation is very 16 17 difficult for a mother. Psychologically, I know that this is affecting him and if this is hard for me, I can imagine 18 19 how hard it is for him. So I asked you please to 20 reconsider your decision about making those sugary beverage available at schools. I try as much as I can to control 21 all those kind of foods, and beverage at home but they are 22 23 in school eight to 10 hours sometimes a day. So it is very 24 difficult for us to do our job at -- at home. So please --25 please, we need your support. We need the -- your support



1 in the job that we do at home. We have a lot of kids in 2 our community with diabetes, with high cholesterol, with 3 heart problems. So we all of us mothers are trying to get the this education for our kids. So please support us. 4 Thank you. 5 6 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: All right. 7 We have two more signed up speakers either from the same organization, so please let's not have any 8 more repetition, or it will interrupt the proceeding. 9 So Ad -- Adriana --10 11 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Inaudible). 12 MS. HERNANDEZ: Good morning, my name is 13 Adriana Hernandez, and I also have a daughter in DPS. So I'm not going to repeat the same thing but the story is the 14 same. I have a daughter that has high cholesterol. She 15 has a inflamed kidney. I have problems with bad food. And 16 17 we are doing everything at home to help her and we need 18 your support so this -- whatever they're consuming in 19 school is healthy. CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Okay, thank you very much. 20 Anybody else, Gloria? 21 22 MS. BORUNDA: (Inaudible) Good morning, my 23 name is Gloria Borunda. I am an organizer, and also a 24 mother, and I am here to ask you to please reconsider your 25 decision about sugary beverage. I do have a lot of



1 questions for you. Who are you -- who are you thinking 2 when you are taking these kind of decisions? Why are you -- who you thinking about health, and the -- the health of 3 our kids. So I just have a lot of questions about who are 4 you thinking of when you are putting the things back into 5 6 the schools. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Okay. That concludes the 7 public testimony. Thank you. All right. Now we will 8 proceed. Does anybody need a -- like a short break, or --9 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Inaudible). 10 11 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: We do. We'll take five minutes right now. 12 13 (Pause) CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Come back to order. We'll 14 now proceed to item 10.00, the Rules for Administration of 15 Accreditation of School Districts. The Colorado State 16 17 Board of Education will now conduct a public rulemaking hearing for the Rules for the Administration of 18

Accreditation of School Districts, 1 CCR 301-1. The State Board voted to approve the notice of rulemaking at it's 8th of June, 2016 Board meeting. A hearing to promulgate these rules was made known through publication of a public notice on 25th of June, 2016 through the Colorado Register, and by State Board notice on 7th of September, 2016. The State Board is authorized to promulgate these rules pursuant to



1 22-2-107 (1)(C) Colorado Revised Statutes. Commissioner, 2 is the staff prepared to provide an overview? 3 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yes, Mr. Chair. I'11 turn this over to Associate Commissioner for Accountability 4 and Data, Allyson Pearson. 5 6 MS. PEARSON: Good morning everybody. So as the Chairman said, in June, the State Board voted to issue 7 a notice of rulemaking for 1 CCR 301-1 to codify the 8 assessment provisions that were in House Bill 15-1323 that 9 requires districts in the institute as part of their 10 11 accreditation contracts to provide assurances that they 12 will not impose negative consequences on the student, or 13 parent if the parent excuses his or her student from participating in the state assessment, and not imposing an 14 15 unreasonable burden or requirement on a student that would 16 discourage the student from taking a state assessment or 17 encourage the student's parent to excuse the student from 18 taking the state assessment. 19 Since the notice was published, CDE has received one

20 comment related to these rule changes. The commenter was 21 supportive of the rule changes but also expressed other 22 concerns with Colorado's assessments. You can access a 23 copy of that rule -- that comment along with CDE staff 24 response, and the responses to written comments on rules 25 for assessment provisions document on Board Docs. So today



1 we're asking if you are unanimous to vote on the rules, then it would be codified. If you're not unanimous, then 2 we will come back for a vote in October. 3 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: All right, questions. 4 As I looked at these, they appear to be fairly self-5 6 explanatory, and they appear to be balanced, in that, there 7 is no negative consequences for parent excusal, and no unreasonable burden should be put on a student that, or a 8 9 parent that wishes to encourage the taking of tests. Is that correct? 10 11 MS. PEARSON: Correct. CHAIRMAN DURHAM: So it's fairly 12 straightforward, and I think a good rule because it does 13 cover both contingencies. So is there discussion from the 14 15 Board on these. 16 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Did -- did you 17 (inaudible). CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Oh yes, there was a sign-18 up sheet and no one signed up to testify on this rule. And 19 by the way, in about 15 minutes, there's going to be a 20 civil defense siren test. This is only a test. So don't 21 panic. And I don't know if we'll even be able to hear it 22 but you should be prepared just in case, there's no reason 23 24 to be concerned.



