## Baseline Growth Visualizations: Annotated Resources

- In order to support understanding of the growth visualization tool, a series of annotated screenshots are provided in this document. Access to these visualizations are available through a free software called Tableau Reader. Information on how to find these visualizations and on how to use Tableau Reader has been shared with District Accountability Contacts.
- The growth visualization tool has four primary tabs, each reflecting either CMAS or ACCESS data by grade or with growth projections. This includes the following visuals:
- CMAS Outcomes by Grade (page 2): a visual box plot display of CMAS student baseline growth percentiles (SGPs) based on user selected criteria (e.g., school, grade, and demographics). This display indicates the lower and upper bound of SGP scores along with the median score and individual scores included in the distribution. The data is displayed in two sections one for each of the most recent years in which data is available.
- CMAS Outcomes vs Projections (page 4): a graph that displays change in CMAS scale score and proficiency level between years while also displaying the corresponding baseline growth percentile. The display can be displayed by state, district, or school results. It allows for selections by grade level, student group, and cohort group. In addition, individual student results can be displayed.
- ACCESS Outcomes by Grade (page 5): a visual box plot display of WIDA-ACCESS student baseline growth percentiles (SGPs) based on user selected criteria (e.g., school, grade, and demographics). This display indicates the lower and upper bound of SGP scores along with the median score and individual scores that make up the score distribution.
- ACCESS Outcomes vs Projections (page 7): a graph that displays change in WIDA-ACCESS scale score and proficiency level between years while also displaying the corresponding baseline growth percentile. The display can be displayed by state, district, or school results. It allows for selections by grade level, student group, and cohort group. In addition, individual student results can be displayed.

For more information concerning baseline growth, go to: http://www.cde.state.co.us/accountability/baseline-growth-overview-and-state-results-2021.
These visualizations include student-level information that should not be shared with external third parties. To learn more about protecting Student PII, go to: https://www.cde.state.co.us/dataprivacyandsecurity. Districts should consider how they may share this data within their system since all schools and student data is available within the dashboard. As a suggestion, it may be helpful to share individual screenshots with school-level administrators rather than the Tableau workbook in its entirety.

For additional questions regarding the presented visuals and/or requests for training on CDE data tools can be made to the following e-mail account: accountability@cde.state.co.us.

## General Notes

- The results included in this report reflect all students with a calculated SGP
- Reports reflect baseline growth methodology, more information concerning this approach can be found here: http://www.cde.state.co.us/accountability/baseline-growth-overview-and-state-results-2021
- The number of students reflected in the data should be considered when interpreting results. Also, summary growth data including student counts is available at:
http://www.cde.state.co.us/schoolview/datafiles

Grade levels are reported instead of class level due to the gap in administration for CMAS. Growth is available for grades 5 \& 7 for ELA and grade 6 \& 8 for Math.

School, grade levels, student groups (gender ethnicity, FRL, EL, IEPs), and prior year proficiency can all be selected by using the presented dropdown selectors.

The report header identifies the district/school, content area, grade, student groups and year reflected in the visualization.

Selected workbook tab

## MAS Outcomes by Grade. This visual pre

Using your mouse, you can hover over, select, and group various elements of the visualization for additional information.

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2016-17 | Participation |
| Grade 03 | Rate: |
| to 2018-19 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Grade 05 |  |


| 2018-19 | Participation |
| :---: | :---: |
| Grade 03 | Rate: |
| to 2020-21 | $53.3 \%$ |
| Grade 05 |  |

Each dot reflects a tested student. In this case, two students were tested in both 2016-17 (3rd grade) and 2018-19 ( $5^{\text {th }}$ grade). Their scores on these tests were used to calculate baseline growth.
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Total: 14
High Growth |n=4

Typcial Growth |n=7

Low Growth | $n=3$

The year corresponding to the presented distribution along with the participation rate for the selected group is presented here. Participation rates are color coded green, yellow, or red. Data with yellow and red participation rates should be interpreted with additional caution.

The visualization tool includes all students independent of inclusion criteria. This differs from provided summary files that reflect students meeting accountability criteria only.
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The report header identifies the district/school, content area, grade, student groups/student name and years reflected in the visualization.

Grade levels are reported instead of class level due to the gap in administration for CMAS. Growth is available for grades 5 \& 7 for ELA and grade $6 \& 8$ for Math.

School, grade levels, student groups (gender, ethnicity, FRL, EL, IEPs), prior year proficiency, and individual students can all be selected by using the presented dropdown selectors.



School, grade levels, student groups (gender, ethnicity, FRL, EL, IEPs), prior year proficiency, and individual students can all be selected by using the presented dropdown selectors

Grade levels are reported instead of class level due to the gap in administration for CMAS. Growth is available for grades 5 \& 7 for ELA and grade 6 \& 8 for Math.

Selected workbook tab

解
The report header identifies the district/school, content area, grade, student groups/student name and years reflected in the visualization.

## General Notes:

- The results included in this report reflect all students with a calculated SGP
- Reports reflect baseline growth methodology, more information concerning this approach can be found here:
http://www.cde.state.co.us/accountability/baseline-growth-overview-and-state-results-2021
- The number of students reflected in the data should be considered when interpreting results. Also, summary growth data including student counts is available at:
http://www.cde.state.co.us/schoolview/datafiles
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## Each colored bubble

 corresponds to the amount of growth that would have been necessary to reach the identified score band. For a student to reach the projected placement demonstrated by the bubbles on the proficiency bands, they would have received a Mean Scale Score in the ranges listed under each bubble below.District Name (0000) | Schools: All
English Language Arts | Grade 05 | All Students
All Results Both Years
el outcomes, the graph below displays three different growth projections for 2020-21 based on data available for students nce points for understanding how 2020-21 outcomes compare to historical growth trajectories.