1	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: We should have that a
2	pop quiz, I think.
3	CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Yeah probably.
4	MS. FLORES: It will be translated in
5	Spanish?
6	CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Yeah. She did. She did.
7	Yeah.
8	MS. FLORES: Okay.
9	CHAIRMAN DURHAM: All right. So any yes,
10	adoption?
11	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: So I move to approve
12	the Rules for the Administration of the Accreditation of
13	School Districts, 1 CCR 301-1.
14	CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Okay. Is there a second
15	to that motion? Ms. Mazanec seconds the motion. Is there
16	objection to the adoption of that motion? Seeing then, the
17	motion is adopted unanimously, so that rule then is
18	adopted. Correct? Thank you. Okay. We'll now proceed to
19	item 11.01
20	MS. BURDSALL: 11.03.
21	CHAIRMAN DURHAM: 11.03, I'm sorry. Thank
22	you. Thank you, Ms. Cordial. The next item on the agenda
23	is the consideration of the Rules for the Administration of
24	Healthy Beverages Policy. Before we begin the discussion,



1 is there a motion on the table? Would anyone like to make 2 a motion on the rules. Yes, Ms. Mazanec. 3 MS. MAZANEC: I move that we -- we adopt the rules as proposed. (Inaudible) read it? 4 5 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: I don't think you need to 6 read the rule. No. 7 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: It's on. CHAIRMAN DURHAM: You have to get closer. 8 9 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: To approve the Rules 10 for the Administration of the Healthy Beverages Policy. 11 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Okay. That's proper motion. Is there a second? Second? Yes, Ms. Rankin. 12 Ιt 13 has been moved, and seconded. Commissioner, is their staff ready to provide an overview -- answer questions? I think 14 this is the second time we have spend on the agenda, so any 15 16 last minute comments from staff? 17 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yes. I will just turn it over to Jennifer Okes. 18 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Thank you. 19 MS. OKES: Thank you. Jennifer Okes from 20 21 School Finance, and I'll just turn this over to Brehan Riley. She's our Director of School Nutrition, and the 22 23 expert on this matter. So --24 MS. RILEY: Good afternoon. The public 25 hearing to approve the proposed rules occurred at the



1 August Board meeting, and a unanimous vote was not reached. 2 Today is your opportunity for a second vote. Since the last Board meeting, we have received an additional 19 3 This makes up a total of 35 comments received. 4 comments. Twenty-two comments from health advocates are opposed to 5 6 aligning. They all want the diet soda restrictions to stay 7 in place, and the dental groups also want to keep restrictions on juice. Thirteen comments from school 8 districts CAES, CASB, BOCES, and the Colorado School 9 Nutrition Association want the rules to align, and utilize 10 their local school wellness policies to meet the needs of 11 their communities. 12

13 To exemplify the regulatory burden districts currently face in Colorado, I have developed a comparison chart that I 14 15 included in your Board documents. For the past three years, districts have had to interpret which set of rules 16 17 to follow for the types of beverages they can sell in their schools, which can be complex, and confusing as you've 18 19 heard from earlier testimony. By aligning the two 20 policies, districts will have a more clear, and concise way to meet compliance requirements. One area Colorado will 21 still remain more restrictive due to state statute is the 22 extended school day rule. Districts will continue to 23 utilize their local school wellness policies to enforce 24 stricter guidelines based on community needs. 25 All



districts have wellness policies, and many already have 1 2 stronger policies in place as seen in Boulder, Denver, Buena Vista, Greeley, Adams 14, Center, and Poudre, just to 3 name a few. 4 Our district's Nutrition Services Departments are overseen 5 6 by nutrition professionals. They will continue to promote healthy school environments through their wellness 7 policies. We respectfully request that the Board vote in 8 favor of the proposed rules to align the policies, so we 9 can reduce the regulatory burden the districts have faced 10 11 over the past three years and move forward streamlining quidance, and training efforts. Thank you. 12 13 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Thank you, Ms. Riley. Any questions from Members of the Board, Dr. Flores? 14 MS. FLORES: So when you say streamlining --15 16 (inaudible). So what do you mean -- when you say 17 streamlining, what exactly do you mean by streamlining as 18 opposed to what we have now? 19 MS. RILEY: Mr. Chair. Basically we are 20 combining the two policies, so that we have one full policy that they can meet all the regulations instead of having to 21 go back and forth between two different policies to 22 23 determine which is the more restrictive policy to go ahead 24 and comply with.



1	MS. FLORES: So the excuse me. The only
2	difference I see is that high schools will be able to to
3	sell to sell cokes, and such like that diet cokes.
4	Is that correct? I mean, that's what I see as a
5	difference. And and the other schools will not; they
6	will be able to sell water, they'll be able to sell tea
7	MS. RILEY: Mr. Chair.
8	CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Yes.
9	MS. FLORES: in high school.
10	MS. RILEY: It's more complex than that.
11	The policy that's currently in place in Colorado has many
12	regulations around calorie content per ounce. That's not
13	something the federal regulations have in place. And so
14	for every beverage that's sold, regardless of the age
15	group, the districts have to figure out if that also
16	complies with particular calories per ounce based on the
17	State rules.
18	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Inaudible) it's not
19	difficult. Well then, we should stay with what we have.
20	CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Any other. Yes, Ms. Goff.
21	MS. GOFF: Thank you. I, kind of along with
22	that I guess. Is there any do we have any information
23	about knowing that districts have wellness policies? How
24	binding are the rules, they are to the logo. I just I'm
25	just curious to know how many districts do, you know,



1 enact, implement, follow along really strictly their own 2 wellness policies as regards to diet drinks, and flavored 3 milk, and such, and how many would continue to do so if this is lessened up? That concerns me, you know, that the 4 bottom line on this -- in this area -- this arena of 5 6 concern is kids' health. And when I'm hearing from the dental industries, and the 7 dental insurers, and the dental caregivers, providers, and 8 I'm hearing from childhood obesity experts, and other 9 people who are well-informed of that, hearing from parents 10 11 about their willingness to support a district in making the best kinds of nutritional decisions for their kids, that's 12 13 where I -- that's where I end up. And I'm just curious, I mean, I trust the districts are as concerned as they can be 14 about child -- children's health and nutrition. 15 16 I guess I'm looking for some evidence about how many 17 districts are following through on discouraging the healthy beverage, or the -- discouraging the diet sodas and such 18 and other high calorie beverages from kids' exposure. 19

20 There is no control of what a child will choose to do when 21 they're off school grounds, anybody who has teenagers knows 22 this. And there are going to be temptations, and they're 23 going to be attractive options all the way around life. I 24 just have a feeling, my -- my conviction is that as long as 25 we're in public schools, and we have a concern about



1 childhood health nutrition that we need to find a way to 2 continue the message of this is what the State of Colorado 3 believes needs to be happening. You know, I understand the -- I do 4 understand, and appreciate what -- what feels like over 5 6 regulation, and burdensome tasks is. I understand that 7 fully. I -- I quess I would just ask this, our state policies have been in effect since 2009. Why not -- we 8 could -- maybe we can figure out a way to make them a 9 little more streamlined but not to go away from opening up 10 this to kind of you know, what if -- what if situation is 11 12 bothersome to me. 13 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Ms. Mazanec. MS. MAZANEC: Mr. Chair. 14 MS. SCHEFFEL: Did -- did you wanna respond? 15 16 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Oh, I'm sorry. Yeah, it's 17 (inaudible). MS. MAZANEC: Sorry. I just wanted to speak 18 19 to how wellness policies are handled. USDA requires that all school districts on the National School Lunch Program 20 have wellness policies in place. We do USDA administrative 21 reviews every three years, and it's something that we look 22 23 at, and if they are not complying with our own wellness 24 policy then their findings, and we put corrective action

25 plans in place, and then they do have to follow the

SEPTEMBER 14, 2016 AM



```
1
    wellness policy that they have in place at the level -- at
2
    the school level.
3
                   MS. SCHEFFEL: So it's by school level
    rather than local --
4
                   MS. MAZANEC: It's school districts --
5
6
                   MS. SCHEFFEL: -- district. Okay.
                   MS. MAZANEC: And then all of us schools
7
    have -- have to follow.
8
9
                                  Okay. Okay, thanks.
                   MS. SCHEFFEL:
10
                   CHAIRMAN DURHAM: And Ms. Mazanec.
11
                   UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I would just like to
    say I can appreciate the desire of parents to have their
12
    public neighborhood schools provide the kind of food,
13
    information, values, all kinds of things that they provide
14
    themselves at home, that are in -- in alignment with what
15
16
    their own values are. I appreciate that no parent gets
17
    that. You know, some parents are really concerned about
18
    the fact that condoms are provided in schools.
                                                     I don't
    think many people would argue that we shouldn't do that.
19
20
    But yet, we are very concerned about diet soda being given
21
    to high school students, and second of all, there is a -- a
22
    remedy here. I -- I appreciate the idea that we can -- we
23
    can lessen the regulation burden on school districts, and
24
    yet local school districts have the ability to limit diet
25
    soda, or to ban diet soda, and that is where this decision
```



belongs. This is close to the schools, and the parents, and the community as possible. So they still have that ability to do that. We do not need the state to mandate it in order to do that. So I will be voting to -- to align these rules.