Using your mouse, you can hover over, select, and group various elements of the visualization for additiona information.
mes across years along used to change grade

Proficiency Level 5 | 799
The line reflects the change in scale score between years. This reflects how students performed against proficiency level cut points (the colored bands).

760 Proficiency Level 4 | 750

Mean Scale Score: 739
Proficiency Level 3 | 725

Proficiency Level 2 | 700
Proficiency Level 2 | 700
The visualization tool includes all students independent of inclusion criteria. This differs from provided summary files that reflect students meeting accountability criteria only.

2018-19 Grade 03
Part/flpation Rate: 96.6\%

Each colored proficiency band reflects the range of scores associated with a particular CMAS performance level.

The year corresponding to the presented distribution along with the initial grade level for the selected group is presented here.

In this district example, $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students received a 746 Mean Scale Score, placing them in the Level 3 Proficiency band (shown as yellow). Using their 2018-19 to 2020-21 results, they received a Median Growth Percentile of 44 , which is considered typical growth. If they had received a score in the 755-757 range (the blue bubble), their growth percentile would be considered high growth. This would have placed them in the Level 4 Proficiency band (shown by the blue dot).

High Growth Projection 66th Percentile 755-757

## ACCESS did not have a gap in

 test administration during the 2019-20 school year. Therefore, grade ranges can be produced for ACCESS, but not for CMAS. Select grade levels here.CIVIAS Uutcomes Dy Orade

School, grade levels, student groups (gender, ethnicity, FRL, EL, IEPs), and prior year proficiency can all be selected by using the presented dropdown selectors.

## ACCESS Outcomes by Grade: This vISal provides a box plot display of VIDA-ACCESS student basel ne growth percentiles (SGPs) based on user selected criteria (e.g., school, grade, and

 demographics). The box plot shows the distribution of individual SGPs, and also shows the medianUsing your mouse, you can
hover over, select, and group
various elements of the
visualization for additional
information.

The year corresponding to the presented distribution along with the participation rate for the selected group is presented here. Unlike CMAS, ACCESS has had consistent year to year administration. Therefore, 1 -year intervals were used to calculate growth for ACCESS and 2-year intervals were used to calculate growth for CMAS.

## General Notes

- The results included in this report reflect all students with a calculated SGP
- Reports reflect baseline growth methodology, more information concerning this approach can be found here: http://www.cde.state.co.us/accountability/baseline-growth-overview-and-state-results-2021
- The number of students reflected in the data should be considered when interpreting results. Also, summary growth data including student counts is available at: http://www.cde.state.co.us/schoolview/datafiles

The report header identifies the district/school, content area, grade, student groups and year reflected in the visualization.

Selected workbook tab
Baseline Growth Reports 2020-2021

ACCESS Outcomes by Grade
ACCESS Outcomes vs Projections

Larger bubbles indicate more students associated with a particular growth percentile.

The visualization tool includes all students independent of inclusion criteria. This differs from provided summary files that reflect students meeting accountability criteria only.


ACCESS did not have a gap in test administration during the 2019-20 school year. Therefore, grade ranges can be produced for ACCESS, but not for CMAS. Select grade levels here.

School, grade levels, student groups (gender, ethnicity, FRL, EL, IEPs), prior year proficiency, and individual students can all be selected by using the presented dropdown selectors
Outcomes vs PIOjections ALCESS Outcomes by Grade
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## General Notes:

- The results included in this

Viev content area, grade, student groups/student name and years reflected in the visualization.
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## District Name (0000)

OVERALL | Grade 06 | All Students
All Results Both Years
Each colored band reflects the range of scores associated
with a particular WIDA-ACCESS performance level.

N , typical, and high - are intended to provide reference points for understanding how 2020-21 outcomes compare to histor
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In this example, $6^{\text {th }}$ grade students received a 344 Mean Scale Score, placing them in the Level 3 Proficiency band (shown as yellow). Using prior year results, they received a Median Growth Percentile of 28 which is considered low growth. If they had received a score in the 351-355 range (the green bubble), their growth percentile would be considered typical growth. This would have placed them further up the Level 3 Proficiency band (shown by the green dot).

Using your mouse, you can hover over, select, and group various elements of the visualization for additional information.


 from provided summary files that reflect

The visualization tool includes all students independent of inclusion criteria. This differs students meeting accountability criteria only. report reflect all students with a calculated SGP

- Reports reflect baseline growth methodology, more information concerning this approach can be found here:
http://www.cde.state.co.us/acco untability/baseline-growth-
overview-and-state-results-2021
- The number of students reflected in the data should be considered when interpreting results. Also, summary growth data including student counts is available at:
http://www.cde.state.co.us/scho olview/datafiles


## 2019-20 Grade 05

The year corresponding to the presented distribution along with the participation rate for the selected group is presented here. Unlike CMAS, ACCESS has had consistent year to year administration. Therefore, 1year intervals were used to calculate growth for ACCESS and 2-year intervals were used to calculate

## 2020-21 Grade 06

Participation Rate: 81.2\%