6 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Dr. Flores.

I think, one of the -- one of 7 MS. FLORES: the issues that maybe may not be understood, and why we had 8 so many Latino parents here who are concerned about their 9 children, and the preponderance of -- of diabetes in the 10 11 Latino community, and in Native American communities. It is very high. I know that it's something that my family 12 13 had to deal with. I had a brother with diabetic who died very young. And although while at home, my mother made 14 sure that all of us ate the same way he did, and she was a 15 16 very good cook.

17 But I -- I just know the temptations are -- are very high, 18 and I can see why we have all these parents who are very, very concerned. And especially when chocolate milk with 19 20 all that sugar is provided in many of the schools, and only chocolate milk. Sometimes, there's no white milk for kids 21 to choose from, and you -- you get these wonderful rolls --22 23 cinnamon rolls that are just filled with sugar at the top. I mean they're wonderful, and it's very difficult when you 24 25 get only that for breakfast, you know, to -- to -- to say



1 no for that sometimes. So I -- I think parents know what 2 they're talking about, and I know that some of our large 3 school districts, you know, who came to in -- that they can but I think in -- in some areas, it's -- it's very 4 difficult, and I can see why parents just came in force 5 6 today to tell us, and warn us that, you know, this is not good. Thank you, and I -- I will not be voting for this 7 amendment. 8 9 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Ms. Rankin. MS. RANKIN: 10 Is there anything -- if these 11 laws are aligned State and Federal, is there anything in there that prohibits parents, or local school districts 12 13 from having more stringent requirements on their specific schools? 14 MS. SCHEFFEL: Mr. Chair. 15 16 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Yes. 17 MS. SCHEFFEL: No. MS. ANTHES: Thank you. 18 19 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Okay. Dr. Schroeder. 20 MS. SCHROEDER: So I get fact that administrators want administration to be easier. I 21 understand that, and aligning them does make their job 22 23 easier. I'm simply not willing to make that my priority over the health of kids. And well, I haven't looked deeply 24 into the calories et cetera in our prior rules, I am 25



1	confident confident that diet beverages are unhealthy,
2	and our kids growing body should not be consuming them.
3	And I can't in any kind of a clear conscience support that.
4	I don't care really what congress did they wanted to give
5	the rights to the states, and we are not living up to our
6	responsibility. I am hopeful that our legislature will.
7	CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Further discussion. Okay.
8	I would simply observe that that there's no better
9	regulator for this conduct than the parent, and and the
10	parents voice at the local school Board. I need to really
11	believe as a parent that the state can protect your
12	children from a variety of unhealthy behaviors is really a
13	foolish risk to take. The the fundamental
14	responsibility belongs with parents, and I would hope they
15	would exercise it as they make their own judgments. Ms.
16	Cordial, will call a roll, please.
17	MS. BURDSALL: Board Member Flores?
18	MS. FLORES: No.
19	MS. BURDSALL: Board Member Goff?
20	MS. GOFF: No.
21	MS. BURDSALL: Board Member Mazanec?
22	MS. MAZANEC: Yes.
23	MS. BURDSALL: Board Member Rankin?
24	MS. RANKIN: Yes.
25	MS. BURDSALL: Board Member Scheffel?



1 MS. SCHEFFEL: Yes. 2 MS. BURDSALL: Board Member Schroeder? 3 MS. SCHROEDER: No. MS. BURDSALL: Chairman Durham? 4 5 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Yes. The motion's adopted 6 by vote four to three. Thank you. Will now proceed to item, where we are here? 11.04, which is, (inaudible). 7 Yeah, here we go. Okay, the next item on the agenda is the 8 consideration of notice of rulemaking, for rules, for 9 10 counting, and reporting 1CCR 301-11. Before we -- we begin the discussion, is there a motion on table? Dr. Scheffel. 11 MS. SCHEFFEL: I move to --12 13 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Yeah. MS. SCHEFFEL: I move approve the notice of 14 rulemaking, the rules for the counting, and reporting 1CCR 15 16 301-11. 17 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: (Inaudible) for motion, is 18 there second? Yes. Going once, going twice. Ms. Mazanec will second. Thank you, Ms. Mazanec. Okay. Now, 19 Commissioner could staff prepare to provide an overview. 20 MS. SCHEFFEL: Yes, Mr. Chair, I will turn 21 this back over to Jennifer Okes, Executive Director for 22 School Finance. 23 24 MS. OKES: Great. Thank you. Again, 25 Jennifer Okes and we're asking you to approve a notice of



rulemaking. This is essentially a housekeeping item.
 These rules we would like to update them to reflect some
 recent legislative changes. Just so there is alignment
 between the statute changes, and our rules related to
 accounting and reporting.

6 There's three bills that have been passed recently that we would like to be reflective in these rules. 7 The first is House Bill 151184 that added Charter School networks that 8 definition to statute, and so we simply want to add that to 9 these rules to clarify that these rules apply consistent 10 with the statute. There is a statutory that all of the 11 financial, and budgeting requirements, and law, and 12 13 regulations apply to these. So therefore, we just want to add the Charter School networks to the list of applicable 14 agencies, or entities. 15

16 House Bill 601354 last session added a new fund, that's the 17 supplemental capital construction technology and maintenance fund. And basically, what this allows is that 18 19 if a district were to add -- have an additional mil levy for things like capital construction, new technology, 20 existing technology upgrades, or ongoing maintenance needs 21 that this would be the fund that those mill levies are 22 accounted for in there. And so this has sort of two 23 24 different aspects to it. Those depending on what the school district authorized in their election, it could be a 25



1 capital projects fund. This special technology, our 2 special capital construction technology and maintenance 3 could be treated as a capital project if that local district approves something like a new roof, or a new 4 building. Alternatively, depending on the local election, 5 6 it could as be treated like a special revenue fund. That would be if the approval was for ongoing operating expenses 7 like technology upgrades, and keeping those things update -8 - up-to-date, or ongoing maintenance needs. 9 The third bill that changed was House Bill 16. 10 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Yes, Dr. Scheffel? 11 MS. OKES: Sorry, let me just --12 13 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Sorry. MS. SCHEFFEL: At the time of the election, 14 do you have to specify how it's going to be spent? This is 15 16 for more than one year, right? Isn't that continuing mil 17 override? 18 MS. OKES: Yeah. 19 MS. SCHEFFEL: So might you not in one year 20 put on a new roof on a building? Another year spend the year engaged in extra maintenance. I mean, can't -- can't 21 those funds go back and forth, and if so ho -- how does the 22 23 Board decide -- School Board decide that, or how is it 24 handled?



1 MS. OKES: It would be based upon the 2 wording in the mil levy election. And so if it is very open to say it could be for this variety of things, then 3 that would be treated like a Special Revenue Fund, and it 4 would be treated consistent with what was in that language. 5 6 So it's really dependent on the local school, the local language about what is put forth in the election. It could 7 be very narrow. 8 9 MS. SCHEFFEL: But it has to stay that way? CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Ms. Mazanec? 10 11 MS. MAZANEC: I believe that once they passed the ballot language, and if they included capital 12 13 construction, and ongoing maintenance in the question, then the district may be budgeting into two separate funds each 14 15 year. 16 MS. SCHEFFEL: Year each. 17 MS. MAZANEC: Yes. MS. SCHEFFEL: Okay. 'Cause I think that's 18 19 -- well, I haven't looked at but I'm pretty sure that's 20 sound worded. MS. MAZANEC: And I don't believe that the 21 ballot language would need to specify which fund, the fund 22 -- the money would go into. It would be here's what we're 23 24 going to use it for, and then once they receive it, then they determine where it's going to be budgeted (inaudible). 25



24

1 MS. SCHEFFEL: How to allocate it, and it'll 2 -- and is likely to -- to with Board approvals, School 3 Board approval to -- to vary depending on each year's needs. 4 MS. MAZANEC: Yes. Okay, that make sense. 5 6 Thank you. MS. OKES: Yeah. Then, the third change to 7 legislation was House Bill 161422 that added to total 8 program reserve fund. And so we're including that in our 9 10 list of fund. And so previously, if the mill levy 11 generates a district's -- mill levy generates more revenue than needed, the mill levy would be reduced. With this 12 13 bill, the mill levy would remain constant, and that additional revenue would go into this Total Program Reserve 14 Fund, and then the statutes outline that -- that those 15 16 monies can be used to essentially pay back, or buy down the 17 negative factor, or replace the negative factor. So those, 18 we're just simply adding these to new statutorily created 19 funds to the list of funds just for specificity, and so our 20 rules are alignment with what was passed by the legislature. 21 Can you just give me an 22 MS. SCHEFFEL: example? I don't understand the -- I never -- I still have 23

not understood exactly how that last one works.



1 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: So this is specific to 2 those districts that we talked about last year that were in a categorical buyout situation where there was a refusal to 3 pay. So there was four districts that did not wanna pay 4 the state back for the categorical buyouts. This was a 5 6 compromise piece of legislation that said if you are in that boat, and your mill levy generates more than your 7 total program in your categorical buyout, then district 8 instead of you lowering your mill levy each year, you can 9 keep your total mills, and then that excess that is 10 11 generated above and beyond total program and categoricals, they can actually put into this reserve fund, so that in 12 13 the event that their assessed value declines, and all of a sudden they are not generating more, and the negative 14 15 factor kicks in, then they can use those monies in that reserve fund in order to basically pay themselves back what 16 17 they would lose in the negative factor. MS. SCHEFFEL: Okay. And that's just for 18 19 those basically just self funding districts. MS. OKES: Yes. Yes. 20 MS. SCHEFFEL: Thank you. That was the 21 piece I missed 'cause I don't understand all that term 22 23 you've mentioned. 24 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Further questions.

25 Yes, Dr. -- Dr. Schroeder.



1 MS. SCHROEDER: So the title of the document 2 Crosswalk Linking Accounting and Reporting Statutes to 3 Rules. Is that -- does that mean that they weren't linked before, or that we're linking them now in these three 4 situations where there were additional statutes. Is that 5 6 what you're saying? 7 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yes. CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Yes Ms. -- Dr. Schroeder. 8 9 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: We're linked all along. 10 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: They were linked but with the addition of these two new funds referenced in the 11 statute, we wanted to add those to the rules just so it's a 12 13 comprehensive listing of all of the statutorily created programs. This document what we wanted to do is just show 14 you for the rules that are there, and the proposed 15 16 additions to the rules. What are the statutory citations 17 that support each of those aspects of the rules, or each 18 provision of the rules? So that was just for additional clarification. So if you have a question about what's the 19 20 basis for this rule. There's the statutory for background 21 reference. And so yes, we're just simply adding those new references into the rules. 22 MS. SCHROEDER: And so the infrastructure to 23

24 link these is already in place at the districts, and



1 charters is that right? And so all they're doing is adding 2 an application of that infrastructure, is that right? 3 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yes, essentially including this doesn't change anything other than provide a 4 little bit more clarity so that when a district looks at 5 6 the rules it's a comprehensive listing of the funds 7 available. MS. SCHROEDER: Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Yes, Dr. Schroeder. 9 MS. SCHROEDER: Chair, one more -- one more 10 11 question and perhaps I misunderstood that but when I was reading materials it talked about there could be 13 more 12 13 funds. I thought what are these just funds that are specific, that a school district specifically adds because 14 they are allocating in a unique way, or was that all about 15 16 please? UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: So what this says is 17 that the rule --18 19 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Excuse, sorry to 20 interrupt we are. 21 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Ma'am. 22 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: We're parents. 23 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Okay. 24 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: We represent the (inaudible). 25



CHAIRMAN DURHAM: I will stand a recess a
 little bit.

3 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: We are unhappy with that decision that you make because you don't take the 4 consideration of the community. So I really think there's 5 6 no our response when the (inaudible) model ARs in this 7 school. So we need you to support, please think about it. As RPs who are en-raised, you don't thinking about the 8 students, and health of the students. You thinking about 9 10 money only, and that's the only thing down you care about. Sorry for my English I don't know, I know it's not perfect. 11 12 But I'm unhappy, our people with me are unhappy with the 13 decision you make, and you guys supposed to be representing our necessities, and you are not thinking about us when you 14 make the decisions. Because my responsibility is like a 15 16 mother when my child is at home, and giving them the 17 (inaudible) -- the advice, but when -- when they are in the school, or in other places I don't have control about it. 18 And you could access to unhealthy food in the school, and 19 you could be all these things, and then (inaudible) tell me 20 to really take care of my child. So please think about the 21 students. All these parents are unhappy with the decision. 22 23 Please, I say please, with respecting about the students, think about the future of the students, and the kids. 24



81

1 Don't care about the money. The money is the less 2 important. 3 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Okay quys (inaudible) 4 thank you guys. MS. SCHROEDER: Pardon. Well, with that out 5 6 we will continue discussing (inaudible). We're not done, 7 are we? 8 MS. BURDSALL: No. 9 MS. SCHROEDER: That's right. I was ready to move on with the next step. 10 MS. BURDSALL: We were talking about 13 11 12 funds. 13 MS. SCHROEDER: Yeah, 13 funds. MS. BURDSALL: Yes, so what that is 14 15 referencing? So the Colorado Revised Statutes. MS. SCHROEDER: Did he not (inaudible)? 16 (Pause) 17 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Board will come back to order. Thank 18 19 you. 20 MS. OKES: Thank you. So the question was that there is a reference to 13 additional funds. And so 21 there are nine statutorily defined funds, and these are two 22 23 additional ones that were adding to the list of statutorily defined funds. 24 MS. BURDSALL: This makes 11.



1 MS. OKES: It was seven before, plus two equals the nine that are just listed. And so all of those 2 are listed in 4.05. The changes we've added all of those 3 are listed there. And then the earlier rules. So all of 4 the existing rules. There are 13 additional funds that 5 6 haven't been identified by Colorado Revised Statute, but 7 have been authorized by earlier rules that just allowed districts to operate pursuant to GASBY standards. And so 8 the examples would be a pupil activity fund. Is a type of 9 fund that has been authorized by rule that's not authorized 10 11 by statute, or specified in statute, but it's just clarification for districts of how to account for all of 12 13 the different types of activities consistent. MS. SCHROEDER: In order -- in order to make 14 the data comparable between districts, so that you've got 15 16 sort of a common -- common financial language between 17 districts in the state of Colorado. Right? Thank you. CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Yes, Dr. Scheffel. 18 19 The GASBY standards, can you MS. SCHEFFEL: 20 elaborate? Yes. The GASBY is the 21 MS. OKES: Governmental Accounting Standards Board. So it's the 22 23 accounting standards that districts are required to react. 24 It's just Governmental Accounting, and so there's different treatments for different types of activities. 25



1 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Further questions? So Ms. 2 Okes it would appear that these are just conforming should be non-controversial rules, okay. So the motion before the 3 Board is the -- to approve this for rulemaking hearing at 4 the next meeting. 5 6 MS. OKES: Two. 7 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Two meetings from now so it would be November maybe. So is there objection to the 8 adoption of that motion, seeing that that motion is adopted 9 10 by a vote of seven to nothing? Thank you. We'll now proceed to the last item before the executive session which 11 is the proposed regular state Board meeting dates. And I 12 13 quess it was Ms. Cordial this would be your item probably? MS. BURDSALL: Correct. So we have -- we 14 have not made any changes since the 2017 meeting dates had 15 16 been proposed to you at the August Board meeting. They are 17 all the second Wednesday and Thursday of the month 18 excluding the August Board meeting for. So we're in a 19 better kind of alignment for semester's police results, and things like that. To give you more time to review those in 20 advance, and then other than that there just are normal 21 meeting dates, and so far they don't overlap any religious 22 23 holidays, or anything like that. So we double checked to 24 make sure.



1 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Any questions about the 2 meeting dates or objections? 3 MS. SCHROEDER: I'd like to move to approve 4 the proposed 2017 state Board meeting dates. CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Is there a second to that 5 6 motion, yes Dr. Flores. Okay, it's been moved and seconded. I think everyone's had a chance to review these. 7 So is there objection to the adoption and publication of 8 these as the meeting dates for calendar year 2017. Seeing 9 10 no objection, that motions clear adopted by a vote of seven 11 to nothing. MS. SCHROEDER: Can I make a comment? 12 13 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Yes. MS. SCHROEDER: I should have said this 14 earlier. There are folks who are going to be confused 15 about the August shift. And so if there is a way to 16 17 highlight that. MS. BURDSALL: Make a note that it's. 18 19 MS. SCHROEDER: Somehow make a note in order 20 to help folks. Now theoretically, I'd like to see our park 21 results come in May and then, this is a one year thing while we think things are going to be the same. But in any 22 23 case I don't think you need to explain why but I think we need to really highlight the fact that we're out of order. 24 25 MS. BURDSALL: Okay.



1 MS. SCHROEDER: These folks are actually 2 going through their calendar. The Board meets the second 3 Wednesday, et cetera. So that would be helpful if you could. 4 5 MS. BURDSALL: Okay. Speaking to 6 (inaudible) that. 7 MS. SCHROEDER: Thanks. CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Okay. Yes Ms. Goff. 8 MS. GOFF: Thank you. I know, I understand 9 how challenging calendaring is. It's my -- it's my big C 10 word calendar challenge, but I'd be curious to know if 11 there's been in recent years especially any communication 12 13 with CASB about when the schedule. I imagine just because there is hotel involved with their meetings this fall 14 15 conference. I can't remember a year we haven't had a 16 conflict with them Board meetings, and the CASB conference. 17 Well, yeah, we think about things. However, that's it. I 18 mean, I just you know if we. If we can still want to re 19 talk with them sometime about maybe an alternating 20 situation. The other thing too is I haven't looked at the calendar. 21 December is just getting later and later in the month for 22 23 our meeting. And I'm just wondering when the flip back 24 happens where that second, or second week of the month gets earlier. This -- this is as late as it's ever been. 25



1 December 13th and 14th is pretty late in the month but it 2 has to be that way. That's the way it is. That's all. I 3 agree with everybody's agreement on this. GASBY by the way, those are generally the third week in October for the 4 annual meeting that will fluctuate a little bit each year. 5 6 So as we continue to look at that, and I also had a 7 conversation, it came up about the holidays and I -- I have, I've gotten the answer. 8 I'm satisfied for my own self asking the question, but not 9 10 necessarily today but at some point an explanation of how 11 the state personnel system, and our HR department here how we interact. We all understand the laws, and the 12 13 personnel, the policies of the state in general. But someone was asking me, this was what last week there was an 14 inquiry about could we change our October meeting to 15 16 accommodate people who wanted to come, and speak or to give 17 comment in our October meeting, Thursday is Yom Capurso. 18 MS. BURDSALL: It's the Wednesday meeting 19 that's Yom Capurso. So we'll be moving. MS. GOFF: One of the days. So it was just 20 21 a notion that is of personal interest to some people. So then that led to some further thinking about how does our 22 23 staff keep this. This was an inquiry to me sort of. How 24 does our staff handle national holidays, and how do staffs in general? I tried to explain, I think I covered it about 25



how those determinations are made. Here's a set of state 1 2 paid holidays, and building is closed, and so on, and so 3 what happens on religious holidays particularly where the building is not closed, and certain employees are put in a 4 position where they have to make a decision, or they can't 5 6 make a decision. So I got my own answer. But at some point maybe I don't know if it's needed enough but at some 7 point to expand this conversation a little bit more just an 8 explanation somewhere for our publics if not every agency 9 about these days that since we meet always during the week, 10 during the workday, it's something to think about as far as 11 public access to our meetings, and that's it. I'm just. 12 13 All of this has brought some of this stuff out this last month or so. 14

15 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Okay, any further
16 discussion. Okay, so a motion or we adopt a motion.
17 Timing is everything. All right. So now we are ready for
18 executive session. We're ahead of schedule. No we did.
19 We got out of word between.

20 MS. SCHROEDER: We did, and then we started 21 asking questions.

22 CHAIRMAN DURHAM: So I think -- I think the
23 best way to handle this, we are a little ahead of -- are we
24 ahead of schedule?

25 MS. SCHROEDER: Yeah.



CHAIRMAN DURHAM: We are a little ahead of 1 schedule. So when I reach, when we go into executive 2 session we'll recess until I think 1:00 p.m. And we'll 3 come back and do the disciplinary. The first item on the 4 disciplinary hearing which would be 14.01 -- 0 -- 01 yeah 5 6 14.01. And so we'll -- we'll recess until 1:00 o'clock. If we finish early we might come back, and discuss items 7 that are not that won't fit into or executive session, that 8 won't be particularly relevant. So we'll, we wont take any 9 action or anything before 1:00 o'clock. So yeah might take 10 a walk or something. Ms. Cordial would you like to read 11 us, read the appropriate language for executive session? 12 13 MS. BURDSALL: An executive session has been noticed for today's state Board meeting in conformance with 14 24-6-402 (3)(A) CRS to receive legal advice on specific 15 16 legal questions pursuant to 24-6-402 (3)(A) (II) CRS in 17 matters required to be kept confidential by federal law, or 18 rules, or state statutes pursuant to 24-6-402 (3)(A) (III) 19 CRS. CHAIRMAN DURHAM: Okay. All right. 20 Is there a motion for any executive session? Dr. Schroeder, 21

22 Ms. Goff seconds. We will, as objection to the adoption to 23 that motion requires five votes. Seeing no objection, that 24 is passed by a vote of seven to nothing. So all of us are 25 entitled to leave the room, and we'll take a short recess



- 1 now till we get set up for the executive session. Thank
- 2 you.
- 3 (Meeting adjourned)



1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Kimberly C. McCright, Certified Vendor and
3	Notary, do hereby certify that the above-mentioned matter
4	occurred as hereinbefore set out.
5	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT the proceedings of such
6	were reported by me or under my supervision, later reduced
7	to typewritten form under my supervision and control and
8	that the foregoing pages are a full, true and correct
9	transcription of the original notes.
10	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
11	and seal this 25th day of October, 2018.
12	
13	/s/ Kimberly C. McCright
14	Kimberly C. McCright
15	Certified Vendor and Notary Public
16	
17	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC
18	1322 Space Park Drive, Suite C165
19	Houston, Texas 77058
20	281.724.8600
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	